



Votes on Question No. 3

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held June 7, 1972, received 250 votes in the affirmative and 3 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held June 6, 1973, received 253 votes in the affirmative and 0 in the negative?

Barnstable County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Barnstable	4,254	3,784	1,644	9,682
Bourne	1,230	1,257	798	3,285
Brewster	793	698	215	1,706
Chatham	1,175	1,041	378	2,594
Dennis	1,979	1,769	787	4,535
Eastham	626	579	147	1,352
Falmouth	3,366	2,319	1,262	6,947
Harwich	1,479	1,384	428	3,291
Mashpee	445	342	178	965
Orleans	1,158	803	314	2,275
Provincetown	686	372	279	1,337
Sandwich	887	1,025	376	2,288
Truro	262	210	70	542
Wellfleet	478	319	159	956
Yarmouth	2,703	2,745	1,339	6,787
Totals	21,521	18,647	8,374	48,542

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would repeal section 2 of Article 46 of the Articles of Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth (the "anti-aid amendment", so-called), and replace it with a new section 2, for the purpose of allowing grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would delete the first clause of the present section 2, which requires that all moneys raised by taxation in the towns and cities for the support of public schools, and all moneys appropriated by the Commonwealth for the support of common schools shall be applied to or expended in only those schools conducted according to law under the order and superintendence of the authorities of the town or city in which the money is expended. The effect of the deletion of the first clause of section 2 would be to remove the constitutional prohibition against the use of public moneys, which have been raised by taxation or appropriated for support of public schools, for grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would also alter the second clause of the present section 2 by removing the prohibition against a grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of public credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any school or institution of learning, whether under public control or otherwise, wherein any denominational doctrine is inculcated, or any other school or any college which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents. In place of the foregoing, the proposed amendment would prohibit the grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any primary or secondary school which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents.

The proposed amendment would also add to the present section 2 a provision that nothing therein shall be construed to prohibit grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

Berkshire County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Adams	1,401	1,786	1,107	4,294
Alford	58	73	25	156
Becket	99	157	27	283
Cheshire	378	459	269	1,106
Clarksburg	165	294	108	567
Dalton	963	1,115	427	2,505
Egremont	180	206	64	450
Florida	61	94	39	194
Great Barrington	667	749	986	2,402
Hancock	64	94	46	204
Hinsdale	207	161	109	477
Lanesborough	312	381	212	905
Lee	590	555	659	1,804
Lenox	651	756	474	1,881
Monterey	85	110	34	229
Mt. Washington	12	20	14	46
New Ashford	21	36	11	68
New Marlborough	130	103	95	328
NORTH ADAMS	1,933	2,624	1,411	5,968
Otis	95	128	68	291
Peru	43	54	28	125
PITTSFIELD	5,387	6,780	6,985	19,152
Richmond	213	266	85	564
Sandisfield	72	59	48	179
Savoy	51	58	33	142
Sheffield	338	320	158	816
Stockbridge	420	409	201	1,030
Tyringham	54	41	32	127
Washington	46	56	27	129
West Stockbridge	207	133	124	464
Williamstown	1,091	1,240	324	2,655
Windsor	71	93	29	193
Totals	16,065	19,410	14,259	49,734

Votes on Question No. 3—Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held June 7, 1972, received 250 votes in the affirmative and 3 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held June 6, 1973, received 253 votes in the affirmative and 0 in the negative?

Bristol County.

Cities and Towns.	Bristol County.			Total Ballots.
	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Acushnet	1,441	1,131	953	3,525
ATTLEBORO	4,200	2,725	1,325	8,250
Berkley	246	195	149	590
Dartmouth	3,058	3,171	1,783	8,012
Dighton	579	555	305	1,439
Easton	2,306	1,402	667	4,375
Fairhaven	1,702	2,822	1,327	5,851
FALL RIVER	11,228	6,272	12,685	30,185
Freetown	696	551	277	1,524
Mansfield	1,554	1,198	625	3,377
NEW BEDFORD	12,388	10,138	10,155	32,681
N. Attleborough	2,887	1,677	1,245	5,809
Norton	1,269	866	449	2,584
Raynham	1,017	1,024	538	2,579
Rehoboth	834	703	396	1,933
Seekonk	1,782	1,198	566	3,546
Somerset	3,049	1,945	1,931	6,925
Swansea	2,116	1,592	1,259	4,967
TAUNTON	5,140	3,618	5,534	14,292
Westport	1,744	1,327	1,255	4,326
Totals	59,236	44,110	43,424	146,770

Dukes County.

Cities and Towns.	Dukes County.			Total Ballots.
	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Chilmark	128	74	37	239
Edgartown	466	241	232	939
Gay Head	26	19	42	87
Gosnold	21	19	7	47
Oak Bluffs	355	236	243	834
Tisbury	543	319	303	1,165
West Tisbury	199	129	63	391
Totals	1,738	1,037	927	3,702

Essex County.

Cities and Towns.	Essex County.			Total Ballots.
	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Amesbury	1,357	1,466	1,173	3,996
Andover	5,171	3,372	1,363	9,906
BEVERLY	6,542	4,770	3,243	14,555
Boxford	853	616	197	1,666
Danvers	3,775	2,996	1,467	8,238
Essex	435	310	196	941
Georgetown	897	598	359	1,854
GLOUCESTER	3,244	2,360	2,525	8,129
Groveland	843	631	272	1,746
Hamilton	1,313	922	439	2,674
HAVERHILL	6,162	4,541	4,132	14,835
Ipswich	1,825	1,178	795	3,798
LAWRENCE	9,548	4,644	8,646	22,838
LYNN	11,433	8,368	6,584	26,385
Lynnfield	2,523	1,739	678	4,940
Manchester	1,204	680	389	2,273
Marblehead	4,753	3,361	1,218	9,332
Merrimac	536	530	263	1,329
Methuen	6,053	3,860	4,048	13,961
Middleton	577	519	263	1,359
Nahant	802	557	297	1,656
Newbury	768	583	316	1,667
NEWBURYPORT	2,379	1,934	1,739	6,052
North Andover	3,228	1,873	1,292	6,393
PEABODY	7,255	4,798	4,351	16,404
Rockport	1,106	872	558	2,536
Rowley	478	401	211	1,090
SALEM	6,403	3,738	4,392	14,533
Salisbury	633	562	544	1,739
Saugus	4,205	3,261	1,912	9,378
Swampscott	2,512	1,556	1,555	5,623
Topsfield	1,081	717	224	2,022
Wenham	760	473	164	1,397
West Newbury	485	387	229	1,101
Totals	101,139	69,173	56,034	226,346

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would repeal section 2 of Article 46 of the Articles of Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth (the "anti-aid amendment", so-called), and replace it with a new section 2, for the purpose of allowing grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would delete the first clause of the present section 2, which requires that all moneys raised by taxation in the towns and cities for the support of public schools, and all moneys appropriated by the Commonwealth for the support of common schools shall be applied to or expended in only those schools conducted according to law under the order and superintendence of the authorities of the town or city in which the money is expended. The effect of the deletion of the first clause of section 2 would be to remove the constitutional prohibition against the use of public moneys, which have been raised by taxation or appropriated for support of public schools, for grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would also alter the second clause of the present section 2 by removing the prohibition against a grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of public credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any school or institution of learning, whether under public control or otherwise, wherein any denominational doctrine is inculcated, or any other school or any college which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents. In place of the foregoing, the proposed amendment would prohibit the grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any primary or secondary school which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents.

The proposed amendment would also add to the present section 2 a provision that nothing therein shall be construed to prohibit grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

Votes on Question No. 3—Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held June 7, 1972, received 250 votes in the affirmative and 3 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held June 6, 1973, received 253 votes in the affirmative and 0 in the negative?

Franklin County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Ashfield	232	182	93	507
Bernardston	242	206	108	556
Buckland	263	279	160	702
Charlmont	148	129	93	370
Colrain	200	174	90	464
Conway	197	163	69	429
Deerfield	675	654	254	1,583
Erving	227	149	103	479
Gill	204	161	72	437
Greenfield	2,350	2,988	1,324	6,662
Hawley	33	26	16	75
Heath	63	52	29	144
Leverett	224	176	71	471
Leyden	72	69	49	190
Monroe	28	30	17	75
Montague	1,464	1,070	721	3,255
New Salem	109	88	41	238
Northfield	429	371	152	952
Orange	653	645	331	1,629
Rowe	70	61	32	163
Shelburne	268	256	150	674
Shutesbury	130	78	44	252
Sunderland	366	287	100	753
Warwick	56	79	61	196
Wendell	89	53	40	182
Whately	214	162	107	483
Totals	9,006	8,588	4,327	21,921

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would repeal section 2 of Article 46 of the Articles of Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth (the "anti-aid amendment", so-called), and replace it with a new section 2, for the purpose of allowing grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would delete the first clause of the present section 2, which requires that all moneys raised by taxation in the towns and cities for the support of public schools, and all moneys appropriated by the Commonwealth for the support of common schools shall be applied to or expended in only those schools conducted according to law under the order and superintendence of the authorities of the town or city in which the money is expended. The effect of the deletion of the first clause of section 2 would be to remove the constitutional prohibition against the use of public moneys, which have been raised by taxation or appropriated for support of public schools, for grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would also alter the second clause of the present section 2 by removing the prohibition against a grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of public credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any school or institution of learning, whether under public control or otherwise, wherein any denominational doctrine is inculcated, or any other school or any college which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents. In place of the foregoing, the proposed amendment would prohibit the grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any primary or secondary school which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents.

The proposed amendment would also add to the present section 2 a provision that nothing therein shall be construed to prohibit grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

Hampden County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Agawam	2,948	1,839	1,734	6,521
Blandford	155	156	65	376
Brimfield	343	232	125	700
Chester	130	119	91	340
CHICOPEE	8,813	5,305	5,045	19,163
E. Longmeadow	2,182	1,367	826	4,375
Granville	163	138	67	368
Hampden	720	401	127	1,248
Holland	189	147	74	410
HOLYOKE	5,081	3,865	5,865	14,811
Longmeadow	4,077	1,621	734	6,432
Ludlow	2,724	1,502	1,066	5,292
Monson	1,136	614	530	2,280
Montgomery	97	88	35	220
Palmer	1,832	1,108	1,168	4,108
Russell	217	147	103	467
Southwick	949	678	315	1,942
SPRINGFIELD	15,845	6,731	16,446	39,022
Tolland	44	25	12	81
Wales	142	109	60	311
West Springfield	4,184	2,522	2,200	8,906
WESTFIELD	4,949	3,524	2,400	10,873
Wilbraham	2,053	1,360	798	4,211
Totals	58,973	33,598	39,886	132,457

Hampshire County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Amherst	2,993	1,840	986	5,819
Belchertown	765	498	303	1,566
Chesterfield	126	83	59	268
Cummington	136	96	38	270
Easthampton	2,483	1,614	1,244	5,341
Goshen	77	83	36	196
Granby	625	597	301	1,523
Hadley	690	485	407	1,582
Hatfield	575	306	312	1,193
Huntington	233	166	109	508
Middlefield	49	46	24	119
NORTHAMPTON	4,652	2,796	2,276	9,724
Pelham	177	152	44	373
Plainfield	47	43	31	121

Votes on Question No. 3—Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held June 7, 1972, received 250 votes in the affirmative and 3 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held June 6, 1973, received 253 votes in the affirmative and 0 in the negative?

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would repeal section 2 of Article 46 of the Articles of Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth (the "anti-aid amendment", so-called), and replace it with a new section 2, for the purpose of allowing grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would delete the first clause of the present section 2, which requires that all moneys raised by taxation in the towns and cities for the support of public schools, and all moneys appropriated by the Commonwealth for the support of common schools shall be applied to or expended in only those schools conducted according to law under the order and superintendence of the authorities of the town or city in which the money is expended. The effect of the deletion of the first clause of section 2 would be to remove the constitutional prohibition against the use of public moneys, which have been raised by taxation or appropriated for support of public schools, for grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would also alter the second clause of the present section 2 by removing the prohibition against a grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of public credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any school or institution of learning, whether under public control or otherwise, wherein any denominational doctrine is inculcated, or any other school or any college which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents. In place of the foregoing, the proposed amendment would prohibit the grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any primary or secondary school which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents.

The proposed amendment would also add to the present section 2 a provision that nothing therein shall be construed to prohibit grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

Hampshire County.				
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
South Hadley	2,884	1,921	1,054	5,859
Southampton	549	457	200	1,206
Ware	1,481	888	1,163	3,532
Westhampton	152	135	50	337
Williamsburg	372	282	155	809
Worthington	124	108	53	285
Totals	19,190	12,596	8,845	40,631

Middlesex County.				
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Acton	2,943	2,101	530	5,574
Arlington	11,107	6,682	3,818	21,607
Ashby	297	308	121	726
Ashland	1,214	1,103	414	2,731
Ayer	638	458	257	1,353
Bedford	2,405	1,559	608	4,572
Belmont	6,586	3,781	2,297	12,664
Billerica	4,576	3,538	1,417	9,531
Boxborough	306	294	55	655
Burlington	2,581	2,971	1,332	6,884
CAMBRIDGE	16,350	7,211	7,203	30,764
Carlisle	689	457	112	1,258
Chelmsford	4,812	4,370	1,467	10,649
Concord	3,242	2,339	728	6,309
Dracut	2,719	2,682	1,775	7,176
Dunstable	215	209	97	521
EVERETT	4,052	2,993	7,302	14,347
Frammingham	10,778	6,674	3,055	20,507
Groton	774	882	238	1,894
Holliston	2,070	1,554	469	4,093
Hopkinton	991	757	369	2,117
Hudson	2,106	1,496	1,108	4,710
Lexington	6,089	4,331	2,428	12,848
Lincoln	1,374	585	212	2,171
Littleton	920	904	238	2,062
LOWELL	11,936	9,684	9,290	30,910
MALDEN	6,616	5,694	6,034	18,344
MLBOROUGH	4,832	2,990	2,427	10,249
Maynard	1,422	1,130	791	3,343
MEDFORD	10,169	6,230	6,501	22,900
MELROSE	5,057	4,560	2,681	12,298
Natick	4,537	3,855	2,085	10,477
NEWTON	16,661	10,861	7,543	35,065
North Reading	2,045	1,355	623	4,023
Pepperell	875	731	421	2,027
Reading	4,248	3,089	1,003	8,340
Sherborn	866	581	133	1,580
Shirley	421	446	177	1,044
SOMERVILLE	11,325	5,858	7,241	24,424
Stoneham	3,626	2,598	1,466	7,690
Stow	745	564	186	1,495
Sudbury	2,325	2,018	612	4,955
Tewksbury	3,330	3,610	1,147	8,087
Townsend	609	529	245	1,383
Tyngsborough	554	560	269	1,383
Wakefield	4,095	3,771	1,715	9,581
WALTHAM	5,330	5,073	5,914	16,317
Watertown	6,757	3,885	3,596	14,238
Wayland	2,842	1,798	522	5,162
Westford	1,720	1,692	589	4,001
Weston	2,682	1,616	396	4,694
Wilmington	1,901	1,821	1,255	4,977
Winchester	4,661	2,670	1,902	9,233
WOBURN	5,055	3,754	3,611	12,420
Totals	217,076	153,262	108,025	478,363

Votes on Question No. 3—Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment of the constitution summarized below which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held June 7, 1972, received 250 votes in the affirmative and 3 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held June 6, 1973, received 253 votes in the affirmative and 0 in the negative?

Nantucket County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Nantucket	721	418	343	1,482
Totals	721	418	343	1,482

Norfolk County.

Avon	785	519	360	1,664
Bellingham	2,012	1,358	854	4,224
Braintree	6,956	4,875	2,339	14,170
Brookline	9,708	5,096	7,380	22,184
Canton	3,579	2,247	1,167	6,993
Cohasset	1,735	857	482	3,074
Dedham	4,971	3,340	2,178	10,489
Dover	1,313	684	236	2,233
Foxborough	2,014	1,578	541	4,133
Franklin	2,884	1,779	1,154	5,817
Holbrook	2,086	1,201	838	4,125
Medfield	1,806	1,188	348	3,342
Medway	1,260	884	533	2,677
Millis	1,102	707	326	2,135
Milton	7,226	3,612	1,955	12,793
Needham	7,122	4,084	1,743	12,949
Norfolk	734	615	188	1,537
Norwood	6,085	3,365	1,985	11,435
Plainville	801	630	246	1,677
QUINCY	16,091	10,192	8,100	34,383
Randolph	4,945	2,909	2,135	9,989
Sharon	2,604	1,656	592	4,852
Stoughton	2,956	2,675	2,295	7,926
Walpole	3,414	2,176	974	6,564
Wellesley	6,841	3,300	1,196	11,337
Westwood	3,140	1,967	765	5,872
Weymouth	9,509	6,559	3,291	19,359
Wrentham	1,005	684	313	2,002
Totals	114,684	70,737	44,514	229,935

Plymouth County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Abington	2,001	1,414	809	4,224
Bridgewater	2,028	1,377	861	4,266
BROCKTON	12,059	7,239	5,826	25,124
Carver	500	414	240	1,154
Duxbury	2,093	1,264	450	3,807
East Bridgewater	976	1,596	636	3,208
Halifax	573	645	257	1,475
Hanover	1,974	1,385	566	3,925
Hanson	1,143	861	456	2,460
Hingham	4,277	2,303	1,094	7,674
Hull	1,715	956	889	3,560
Kingston	1,030	634	477	2,141
Lakeville	729	586	304	1,619
Marion	627	571	188	1,386
Marshfield	3,254	2,158	960	6,372
Mattapoisett	835	1,009	311	2,155
Middleborough	2,029	1,629	931	4,589
Norwell	1,499	1,120	582	3,201
Pembroke	1,734	1,191	659	3,584
Plymouth	2,975	2,700	2,020	7,695
Plympton	233	183	90	506
Rochester	249	323	122	694
Rockland	2,264	1,395	923	4,582
Scituate	3,373	1,834	924	6,131
Wareham	2,053	1,471	1,210	4,734
West Bridgewater	1,117	947	382	2,446
Whitman	2,036	1,692	840	4,568
Totals	55,376	38,897	23,007	117,280

Suffolk County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
BOSTON	47,689	39,163	66,643	153,495
CHELSEA	3,281	1,782	3,882	8,945
REVERE	6,345	4,393	5,469	16,207
Winthrop	2,905	2,954	2,536	8,395
Totals	60,220	48,292	78,530	187,042

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would repeal section 2 of Article 46 of the Articles of Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth (the "anti-aid amendment", so-called), and replace it with a new section 2, for the purpose of allowing grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would delete the first clause of the present section 2, which requires that all moneys raised by taxation in the towns and cities for the support of public schools, and all moneys appropriated by the Commonwealth for the support of common schools shall be applied to or expended in only those schools conducted according to law under the order and superintendence of the authorities of the town or city in which the money is expended. The effect of the deletion of the first clause of section 2 would be to remove the constitutional prohibition against the use of public moneys, which have been raised by taxation or appropriated for support of public schools, for grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would also alter the second clause of the present section 2 by removing the prohibition against a grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of public credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any school or institution of learning, whether under public control or otherwise, wherein any denominational doctrine is inculcated, or any other school or any college which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents. In place of the foregoing, the proposed amendment would prohibit the grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any primary or secondary school which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents.

The proposed amendment would also add to the present section 2 a provision that nothing therein shall be construed to prohibit grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

Votes on Question No. 3—Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held June 7, 1972, received 250 votes in the affirmative and 3 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held June 6, 1973, received 253 votes in the affirmative and 0 in the negative?

Worcester County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Ashburnham	507	423	246	1,176
Athol	1,230	1,079	744	3,053
Auburn	2,946	1,895	1,293	6,134
Barre	620	416	525	1,561
Berlin	343	270	119	732
Blackstone	931	534	562	2,027
Bolton	367	302	94	763
Boylston	568	389	206	1,163
Brookfield	316	197	182	695
Charlton	586	453	311	1,350
Clinton	1,822	1,185	1,494	4,501
Douglas	528	359	361	1,248
Dudley	1,117	661	795	2,573
East Brookfield	302	161	175	638
FITCHBURG	5,726	3,736	4,022	13,484
GARDNER	2,691	1,793	2,020	6,504
Grafton	1,735	1,186	1,001	3,922
Hardwick	326	236	219	781
Harvard	685	557	102	1,344
Holden	2,349	1,747	838	4,934
Hopedale	758	439	445	1,642
Hubbardston	185	211	117	513
Lancaster	531	689	294	1,514
Leicester	1,432	849	660	2,941
LEOMINSTER	4,785	3,047	2,691	10,523
Lunenburg	1,227	1,017	548	2,792
Mendon	365	305	232	902
Milford	2,851	1,979	2,525	7,355
Millbury	1,852	1,002	1,181	4,035
Millville	253	152	200	605
New Braintree	71	94	45	210
North Brookfield	646	438	375	1,459
Northborough	1,761	1,126	446	3,333
Northbridge	1,578	1,662	912	4,152
Oakham	137	125	66	328
Oxford	904	1,017	499	2,420
Paxton	745	434	191	1,370
Petersham	190	160	65	415
Phillipston	87	116	28	231
Princeton	330	253	80	663
Royalston	99	103	38	240
Rutland	527	508	125	1,160
Shrewsbury	4,189	2,336	1,865	8,390
Southborough	1,150	800	291	2,241
Southbridge	1,713	1,291	2,202	5,206
Spencer	1,531	788	977	3,296
Sterling	681	557	255	1,493
Sturbridge	714	592	446	1,752
Sutton	716	536	413	1,665
Templeton	721	541	398	1,660
Upton	614	415	340	1,369
Uxbridge	1,335	719	843	2,897
Warren	539	335	384	1,258
Webster	1,964	1,076	1,802	4,842
West Boylston	1,214	883	407	2,504
West Brookfield	380	269	243	892
Westborough	2,251	1,627	779	4,657
Westminster	767	617	339	1,723
Winchendon	657	555	369	1,581
WORCESTER	26,375	14,291	16,738	57,404
Totals	94,520	61,533	56,163	212,216

Aggregate of Votes.

BARNSTABLE	21,521	18,647	8,374	48,542
BERKSHIRE	16,065	19,410	14,259	49,734
BRISTOL	59,236	44,110	43,424	146,770
DUKES	1,738	1,037	927	3,702
ESSEX	101,139	69,173	56,034	226,346
FRANKLIN	9,006	8,588	4,327	21,921
HAMPDEN	58,973	33,598	39,886	132,457
HAMPSHIRE	19,190	12,596	8,845	40,631
MIDDLESEX	217,076	153,262	108,025	478,363
NANTUCKET	721	418	343	1,482
NORFOLK	114,684	70,737	44,514	229,935
PLYMOUTH	55,376	38,897	23,007	117,280
SUFFOLK	60,220	48,292	78,530	187,042
WORCESTER	94,520	61,533	56,163	212,216
TOTALS	829,465	580,298	486,658	1,896,421

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would repeal section 2 of Article 46 of the Articles of Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth (the "anti-aid amendment", so-called), and replace it with a new section 2, for the purpose of allowing grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would delete the first clause of the present section 2, which requires that all moneys raised by taxation in the towns and cities for the support of public schools, and all moneys appropriated by the Commonwealth for the support of common schools shall be applied to or expended in only those schools conducted according to law under the order and superintendence of the authorities of the town or city in which the money is expended. The effect of the deletion of the first clause of section 2 would be to remove the constitutional prohibition against the use of public moneys, which have been raised by taxation or appropriated for support of public schools, for grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

The proposed amendment would also alter the second clause of the present section 2 by removing the prohibition against a grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of public credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any school or institution of learning, whether under public control or otherwise, wherein any denominational doctrine is inculcated, or any other school or any college which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents. In place of the foregoing, the proposed amendment would prohibit the grant, appropriation or use of public money or property or loan of credit by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision for the purpose of founding, maintaining or aiding any primary or secondary school which is not publicly owned and under the exclusive control, order and superintendence of public officers or public agents.

The proposed amendment would also add to the present section 2 a provision that nothing therein shall be construed to prohibit grants in aid to private higher educational institutions or to students, or parents or guardians of students, attending such institutions.

Votes on Question No. 4—Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Barnstable County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Barnstable	4,798	3,246	1,638	9,682
Bourne	1,403	1,103	779	3,285
Brewster	902	584	220	1,706
Chatham	1,489	729	376	2,594
Dennis	2,119	1,639	777	4,535
Eastham	712	495	145	1,352
Falmouth	3,757	1,988	1,202	6,947
Harwich	1,696	1,192	403	3,291
Mashpee	492	308	165	965
Orleans	1,254	720	301	2,275
Provincetown	721	341	275	1,337
Sandwich	1,114	824	350	2,288
Truro	289	173	80	542
Wellfleet	548	254	154	956
Yarmouth	2,967	2,501	1,319	6,787
Totals	24,261	16,097	8,184	48,542

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Berkshire County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Adams	1,607	1,506	1,181	4,294
Alford	73	64	19	156
Becket	92	163	28	283
Cheshire	421	406	279	1,106
Clarksburg	238	214	115	567
Dalton	1,105	968	432	2,505
Egremont	227	152	71	450
Florida	87	76	31	194
Great Barrington	831	563	1,008	2,402
Hancock	94	68	42	204
Hinsdale	216	160	101	477
Lanesborough	408	285	212	905
Lee	670	471	663	1,804
Lenox	872	522	487	1,881
Monterey	123	76	30	229
Mt. Washington	17	16	13	46
New Ashford	37	17	14	68
New Marlborough	119	125	84	328
NORTH ADAMS	2,481	1,964	1,523	5,968
Otis	73	147	71	291
Peru	46	56	23	125
PITTSFIELD	7,806	4,220	7,126	19,152
Richmond	272	211	81	564
Sandisfield	77	55	47	179
Savoy	51	55	36	142
Sheffield	342	316	158	816
Stockbridge	609	220	201	1,030
Tyringham	50	49	28	127
Washington	54	50	25	129
West Stockbridge	177	161	126	464
Williamstown	1,485	838	332	2,655
Windsor	77	85	31	193
Totals	20,837	14,279	14,618	49,734

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Bristol County.

SUMMARY

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Acushnet	1,289	1,296	940	3,525
ATTLEBORO	3,727	3,211	1,312	8,250
Berkley	202	240	148	590
Dartmouth	3,208	3,038	1,766	8,012
Dighton	550	577	312	1,439
Easton	2,225	1,511	639	4,375
Fairhaven	1,889	2,717	1,245	5,851
FALL RIVER	10,056	7,326	12,803	30,185
Freetown	607	609	308	1,524
Mansfield	1,492	1,260	625	3,377
NEW BEDFORD	12,141	10,293	10,247	32,681
N. Attleborough	2,426	2,148	1,235	5,809
Norton	1,225	918	441	2,584
Raynham	964	1,053	562	2,579
Rehoboth	822	704	407	1,933
Seekonk	1,592	1,388	566	3,546
Somerset	2,667	2,337	1,921	6,925
Swansea	1,884	1,831	1,252	4,967
TAUNTON	4,322	4,418	5,552	14,292
Westport	1,576	1,466	1,284	4,326
Totals	54,864	48,341	43,565	146,770

Dukes County.

Chilmark	139	63	37	239
Edgartown	479	226	234	939
Gay Head	32	11	44	87
Gosnold	20	22	5	47
Oak Bluffs	370	214	250	834
Tisbury	591	278	296	1,165
West Tisbury	244	84	63	391
Totals	1,875	898	929	3,702

Essex County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Amesbury	1,279	1,573	1,144	3,996
Andover	5,198	3,256	1,452	9,906
BEVERLY	7,171	4,149	3,235	14,555
Boxford	966	545	155	1,666
Danvers	3,829	2,928	1,481	8,238
Essex	460	300	181	941
Georgetown	798	726	330	1,854
GLOUCESTER	3,614	2,091	2,424	8,129
Groveland	708	758	280	1,746
Hamilton	1,392	851	431	2,674
HAVERHILL	5,988	4,693	4,154	14,835
Ipswich	1,911	1,096	791	3,798
LAWRENCE	8,013	6,009	8,816	22,838
LYNN	10,821	8,220	7,344	26,385
Lynnfield	2,470	1,795	675	4,940
Manchester	1,342	536	395	2,273
Marblehead	5,593	2,514	1,225	9,332
Merrimac	538	519	272	1,329
Methuen	5,133	4,845	3,983	13,961
Middleton	581	516	262	1,359
Nahant	882	471	303	1,656
Newbury	761	592	314	1,667
NEWBURYPORT	2,458	1,723	1,871	6,052
North Andover	2,821	2,243	1,329	6,393
PEABODY	7,134	5,101	4,169	16,404
Rockport	1,418	597	521	2,536
Rowley	470	411	209	1,090
SALEM	6,182	4,003	4,348	14,533
Salisbury	574	620	545	1,739
Saugus	4,064	3,432	1,882	9,378
Swampscott	2,767	1,386	1,470	5,623
Topsfield	1,217	630	175	2,022
Wenham	830	406	161	1,397
West Newbury	469	418	214	1,101
Totals	99,852	69,953	56,541	226,346

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Franklin County.

Cities and Towns.	Franklin County.			Total Ballots.
	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Ashfield	179	252	76	507
Bernardston	144	322	90	556
Buckland	173	391	138	702
Charlemont	93	193	84	370
Colrain	106	277	81	464
Conway	185	173	71	429
Deerfield	551	810	222	1,583
Erving	139	246	94	479
Gill	165	205	67	437
Greenfield	1,648	3,724	1,290	6,662
Hawley	35	28	12	75
Heath	48	67	29	144
Leverett	259	140	72	471
Leyden	51	104	35	190
Monroe	17	42	16	75
Montague	934	1,647	674	3,255
New Salem	58	145	35	238
Northfield	303	519	130	952
Orange	380	983	266	1,629
Rowe	50	86	27	163
Shelburne	184	369	121	674
Shutesbury	154	62	36	252
Sunderland	380	280	93	753
Warwick	37	116	43	196
Wendell	80	65	37	182
Whately	176	214	93	483
Totals	6,529	11,460	3,932	21,921

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Hampden County.

Cities and Towns.	Hampden County.			Total Ballots.
	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Agawam	2,204	2,638	1,679	6,521
Blandford	121	195	60	376
Brimfield	250	328	122	700
Chester	113	154	73	340
CHICOPEE	6,019	8,127	5,017	19,163
E. Longmeadow	1,569	1,973	833	4,375
Granville	133	154	81	368
Hampden	498	608	142	1,248
Holland	142	189	79	410
HOLYOKE	4,151	4,654	6,006	14,811
Longmeadow	3,135	2,519	778	6,432
Ludlow	1,898	2,369	1,025	5,292
Monson	799	936	545	2,280
Montgomery	66	122	32	220
Palmer	1,284	1,680	1,144	4,108
Russell	169	204	94	467
Southwick	692	942	308	1,942
SPRINGFIELD	12,140	10,703	16,179	39,022
Tolland	31	37	13	81
Wales	99	150	62	311
West Springfield	3,046	3,793	2,067	8,906
WESTFIELD	3,803	4,669	2,401	10,873
Wilbraham	1,358	2,063	790	4,211
Totals	43,720	49,207	39,530	132,457

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Hampshire County.				Total Ballots.
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Amherst	3,913	1,003	903	5,819
Belchertown	636	636	294	1,566
Chesterfield	85	133	50	268
Cummington	121	112	37	270
Easthampton	1,777	2,338	1,226	5,341
Goshen	63	106	27	196
Granby	496	729	298	1,523
Hadley	576	627	379	1,582
Hatfield	409	505	279	1,193
Huntington	166	232	110	508
Middlefield	40	57	22	119
NORTHAMPTON	3,915	3,638	2,171	9,724
Pelham	214	127	32	373
Plainfield	32	68	21	121
South Hadley	2,317	2,511	1,031	5,859
Southampton	388	620	198	1,206
Ware	1,134	1,303	1,095	3,532
Westhampton	107	181	49	337
Williamsburg	284	373	152	809
Worthington	107	122	56	285
Totals	16,780	15,421	8,430	40,631

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Middlesex County.				
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Acton	3,373	1,671	530	5,574
Arlington	11,892	5,969	3,746	21,607
Ashby	229	362	135	726
Ashland	1,317	1,024	390	2,731
Ayer	586	516	251	1,353
Bedford	2,793	1,211	568	4,572
Belmont	7,314	3,120	2,230	12,664
Billerica	4,286	3,836	1,409	9,531
Boxborough	353	250	52	655
Burlington	2,840	2,787	1,257	6,884
CAMBRIDGE	19,192	4,769	6,803	30,764
Carlisle	849	299	110	1,258
Chelmsford	4,857	4,350	1,442	10,649
Concord	4,153	1,447	709	6,309
Dracut	2,253	3,210	1,713	7,176
Dunstable	213	225	83	521
EVERETT	4,011	3,073	7,263	14,347
Framingham	11,504	5,785	3,218	20,507
Groton	851	812	231	1,894
Holliston	2,143	1,455	495	4,093
Hopkinton	993	780	344	2,117
Hudson	1,856	1,792	1,062	4,710
Lexington	7,703	2,738	2,407	12,848
Lincoln	1,615	375	181	2,171
Littleton	1,005	849	208	2,062
LOWELL	10,858	10,770	9,282	30,910
MALDEN	7,606	4,613	6,125	18,344
MARLBOROUGH	4,286	3,615	2,348	10,249
Maynard	1,348	1,201	794	3,343
MEDFORD	10,606	6,037	6,257	22,900
MELROSE	5,963	3,734	2,601	12,298
Natick	5,365	3,115	1,997	10,477
NEWTON	20,212	7,184	7,669	35,065
North Reading	2,114	1,325	584	4,023
Pepperell	802	808	417	2,027
Reading	4,743	2,666	931	8,340
Sherborn	1,024	406	150	1,580
Shirley	363	504	177	1,044
SOMERVILLE	11,667	5,773	6,984	24,424
Stoneham	3,693	2,576	1,421	7,690
Stow	777	548	170	1,495
Sudbury	3,140	1,331	484	4,955
Tewksbury	3,264	3,778	1,045	8,087
Townsend	528	633	222	1,383
Tyngsborough	514	614	255	1,383
Wakefield	4,552	3,240	1,789	9,581
WALTHAM	5,819	4,297	6,201	16,317
Watertown	6,803	3,347	4,088	14,238
Wayland	3,371	1,285	506	5,162
Westford	1,749	1,675	577	4,001
Weston	3,228	1,064	402	4,694
Wilmington	1,976	1,793	1,208	4,977
Winchester	5,054	2,226	1,953	9,233
WOBURN	5,080	3,851	3,489	12,420
Totals	234,686	136,714	106,963	478,363

Nantucket County.				
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Nantucket	742	420	320	1,482
Totals	742	420	320	1,482

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Norfolk County.			
Avon	761	560	343
Bellingham	1,414	2,012	798
Braintree	6,714	5,161	2,295
Brookline	11,515	2,764	7,905
Canton	3,443	2,353	1,197
Cohasset	1,874	728	472
Dedham	4,821	3,471	2,197
Dover	1,406	590	237
Foxborough	1,900	1,723	510
Franklin	2,563	2,088	759
Holbrook	1,998	1,368	759
Medfield	1,903	1,368	380
Medway	1,131	1,041	505
Millis	1,016	806	313
Milton	7,164	3,632	1,997
Needham	7,544	3,713	1,692
Norfolk	696	643	198
Norwood	5,660	3,744	2,031
Plainville	659	768	250
QUINCY	16,019	10,471	7,893
Randolph	4,700	3,070	2,219
Sharon	2,983	1,314	555
Stoughton	3,027	2,615	2,284
Walpole	3,227	2,375	962
Wellsley	7,496	2,694	1,147
Westwood	3,156	1,919	797
Weymouth	9,288	6,893	3,178
Wrentham	886	807	309
Totals	114,964	70,382	44,589

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Plymouth County.			
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Total Ballots.
Abington	1,987	1,455	782
Bridgewater	1,990	1,461	815
BROCKTON	11,231	7,926	5,967
Carver	442	477	235
Duxbury	2,347	1,016	444
East Bridgewater	1,157	1,426	625
Halifax	578	672	225
Hanover	1,979	1,408	538
Hanson	1,115	906	439
Hingham	4,620	2,027	1,027
Hull	1,752	937	871
Kingston	1,045	650	446
Lakeville	578	748	293
Marion	701	502	183
Marshfield	3,557	1,935	880
Mattapoisett	824	1,021	310
Middleborough	1,858	1,841	890
Norwell	1,602	1,002	597
Pembroke	1,702	1,253	629
Plymouth	3,281	2,411	2,000
Plympton	217	353	84
Rochester	228	353	113
Rockland	2,232	1,467	883
Scituate	3,567	1,643	921
Wareham	1,875	1,706	1,153
West Bridgewater	1,021	1,075	350
Whitman	1,897	1,855	816
Totals	55,386	39,378	22,516

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Suffolk County.				
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
BOSTON	56,818	29,716	66,961	153,495
CHELSEA	3,120	1,850	3,975	8,945
REVERE	6,169	4,541	5,497	16,207
Winthrop	3,143	2,386	2,866	8,395
Totals	69,250	38,493	79,299	187,042

Worcester County.				
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Ashburnham	462	482	232	1,176
Athol	556	1,962	535	3,053
Auburn	2,467	2,370	1,297	6,134
Barre	517	524	520	1,561
Berlin	314	289	129	732
Blackstone	718	750	559	2,027
Bolton	398	280	85	763
Boylston	498	460	205	1,163
Brookfield	253	257	185	695
Charlton	460	586	304	1,350
Clinton	1,590	1,413	1,498	4,501
Douglas	426	457	365	1,248
Dudley	866	917	790	2,573
East Brookfield	227	241	170	638
FITCHBURG	4,531	4,731	4,222	13,484
GARDNER	2,156	2,362	1,986	6,504
Grafton	1,571	1,294	1,057	3,922
Hardwick	263	300	218	781
Harvard	808	446	90	1,344
Holden	2,316	1,723	895	4,934
Hopedale	509	721	412	1,642
Hubbardston	151	244	118	513
Lancaster	598	582	334	1,514
Leicester	1,206	1,039	696	2,941
LEOMINSTER	3,618	4,087	2,818	10,523
Lunenburg	1,077	1,170	545	2,792
Mendon	288	396	218	902
Millford	2,115	2,917	2,323	7,355
Millbury	1,576	1,272	1,187	4,035
Millville	209	201	195	605
New Braintree	67	93	50	210
North Brookfield	499	592	368	1,459
Nrothborough	1,555	1,342	436	3,333
Northbridge	1,185	2,036	931	4,152
Oakham	103	154	71	328
Oxford	724	1,222	474	2,420
Paxton	615	564	191	1,370
Petersham	125	233	57	415
Phillipston	60	155	16	231
Princeton	332	240	91	663
Royalton	79	131	30	240
Rutland	451	584	125	1,160
Shrewsbury	3,789	2,771	1,830	8,390
Southborough	1,169	772	300	2,241
Southbridge	1,469	1,613	2,124	5,206
Spencer	1,180	1,145	971	3,296
Sterling	598	656	239	1,493
Sturbridge	648	670	434	1,752
Sutton	581	691	393	1,665
Templeton	529	738	393	1,660
Upton	486	530	353	1,369
Uxbridge	1,010	1,065	822	2,897
Warren	400	489	369	1,258
Webster	1,499	15,38	1,805	4,842
West Boylston	1,118	956	430	2,504
West Brookfield	320	339	233	892
Westborough	2,069	1,822	766	4,657
Westminster	618	790	315	1,723
Winchendon	462	744	375	1,581
WORCESTER	23,847	16,367	17,190	57,404
Totals	80,331	75,515	56,370	212,216

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Aggregate of Votes.

BARNSTABLE	24,261	16,097	8,184	48,542
BERKSHIRE	20,837	14,279	14,618	49,734
BRISTOL	54,864	48,341	43,565	146,770
DUKES	1,875	898	929	3,702
ESSEX	99,852	69,953	56,541	226,346
FRANKLIN	6,529	11,460	3,932	21,921
HAMPDEN	43,720	49,207	39,530	132,457
HAMPSHIRE	16,780	15,421	8,430	40,631
MIDDLESEX	234,686	136,714	106,963	478,363
NANTUCKET	742	420	320	1,482
NORFOLK	114,964	70,382	44,589	229,935
PLYMOUTH	55,386	39,378	22,516	117,280
SUFFOLK	69,250	38,493	79,299	187,042
WORCESTER	80,331	75,515	56,370	212,216
TOTALS	824,077	586,558	485,786	1,896,421

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Votes on Question No. 5—Continued.

Do you approve of a law summarized below which was disapproved in the House of Representatives by a vote of 73 in the affirmative and 150 in the negative and was disapproved in the Senate by a vote of 16 in the affirmative and 22 in the negative?

Barnstable County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Barnstable	5,379	2,508	1,795	9,682
Bourne	1,634	759	892	3,285
Brewster	1,026	445	235	1,706
Chatham	1,570	601	423	2,594
Dennis	2,474	1,171	890	4,535
Eastham	791	396	165	1,352
Falmouth	3,711	1,924	1,312	6,947
Harwich	1,892	930	469	3,291
Mashpee	540	236	189	965
Orleans	1,304	619	352	2,275
Provincetown	757	314	266	1,337
Sandwich	1,288	609	391	2,288
Truro	306	154	82	542
Wellfleet	539	232	185	956
Yarmouth	3,614	1,667	1,506	6,787
Totals	26,825	12,565	9,152	48,542

Berkshire County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Adams	2,124	979	1,191	4,294
Alford	90	56	10	156
Becket	184	57	42	283
Cheshire	544	283	279	1,106
Clarksburg	323	128	116	567
Dalton	1,445	647	413	2,505
Egremont	239	145	66	450
Florida	106	44	44	194
Great Barrington	986	315	1,101	2,402
Hancock	111	44	49	204
Hinsdale	241	126	110	477
Lanesborough	519	140	246	905
Lee	799	372	633	1,804
Lenox	1,036	286	559	1,881
Monterey	142	56	31	229
Mt. Washington	23	10	13	46
New Ashford	31	20	17	68
New Marlborough	151	89	88	328
NORTH ADAMS	3,227	1,227	1,514	5,968
Otis	137	82	72	291
Peru	60	33	32	125
PITTSFIELD	9,302	2,619	7,231	19,152
Richmond	346	143	75	564
Sandisfield	76	57	46	179
Savoy	77	26	39	142
Sheffield	418	229	169	816
Stockbridge	608	227	195	1,030
Tyringham	69	26	32	127
Washington	69	35	25	129
West Stockbridge	219	109	136	464
Williamstown	1,785	499	371	2,655
Windsor	107	54	32	193
Totals	25,594	9,163	14,977	49,734

SUMMARY

Provisions of the act establish an independent Corrupt Practices Commission, with five members to be appointed by the Governor to staggered five year terms. The Commission has subpoena powers and is to investigate, by means of secret hearings, candidates' compliance with all state and federal laws relating to political campaign contributions and expenditures and corrupt practices. If probable cause is shown, the Commission is required to direct the Attorney General to take further action in the proper form and is required to make public a report of such action. In cases involving the campaign practices of the Attorney General himself, a special attorney is to be appointed. If no probable cause is shown, the Commission is to state so publicly.

Further, the proposed act extends the application of the current statute regarding the disclosure of campaign expenditures and contributions, to all candidates for office above town and city level, with the exception of President and Vice President of the United States. The act requires all such candidates to receive and disburse all amounts greater than \$25 by check, and makes it a crime to knowingly receive cash payment from a candidate or his committee for a service costing more than \$25. The act also requires candidates to designate a single bank as depository of funds and as recordkeeper, with records of receipts and expenditures to be open to public scrutiny.

Provisions of the act extend present campaign spending limits to cover all media expenses and require all media firms (including: television, radio, newspaper, billboard, magazine, advertising, public relations, printing, opinion polling, computer, telephone, telegraph) to report the purchase of media services by candidates. Media expenses of candidates for the offices of district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate and insolvency, register of deeds, county commissioner, county treasurer and sheriff are limited to \$.07 for each resident of the respective electoral district. Other provisions of the act require candidates, upon official announcement or filing of nomination papers, to report all political receipts and expenditures since the date of the last general election for the office sought, and count such expenditures toward spending limits. The act also limits candidates to one political committee.

The act establishes a maximum penalty of one year imprisonment and/or \$10,000 fine for individuals engaged in corrupt practices, and a \$50,000 fine for corporations so involved. In addition, the Attorney General is permitted to bring an action for the removal of a candidate whose election was materially aided by corrupt practices on the part of the candidate or one acting in his behalf, where such removal is otherwise authorized by law.

Votes on Question No. 5— Continued.

Do you approve of a law summarized below which was disapproved in the House of Representatives by a vote of 73 in the affirmative and 150 in the negative and was disapproved in the Senate by a vote of 16 in the affirmative and 22 in the negative?

Bristol County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Acushnet	1,162	956	957	3,525
ATTLEBORO	3,956	2,798	1,496	8,250
Berkley	266	177	147	590
Dartmouth	3,910	2,268	1,834	8,012
Dighton	664	456	319	1,439
Easton	2,371	1,283	721	4,375
Fairhaven	3,466	993	1,392	5,851
FALL RIVER	11,801	5,749	12,635	30,185
Freetown	730	506	288	1,524
Mansfield	1,703	1,019	655	3,377
NEW BEDFORD	14,367	7,947	10,367	32,681
N. Attleborough	2,659	1,830	1,320	5,809
Norton	1,341	781	462	2,584
Raynham	1,211	775	593	2,579
Rehoboth	890	636	407	1,933
Seekonk	1,860	1,161	525	3,546
Somerset	3,236	1,805	1,884	6,925
Swansea	2,183	1,520	1,264	4,967
TAUNTON	5,390	3,337	5,565	14,292
Westport	1,885	1,173	1,268	4,326
Totals	65,501	37,170	44,099	146,770

Dukes County.

Chilmark	135	71	33	239
Edgartown	462	242	235	939
Gay Head	32	13	42	87
Gosnold	31	10	6	47
Oak Bluffs	335	241	258	834
Tisbury	511	338	316	1,165
West Tisbury	204	122	65	391
Totals	1,710	1,037	955	3,702

Essex County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Amesbury	1,987	711	1,298	3,996
Andover	5,297	2,957	1,652	9,906
BEVERLY	7,254	3,942	3,359	14,555
Boxford	900	574	192	1,666
Danvers	4,106	2,410	1,722	8,238
Essex	439	276	226	941
Georgetown	874	583	397	1,854
GLOUCESTER	3,575	2,022	2,532	8,129
Groveland	878	553	315	1,746
Hamilton	1,391	817	466	2,674
HAVERHILL	6,600	3,727	4,508	14,835
Ipswich	1,891	1,064	843	3,798
LAWRENCE	8,752	5,182	8,904	22,838
LYNN	11,783	7,438	7,164	26,835
Lynnfield	2,666	1,532	742	4,940
Manchester	1,165	685	423	2,273
Marblehead	5,676	2,303	1,353	9,332
Merrimac	672	383	274	1,329
Methuen	5,949	3,857	4,155	13,961
Middleton	725	367	267	1,359
Nahant	867	490	299	1,656
Newbury	820	487	360	1,667
NEWBURYPORT	2,627	1,511	1,914	6,052
North Andover	3,120	1,929	1,344	6,393
PEABODY	7,878	4,118	4,408	16,404
Rockport	1,955	634	607	2,536
Rowley	539	345	206	1,090
SALEM	6,677	3,505	4,351	14,533
Salisbury	743	487	509	1,739
Saugus	4,608	2,793	1,977	9,378
Swampscott	2,772	1,161	1,690	5,623
Topsfield	1,129	651	242	2,022
Wenham	756	451	190	1,397
West Newbury	570	290	241	1,101
Totals	106,981	60,235	59,130	226,346

SUMMARY

Provisions of the act establish an independent Corrupt Practices Commission, with five members to be appointed by the Governor to staggered five year terms. The Commission has subpoena powers and is to investigate, by means of secret hearings, candidates' compliance with all state and federal laws relating to political campaign contributions and expenditures and corrupt practices. If probable cause is shown, the Commission is required to direct the Attorney General to take further action in the proper form and is required to make public a report of such action. In cases involving the campaign practices of the Attorney General himself, a special attorney is to be appointed. If no probable cause is shown, the Commission is to state so publicly.

Further, the proposed act extends the application of the current statute regarding the disclosure of campaign expenditures and contributions, to all candidates for office above town and city level, with the exception of President and Vice President of the United States. The act requires all such candidates to receive and disburse all amounts greater than \$25 by check, and makes it a crime to knowingly receive cash payment from a candidate or his committee for a service costing more than \$25. The act also requires candidates to designate a single bank as depository of funds and as recordkeeper, with records of receipts and expenditures to be open to public scrutiny.

Provisions of the act extend present campaign spending limits to cover all media expenses and require all media firms (including: television, radio, newspaper, billboard, magazine, advertising, public relations, printing, opinion polling, computer, telephone, telegraph) to report the purchase of media services by candidates. Media expenses of candidates for the offices of district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate and insolvency, register of deeds, county commissioner, county treasurer and sheriff are limited to \$.07 for each resident of the respective electoral district. Other provisions of the act require candidates, upon official announcement or filing of nomination papers, to report all political receipts and expenditures since the date of the last general election for the office sought, and count such expenditures toward spending limits. The act also limits candidates to one political committee.

The act establishes a maximum penalty of one year imprisonment and/or \$10,000 fine for individuals engaged in corrupt practices, and a \$50,000 fine for corporations so involved. In addition, the Attorney General is permitted to bring an action for the removal of a candidate whose election was materially aided by corrupt practices on the part of the candidate or one acting in his behalf, where such removal is otherwise authorized by law.