



Votes on Question No. 4—Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Barnstable County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Barnstable	4,798	3,246	1,638	9,682
Bourne	1,403	1,103	779	3,285
Brewster	902	584	220	1,706
Chatham	1,489	729	376	2,594
Dennis	2,119	1,639	777	4,535
Eastham	712	495	145	1,352
Falmouth	3,757	1,988	1,202	6,947
Harwich	1,696	1,192	403	3,291
Mashpee	492	308	165	965
Orleans	1,254	720	301	2,275
Provincetown	721	341	275	1,337
Sandwich	1,114	824	350	2,288
Truro	289	173	80	542
Wellfleet	548	254	154	956
Yarmouth	2,967	2,501	1,319	6,787
Totals	24,261	16,097	8,184	48,542

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Berkshire County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Adams	1,607	1,506	1,181	4,294
Alford	73	64	19	156
Becket	92	163	28	283
Cheshire	421	406	279	1,106
Clarksburg	238	214	115	567
Dalton	1,105	968	432	2,505
Egremont	227	152	71	450
Florida	87	76	31	194
Great Barrington	831	563	1,008	2,402
Hancock	94	68	42	204
Hinsdale	216	160	101	477
Lanesborough	408	285	212	905
Lee	670	471	663	1,804
Lenox	872	522	487	1,881
Monterey	123	76	30	229
Mt. Washington	17	16	13	46
New Ashford	37	17	14	68
New Marlborough	119	125	84	328
NORTH ADAMS	2,481	1,964	1,523	5,968
Otis	73	147	71	291
Peru	46	56	23	125
PITTSFIELD	7,806	4,220	7,126	19,152
Richmond	272	211	81	564
Sandisfield	77	55	47	179
Savoy	51	55	36	142
Sheffield	342	316	158	816
Stockbridge	609	220	201	1,030
Tyringham	50	49	28	127
Washington	54	50	25	129
West Stockbridge	177	161	126	464
Williamstown	1,485	838	332	2,655
Windsor	77	85	31	193
Totals	20,837	14,279	14,618	49,734

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Bristol County.

SUMMARY

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Acushnet	1,289	1,296	940	3,525
ATTLEBORO	3,727	3,211	1,312	8,250
Berkley	202	240	148	590
Dartmouth	3,208	3,038	1,766	8,012
Dighton	550	577	312	1,439
Easton	2,225	1,511	639	4,375
Fairhaven	1,889	2,717	1,245	5,851
FALL RIVER	10,056	7,326	12,803	30,185
Freetown	607	609	308	1,524
Mansfield	1,492	1,260	625	3,377
NEW BEDFORD	12,141	10,293	10,247	32,681
N. Attleborough	2,426	2,148	1,235	5,809
Norton	1,225	918	441	2,584
Raynham	964	1,053	562	2,579
Rehoboth	822	704	407	1,933
Seekonk	1,592	1,388	566	3,546
Somerset	2,667	2,337	1,921	6,925
Swansea	1,884	1,831	1,252	4,967
TAUNTON	4,322	4,418	5,552	14,292
Westport	1,576	1,466	1,284	4,326
Totals	54,864	48,341	43,565	146,770

Dukes County.

Chilmark	139	63	37	239
Edgartown	479	226	234	939
Gay Head	32	11	44	87
Gosnold	20	22	5	47
Oak Bluffs	370	214	250	834
Tisbury	591	278	296	1,165
West Tisbury	244	84	63	391
Totals	1,875	898	929	3,702

Essex County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Amesbury	1,279	1,573	1,144	3,996
Andover	5,198	3,256	1,452	9,906
BEVERLY	7,171	4,149	3,235	14,555
Boxford	966	545	155	1,666
Danvers	3,829	2,928	1,481	8,238
Essex	460	300	181	941
Georgetown	798	726	330	1,854
GLOUCESTER	3,614	2,091	2,424	8,129
Groveland	708	758	280	1,746
Hamilton	1,392	851	431	2,674
HAVERHILL	5,988	4,693	4,154	14,835
Ipswich	1,911	1,096	791	3,798
LAWRENCE	8,013	6,009	8,816	22,838
LYNN	10,821	8,220	7,344	26,385
Lynnfield	2,470	1,795	675	4,940
Manchester	1,342	536	395	2,273
Marblehead	5,593	2,514	1,225	9,332
Merrimac	538	519	272	1,329
Methuen	5,133	4,845	3,983	13,961
Middleton	581	516	262	1,359
Nahant	882	471	303	1,656
Newbury	761	592	314	1,667
NEWBURYPORT	2,458	1,723	1,871	6,052
North Andover	2,821	2,243	1,329	6,393
PEABODY	7,134	5,101	4,169	16,404
Rockport	1,418	597	521	2,536
Rowley	470	411	209	1,090
SALEM	6,182	4,003	4,348	14,533
Salisbury	574	620	545	1,739
Saugus	4,064	3,432	1,882	9,378
Swampscott	2,767	1,386	1,470	5,623
Topsfield	1,217	630	175	2,022
Wenham	830	406	161	1,397
West Newbury	469	418	214	1,101
Totals	99,852	69,953	56,541	226,346

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Franklin County.

Cities and Towns.	Franklin County.			Total Ballots.
	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Ashfield	179	252	76	507
Bernardston	144	322	90	556
Buckland	173	391	138	702
Charlemont	93	193	84	370
Colrain	106	277	81	464
Conway	185	173	71	429
Deerfield	551	810	222	1,583
Erving	139	246	94	479
Gill	165	205	67	437
Greenfield	1,648	3,724	1,290	6,662
Hawley	35	28	12	75
Heath	48	67	29	144
Leverett	259	140	72	471
Leyden	51	104	35	190
Monroe	17	42	16	75
Montague	934	1,647	674	3,255
New Salem	58	145	35	238
Northfield	303	519	130	952
Orange	380	983	266	1,629
Rowe	50	86	27	163
Shelburne	184	369	121	674
Shutesbury	154	62	36	252
Sunderland	380	280	93	753
Warwick	37	116	43	196
Wendell	80	65	37	182
Whately	176	214	93	483
Totals	6,529	11,460	3,932	21,921

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Hampden County.

Cities and Towns.	Hampden County.			Total Ballots.
	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Agawam	2,204	2,638	1,679	6,521
Blandford	121	195	60	376
Brimfield	250	328	122	700
Chester	113	154	73	340
CHICOPEE	6,019	8,127	5,017	19,163
E. Longmeadow	1,569	1,973	833	4,375
Granville	133	154	81	368
Hampden	498	608	142	1,248
Holland	142	189	79	410
HOLYOKE	4,151	4,654	6,006	14,811
Longmeadow	3,135	2,519	778	6,432
Ludlow	1,898	2,369	1,025	5,292
Monson	799	936	545	2,280
Montgomery	66	122	32	220
Palmer	1,284	1,680	1,144	4,108
Russell	169	204	94	467
Southwick	692	942	308	1,942
SPRINGFIELD	12,140	10,703	16,179	39,022
Tolland	31	37	13	81
Wales	99	150	62	311
West Springfield	3,046	3,793	2,067	8,906
WESTFIELD	3,803	4,669	2,401	10,873
Wilbraham	1,358	2,063	790	4,211
Totals	43,720	49,207	39,530	132,457

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Hampshire County.				Total Ballots.
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Amherst	3,913	1,003	903	5,819
Belchertown	636	636	294	1,566
Chesterfield	85	133	50	268
Cummington	121	112	37	270
Easthampton	1,777	2,338	1,226	5,341
Goshen	63	106	27	196
Granby	496	729	298	1,523
Hadley	576	627	379	1,582
Hatfield	409	505	279	1,193
Huntington	166	232	110	508
Middlefield	40	57	22	119
NORTHAMPTON	3,915	3,638	2,171	9,724
Pelham	214	127	32	373
Plainfield	32	68	21	121
South Hadley	2,317	2,511	1,031	5,859
Southampton	388	620	198	1,206
Ware	1,134	1,303	1,095	3,532
Westhampton	107	181	49	337
Williamsburg	284	373	152	809
Worthington	107	122	56	285
Totals	16,780	15,421	8,430	40,631

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Middlesex County.					SUMMARY
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.	
Acton	3,373	1,671	530	5,574	The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.
Arlington	11,892	5,969	3,746	21,607	
Ashby	229	362	135	726	
Ashland	1,317	1,024	390	2,731	
Ayer	586	516	251	1,353	
Bedford	2,793	1,211	568	4,572	
Belmont	7,314	3,120	2,230	12,664	
Billerica	4,286	3,836	1,409	9,531	
Boxborough	353	250	52	655	
Burlington	2,840	2,787	1,257	6,884	
CAMBRIDGE	19,192	4,769	6,803	30,764	
Carlisle	849	299	110	1,258	
Chelmsford	4,857	4,350	1,442	10,649	
Concord	4,153	1,447	709	6,309	
Dracut	2,253	3,210	1,713	7,176	
Dunstable	213	225	83	521	
EVERETT	4,011	3,073	7,263	14,347	
Frammingham	11,504	5,785	3,218	20,507	
Groton	851	812	231	1,894	
Holliston	2,143	1,455	495	4,093	
Hopkinton	993	780	344	2,117	
Hudson	1,856	1,792	1,062	4,710	
Lexington	7,703	2,738	2,407	12,848	
Lincoln	1,615	375	181	2,171	
Littleton	1,005	849	208	2,062	
LOWELL	10,858	10,770	9,282	30,910	
MALDEN	7,606	4,613	6,125	18,344	
MARLBOROUGH	4,286	3,615	2,348	10,249	
Maynard	1,348	1,201	794	3,343	
MEDFORD	10,606	6,037	6,257	22,900	
MELROSE	5,963	3,734	2,601	12,298	
Natick	5,365	3,115	1,997	10,477	
NEWTON	20,212	7,184	7,669	35,065	
North Reading	2,114	1,325	584	4,023	
Pepperell	802	808	417	2,027	
Reading	4,743	2,666	931	8,340	
Sherborn	1,024	406	150	1,580	
Shirley	363	504	177	1,044	
SOMERVILLE	11,667	5,773	6,984	24,424	
Stoneham	3,693	2,576	1,421	7,690	
Stow	777	548	170	1,495	
Sudbury	3,140	1,331	484	4,955	
Tewksbury	3,264	3,778	1,045	8,087	
Townsend	528	633	222	1,383	
Tyngsborough	514	614	255	1,383	
Wakefield	4,552	3,240	1,789	9,581	
WALTHAM	5,819	4,297	6,201	16,317	
Watertown	6,803	3,347	4,088	14,238	
Wayland	3,371	1,285	506	5,162	
Westford	1,749	1,675	577	4,001	
Weston	3,228	1,064	402	4,694	
Wilmington	1,976	1,793	1,208	4,977	
Winchester	5,054	2,226	1,953	9,233	
WOBURN	5,080	3,851	3,489	12,420	
Totals	234,686	136,714	106,963	478,363	
Nantucket County.					
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.	
Nantucket	742	420	320	1,482	
Totals	742	420	320	1,482	

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Norfolk County.				
Avon	761	560	343	1,664
Bellingham	1,414	2,012	798	4,224
Braintree	6,714	5,161	2,295	14,170
Brookline	11,515	2,764	7,905	22,184
Canton	3,443	2,353	1,197	6,993
Cohasset	1,874	728	472	3,074
Dedham	4,821	3,471	2,197	10,489
Dover	1,406	590	237	2,233
Foxborough	1,900	1,723	510	4,133
Franklin	2,563	2,088	759	4,133
Holbrook	1,998	1,368	759	14,125
Medfield	1,903	1,368	380	4,125
Medway	1,131	1,041	505	2,677
Millis	1,016	806	313	2,135
Milton	7,164	3,632	1,997	12,793
Needham	7,544	3,713	1,692	12,949
Norfolk	696	643	198	1,537
Norwood	5,660	3,744	2,031	11,435
Plainville	659	768	250	1,677
QUINCY	16,019	10,471	7,893	34,383
Randolph	4,700	3,070	2,219	9,989
Sharon	2,983	1,314	555	4,852
Stoughton	3,027	2,615	2,284	7,926
Walpole	3,227	2,375	962	6,564
Wellsley	7,496	2,694	1,147	11,337
Westwood	3,156	1,919	797	5,872
Weymouth	9,288	6,893	3,178	19,359
Wrentham	886	807	309	2,002
Totals	114,964	70,382	44,589	229,935

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Plymouth County.				
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Abington	1,987	1,455	782	4,224
Bridgewater	1,990	1,461	815	4,266
BROCKTON	11,231	7,926	5,967	25,124
Carver	442	477	235	1,154
Duxbury	2,347	1,016	444	3,807
East Bridgewater	1,157	1,426	625	3,208
Halifax	578	672	225	1,475
Hanover	1,979	1,408	538	3,925
Hanson	1,115	906	439	2,460
Hingham	4,620	2,027	1,027	7,674
Hull	1,752	937	871	3,560
Kingston	1,045	650	446	2,141
Lakeville	578	748	293	1,619
Marion	701	502	183	1,386
Marshfield	3,557	1,935	880	6,372
Mattapoisett	824	1,021	310	2,155
Middleborough	1,858	1,841	890	4,589
Norwell	1,602	1,002	597	3,201
Pembroke	1,702	1,253	629	3,584
Plymouth	3,281	2,411	2,000	7,695
Plympton	217	353	84	506
Rochester	228	353	113	694
Rockland	2,232	1,467	883	4,582
Scituate	3,567	1,643	921	6,131
Wareham	1,875	1,706	1,153	4,734
West Bridgewater	1,021	1,075	350	2,446
Whitman	1,897	1,855	816	4,568
Totals	55,386	39,378	22,516	117,280

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Suffolk County.				
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
BOSTON	56,818	29,716	66,961	153,495
CHELSEA	3,120	1,850	3,975	8,945
REVERE	6,169	4,541	5,497	16,207
Winthrop	3,143	2,386	2,866	8,395
Totals	69,250	38,493	79,299	187,042

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Worcester County.				
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Ashburnham	462	482	232	1,176
Athol	556	1,962	535	3,053
Auburn	2,467	2,370	1,297	6,134
Barre	517	524	520	1,561
Berlin	314	289	129	732
Blackstone	718	750	559	2,027
Bolton	398	280	85	763
Boylston	498	460	205	1,163
Brookfield	253	257	185	695
Charlton	460	586	304	1,350
Clinton	1,590	1,413	1,498	4,501
Douglas	426	457	365	1,248
Dudley	866	917	790	2,573
East Brookfield	227	241	170	638
FITCHBURG	4,531	4,731	4,222	13,484
GARDNER	2,156	2,362	1,986	6,504
Grafton	1,571	1,294	1,057	3,922
Hardwick	263	300	218	781
Harvard	808	446	90	1,344
Holden	2,316	1,723	895	4,934
Hopedale	509	721	412	1,642
Hubbardston	151	244	118	513
Lancaster	598	582	334	1,514
Leicester	1,206	1,039	696	2,941
LEOMINSTER	3,618	4,087	2,818	10,523
Lunenburg	1,077	1,170	545	2,792
Mendon	288	396	218	902
Millford	2,115	2,917	2,323	7,355
Millbury	1,576	1,272	1,187	4,035
Millville	209	201	195	605
New Braintree	67	93	50	210
North Brookfield	499	592	368	1,459
Northborough	1,555	1,342	436	3,333
Northbridge	1,185	2,036	931	4,152
Oakham	103	154	71	328
Oxford	724	1,222	474	2,420
Paxton	615	564	191	1,370
Petersham	125	233	57	415
Phillipston	60	155	16	231
Princeton	332	240	91	663
Royalston	79	131	30	240
Rutland	451	584	125	1,160
Shrewsbury	3,789	2,771	1,830	8,390
Southborough	1,169	772	300	2,241
Southbridge	1,469	1,613	2,124	5,206
Spencer	1,180	1,145	971	3,296
Sterling	598	656	239	1,493
Sturbridge	648	670	434	1,752
Sutton	581	691	393	1,665
Templeton	529	738	393	1,660
Upton	486	530	353	1,369
Uxbridge	1,010	1,065	822	2,897
Warren	400	489	369	1,258
Webster	1,499	15,38	1,805	4,842
West Boylston	1,118	956	430	2,504
West Brookfield	320	339	233	892
Westborough	2,069	1,822	766	4,657
Westminster	618	790	315	1,723
Winchendon	462	744	375	1,581
WORCESTER	23,847	16,367	17,190	57,404
Totals	80,331	75,515	56,370	212,216

Votes on Question No. 4— Continued.

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in a joint session of the two branches held May 17, 1972, received 131 votes in the affirmative and 121 in the negative, and in a joint session of the two branches held May 8, 1974, received 139 votes in the affirmative and 119 in the negative?

Aggregate of Votes.

BARNSTABLE	24,261	16,097	8,184	48,542
BERKSHIRE	20,837	14,279	14,618	49,734
BRISTOL	54,864	48,341	43,565	146,770
DUKES	1,875	898	929	3,702
ESSEX	99,852	69,953	56,541	226,346
FRANKLIN	6,529	11,460	3,932	21,921
HAMPDEN	43,720	49,207	39,530	132,457
HAMPSHIRE	16,780	15,421	8,430	40,631
MIDDLESEX	234,686	136,714	106,963	478,363
NANTUCKET	742	420	320	1,482
NORFOLK	114,964	70,382	44,589	229,935
PLYMOUTH	55,386	39,378	22,516	117,280
SUFFOLK	69,250	38,493	79,299	187,042
WORCESTER	80,331	75,515	56,370	212,216
TOTALS	824,077	586,558	485,786	1,896,421

SUMMARY

The proposed constitutional amendment would revise Article 78 of the Articles of Amendment to the Constitution to permit the expenditure of money from the highway fund for mass transportation lines and other mass transportation purposes in such manner as the Legislature may direct. The highway fund includes receipts from fees, duties, excises and license taxes relating to registration, operation or use of motor vehicles and taxes from the sale of motor vehicle fuels. The expenditure of money from such fund is presently restricted to highway and bridge construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair, enforcement of state traffic laws, and administration of the tax statutes which provide highway fund receipts.

Votes on Question No. 5— Continued.

Do you approve of a law summarized below which was disapproved in the House of Representatives by a vote of 73 in the affirmative and 150 in the negative and was disapproved in the Senate by a vote of 16 in the affirmative and 22 in the negative?

Barnstable County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Barnstable	5,379	2,508	1,795	9,682
Bourne	1,634	759	892	3,285
Brewster	1,026	445	235	1,706
Chatham	1,570	601	423	2,594
Dennis	2,474	1,171	890	4,535
Eastham	791	396	165	1,352
Falmouth	3,711	1,924	1,312	6,947
Harwich	1,892	930	469	3,291
Mashpee	540	236	189	965
Orleans	1,304	619	352	2,275
Provincetown	757	314	266	1,337
Sandwich	1,288	609	391	2,288
Truro	306	154	82	542
Wellfleet	539	232	185	956
Yarmouth	3,614	1,667	1,506	6,787
Totals	26,825	12,565	9,152	48,542

Berkshire County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Adams	2,124	979	1,191	4,294
Alford	90	56	10	156
Becket	184	57	42	283
Cheshire	544	283	279	1,106
Clarksburg	323	128	116	567
Dalton	1,445	647	413	2,505
Egremont	239	145	66	450
Florida	106	44	44	194
Great Barrington	986	315	1,101	2,402
Hancock	111	44	49	204
Hinsdale	241	126	110	477
Lanesborough	519	140	246	905
Lee	799	372	633	1,804
Lenox	1,036	286	559	1,881
Monterey	142	56	31	229
Mt. Washington	23	10	13	46
New Ashford	31	20	17	68
New Marlborough	151	89	88	328
NORTH ADAMS	3,227	1,227	1,514	5,968
Otis	137	82	72	291
Peru	60	33	32	125
PITTSFIELD	9,302	2,619	7,231	19,152
Richmond	346	143	75	564
Sandisfield	76	57	46	179
Savoy	77	26	39	142
Sheffield	418	229	169	816
Stockbridge	608	227	195	1,030
Tyringham	69	26	32	127
Washington	69	35	25	129
West Stockbridge	219	109	136	464
Williamstown	1,785	499	371	2,655
Windsor	107	54	32	193
Totals	25,594	9,163	14,977	49,734

SUMMARY

Provisions of the act establish an independent Corrupt Practices Commission, with five members to be appointed by the Governor to staggered five year terms. The Commission has subpoena powers and is to investigate, by means of secret hearings, candidates' compliance with all state and federal laws relating to political campaign contributions and expenditures and corrupt practices. If probable cause is shown, the Commission is required to direct the Attorney General to take further action in the proper form and is required to make public a report of such action. In cases involving the campaign practices of the Attorney General himself, a special attorney is to be appointed. If no probable cause is shown, the Commission is to state so publicly.

Further, the proposed act extends the application of the current statute regarding the disclosure of campaign expenditures and contributions, to all candidates for office above town and city level, with the exception of President and Vice President of the United States. The act requires all such candidates to receive and disburse all amounts greater than \$25 by check, and makes it a crime to knowingly receive cash payment from a candidate or his committee for a service costing more than \$25. The act also requires candidates to designate a single bank as depository of funds and as recordkeeper, with records of receipts and expenditures to be open to public scrutiny.

Provisions of the act extend present campaign spending limits to cover all media expenses and require all media firms (including: television, radio, newspaper, billboard, magazine, advertising, public relations, printing, opinion polling, computer, telephone, telegraph) to report the purchase of media services by candidates. Media expenses of candidates for the offices of district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate and insolvency, register of deeds, county commissioner, county treasurer and sheriff are limited to \$.07 for each resident of the respective electoral district. Other provisions of the act require candidates, upon official announcement or filing of nomination papers, to report all political receipts and expenditures since the date of the last general election for the office sought, and count such expenditures toward spending limits. The act also limits candidates to one political committee.

The act establishes a maximum penalty of one year imprisonment and/or \$10,000 fine for individuals engaged in corrupt practices, and a \$50,000 fine for corporations so involved. In addition, the Attorney General is permitted to bring an action for the removal of a candidate whose election was materially aided by corrupt practices on the part of the candidate or one acting in his behalf, where such removal is otherwise authorized by law.

Votes on Question No. 5— Continued.

Do you approve of a law summarized below which was disapproved in the House of Representatives by a vote of 73 in the affirmative and 150 in the negative and was disapproved in the Senate by a vote of 16 in the affirmative and 22 in the negative?

Bristol County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Acushnet	1,162	956	957	3,525
ATTLEBORO	3,956	2,798	1,496	8,250
Berkley	266	177	147	590
Dartmouth	3,910	2,268	1,834	8,012
Dighton	664	456	319	1,439
Easton	2,371	1,283	721	4,375
Fairhaven	3,466	993	1,392	5,851
FALL RIVER	11,801	5,749	12,635	30,185
Freewtown	730	506	288	1,524
Mansfield	1,703	1,019	655	3,377
NEW BEDFORD	14,367	7,947	10,367	32,681
N. Attleborough	2,659	1,830	1,320	5,809
Norton	1,341	781	462	2,584
Raynham	1,211	775	593	2,579
Rehoboth	890	636	407	1,933
Seekonk	1,860	1,161	525	3,546
Somerset	3,236	1,805	1,884	6,925
Swansea	2,183	1,520	1,264	4,967
TAUNTON	5,390	3,337	5,565	14,292
Westport	1,885	1,173	1,268	4,326
Totals	65,501	37,170	44,099	146,770

Dukes County.

Chilmark	135	71	33	239
Edgartown	462	242	235	939
Gay Head	32	13	42	87
Gosnold	31	10	6	47
Oak Bluffs	335	241	258	834
Tisbury	511	338	316	1,165
West Tisbury	204	122	65	391
Totals	1,710	1,037	955	3,702

Essex County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Amesbury	1,987	711	1,298	3,996
Andover	5,297	2,957	1,652	9,906
BEVERLY	7,254	3,942	3,359	14,555
Boxford	900	574	192	1,666
Danvers	4,106	2,410	1,722	8,238
Essex	439	276	226	941
Georgetown	874	583	397	1,854
GLOUCESTER	3,575	2,022	2,532	8,129
Groveland	878	553	315	1,746
Hamilton	1,391	817	466	2,674
HAVERHILL	6,600	3,727	4,508	14,835
Ipswich	1,891	1,064	843	3,798
LAWRENCE	8,752	5,182	8,904	22,838
LYNN	11,783	7,438	7,164	26,835
Lynnfield	2,666	1,532	742	4,940
Manchester	1,165	685	423	2,273
Marblehead	5,676	2,303	1,353	9,332
Merrimac	672	383	274	1,329
Methuen	5,949	3,857	4,155	13,961
Middleton	725	367	267	1,359
Nahant	867	490	299	1,656
Newbury	820	487	360	1,667
NEWBURYPORT	2,627	1,511	1,914	6,052
North Andover	3,120	1,929	1,344	6,393
PEABODY	7,878	4,118	4,408	16,404
Rockport	1,955	634	607	2,536
Rowley	539	345	206	1,090
SALEM	6,677	3,505	4,351	14,533
Salisbury	743	487	509	1,739
Saugus	4,608	2,793	1,977	9,378
Swampscott	2,772	1,161	1,690	5,623
Topsfield	1,129	651	242	2,022
Wenham	756	451	190	1,397
West Newbury	570	290	241	1,101
Totals	106,981	60,235	59,130	226,346

SUMMARY

Provisions of the act establish an independent Corrupt Practices Commission, with five members to be appointed by the Governor to staggered five year terms. The Commission has subpoena powers and is to investigate, by means of secret hearings, candidates' compliance with all state and federal laws relating to political campaign contributions and expenditures and corrupt practices. If probable cause is shown, the Commission is required to direct the Attorney General to take further action in the proper form and is required to make public a report of such action. In cases involving the campaign practices of the Attorney General himself, a special attorney is to be appointed. If no probable cause is shown, the Commission is to state so publicly.

Further, the proposed act extends the application of the current statute regarding the disclosure of campaign expenditures and contributions, to all candidates for office above town and city level, with the exception of President and Vice President of the United States. The act requires all such candidates to receive and disburse all amounts greater than \$25 by check, and makes it a crime to knowingly receive cash payment from a candidate or his committee for a service costing more than \$25. The act also requires candidates to designate a single bank as depository of funds and as recordkeeper, with records of receipts and expenditures to be open to public scrutiny.

Provisions of the act extend present campaign spending limits to cover all media expenses and require all media firms (including: television, radio, newspaper, billboard, magazine, advertising, public relations, printing, opinion polling, computer, telephone, telegraph) to report the purchase of media services by candidates. Media expenses of candidates for the offices of district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate and insolvency, register of deeds, county commissioner, county treasurer and sheriff are limited to \$.07 for each resident of the respective electoral district. Other provisions of the act require candidates, upon official announcement or filing of nomination papers, to report all political receipts and expenditures since the date of the last general election for the office sought, and count such expenditures toward spending limits. The act also limits candidates to one political committee.

The act establishes a maximum penalty of one year imprisonment and/or \$10,000 fine for individuals engaged in corrupt practices, and a \$50,000 fine for corporations so involved. In addition, the Attorney General is permitted to bring an action for the removal of a candidate whose election was materially aided by corrupt practices on the part of the candidate or one acting in his behalf, where such removal is otherwise authorized by law.

Votes on Question No. 5— Continued.

Do you approve of a law summarized below which was disapproved in the House of Representatives by a vote of 73 in the affirmative and 150 in the negative and was disapproved in the Senate by a vote of 16 in the affirmative and 22 in the negative?

Franklin County.				Total Ballots.
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Ashfield	255	151	101	507
Bernardston	276	171	109	556
Buckland	304	227	171	702
Charlмонт	162	114	94	370
Colrain	237	129	98	464
Conway	242	110	77	429
Deerfield	854	464	265	1,583
Erving	211	162	106	479
Gill	215	137	85	437
Greenfield	3,320	1,751	1,591	6,662
Hawley	44	14	17	75
Heath	62	47	35	144
Leverett	273	105	93	471
Leyden	90	56	44	190
Monroe	33	25	17	75
Montague	1,591	897	767	3,255
New Salem	130	70	38	238
Northfield	470	319	163	952
Orange	793	494	342	1,629
Rowe	74	60	29	163
Shelburne	334	195	145	674
Shutesbury	158	52	42	252
Sunderland	437	203	113	753
Warwick	80	58	58	196
Wendell	100	43	39	182
Whately	237	132	114	483
Totals	10,982	6,186	4,753	21,921

Hampden County.				Total Ballots.
Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Agawam	3,416	1,220	1,885	6,521
Blandford	191	114	71	376
Brimfield	372	198	130	700
Chester	142	102	96	340
CHICOPEE	9,087	4,892	5,184	19,163
E. Longmeadow	2,610	852	913	4,375
Granville	173	121	74	368
Hampden	734	371	143	1,248
Holland	207	127	76	410
HOLYOKE	5,326	3,054	6,431	14,811
Longmeadow	4,119	1,482	831	6,432
Ludlow	2,773	1,472	1,047	5,292
Monson	1,146	581	553	2,280
Montgomery	118	65	37	220
Palmer	1,867	1,044	1,197	4,108
Russell	231	138	98	467
Southwick	1,016	607	319	1,942
SPRINGFIELD	16,657	5,003	17,362	39,022
Tolland	38	27	16	81
Wales	176	75	60	311
West Springfield	4,360	1,979	2,567	8,906
WESTFIELD	5,576	2,780	2,517	10,873
Wilbraham	2,683	655	873	4,211
Totals	63,018	26,959	42,480	132,457

SUMMARY

Provisions of the act establish an independent Corrupt Practices Commission, with five members to be appointed by the Governor to staggered five year terms. The Commission has subpoena powers and is to investigate, by means of secret hearings, candidates' compliance with all state and federal laws relating to political campaign contributions and expenditures and corrupt practices. If probable cause is shown, the Commission is required to direct the Attorney General to take further action in the proper form and is required to make public a report of such action. In cases involving the campaign practices of the Attorney General himself, a special attorney is to be appointed. If no probable cause is shown, the Commission is to state so publicly.

Further, the proposed act extends the application of the current statute regarding the disclosure of campaign expenditures and contributions, to all candidates for office above town and city level, with the exception of President and Vice President of the United States. The act requires all such candidates to receive and disburse all amounts greater than \$25 by check, and makes it a crime to knowingly receive cash payment from a candidate or his committee for a service costing more than \$25. The act also requires candidates to designate a single bank as depository of funds and as recordkeeper, with records of receipts and expenditures to be open to public scrutiny.

Provisions of the act extend present campaign spending limits to cover all media expenses and require all media firms (including: television, radio, newspaper, billboard, magazine, advertising, public relations, printing, opinion polling, computer, telephone, telegraph) to report the purchase of media services by candidates. Media expenses of candidates for the offices of district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate and insolvency, register of deeds, county commissioner, county treasurer and sheriff are limited to \$.07 for each resident of the respective electoral district. Other provisions of the act require candidates, upon official announcement or filing of nomination papers, to report all political receipts and expenditures since the date of the last general election for the office sought, and count such expenditures toward spending limits. The act also limits candidates to one political committee.

The act establishes a maximum penalty of one year imprisonment and/or \$10,000 fine for individuals engaged in corrupt practices, and a \$50,000 fine for corporations so involved. In addition, the Attorney General is permitted to bring an action for the removal of a candidate whose election was materially aided by corrupt practices on the part of the candidate or one acting in his behalf, where such removal is otherwise authorized by law.

Votes on Question No. 5— Continued.

Do you approve of a law summarized below which was disapproved in the House of Representatives by a vote of 73 in the affirmative and 150 in the negative and was disapproved in the Senate by a vote of 16 in the affirmative and 22 in the negative?

Cities and Towns.	Hampshire County.			Total Ballots.
	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Amherst	3,728	1,033	1,058	5,819
Belchertown	842	413	311	1,566
Chesterfield	133	68	67	268
Cummington	145	86	39	270
Easthampton	2,518	1,508	1,315	5,341
Goshen	79	74	43	196
Granby	806	410	307	1,523
Hadley	732	431	419	1,582
Hatfield	543	327	323	1,193
Huntington	239	150	119	508
Middlefield	54	33	32	119
NORTHAMPTON	4,753	2,494	2,477	9,724
Pelham	225	105	43	373
Plainfield	49	37	35	121
South Hadley	3,026	1,754	1,079	5,859
Southampton	634	377	195	1,206
Ware	1,548	876	1,108	3,532
Westhampton	177	109	51	337
Williamsburg	400	235	174	809
Worthington	146	82	57	285
Totals	20,777	10,602	9,252	40,631

SUMMARY

Provisions of the act establish an independent Corrupt Practices Commission, with five members to be appointed by the Governor to staggered five year terms. The Commission has subpoena powers and is to investigate, by means of secret hearings, candidates' compliance with all state and federal laws relating to political campaign contributions and expenditures and corrupt practices. If probable cause is shown, the Commission is required to direct the Attorney General to take further action in the proper form and is required to make public a report of such action. In cases involving the campaign practices of the Attorney General himself, a special attorney is to be appointed. If no probable cause is shown, the Commission is to state so publicly.

Further, the proposed act extends the application of the current statute regarding the disclosure of campaign expenditures and contributions, to all candidates for office above town and city level, with the exception of President and Vice President of the United States. The act requires all such candidates to receive and disburse all amounts greater than \$25 by check, and makes it a crime to knowingly receive cash payment from a candidate or his committee for a service costing more than \$25. The act also requires candidates to designate a single bank as depository of funds and as recordkeeper, with records of receipts and expenditures to be open to public scrutiny.

Provisions of the act extend present campaign spending limits to cover all media expenses and require all media firms (including: television, radio, newspaper, billboard, magazine, advertising, public relations, printing, opinion polling, computer, telephone, telegraph) to report the purchase of media services by candidates. Media expenses of candidates for the offices of district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate and insolvency, register of deeds, county commissioner, county treasurer and sheriff are limited to \$.07 for each resident of the respective electoral district. Other provisions of the act require candidates, upon official announcement or filing of nomination papers, to report all political receipts and expenditures since the date of the last general election for the office sought, and count such expenditures toward spending limits. The act also limits candidates to one political committee.

The act establishes a maximum penalty of one year imprisonment and/or \$10,000 fine for individuals engaged in corrupt practices, and a \$50,000 fine for corporations so involved. In addition, the Attorney General is permitted to bring an action for the removal of a candidate whose election was materially aided by corrupt practices on the part of the candidate or one acting in his behalf, where such removal is otherwise authorized by law.

Votes on Question No. 5— Continued.

Do you approve of a law summarized below which was disapproved in the House of Representatives by a vote of 73 in the affirmative and 150 in the negative and was disapproved in the Senate by a vote of 16 in the affirmative and 22 in the negative?

Middlesex County.

SUMMARY

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Acton	3,154	1,799	621	5,574
Arlington	11,369	6,071	4,167	21,607
Ashby	356	242	128	726
Ashland	1,387	926	418	2,731
Ayer	661	424	268	1,353
Bedford	2,620	1,298	654	4,572
Belmont	6,511	3,641	2,512	12,664
Billerica	5,175	2,847	1,509	9,531
Boxborough	433	163	59	655
Burlington	4,176	1,494	1,214	6,884
CAMBRIDGE	15,605	7,559	7,600	30,764
Carlisle	755	364	139	1,258
Chelmsford	5,885	3,173	1,591	10,649
Concord	4,103	1,324	882	6,309
Dracut	3,146	2,252	1,778	7,176
Dunstable	264	159	98	521
EVERETT	4,215	2,450	7,682	14,347
Framingham	11,001	6,076	3,430	20,507
Groton	1,165	474	255	1,894
Holliston	2,262	1,293	538	4,093
Hopkinton	1,084	644	389	2,117
Hudson	2,167	1,408	1,135	4,710
Lexington	6,676	3,224	2,948	12,848
Lincoln	1,312	592	267	2,171
Littleton	1,270	544	248	2,062
LOWELL	13,760	7,825	9,325	30,910
MALDEN	7,501	4,344	6,499	18,344
MARLBOROUGH	4,907	2,806	2,536	10,249
Maynard	1,549	985	809	3,343
MEDFORD	10,536	5,674	6,690	22,900
MELROSE	6,419	2,763	3,116	12,298
Natick	5,714	2,385	2,378	10,477
NEWTON	19,067	7,590	8,408	35,065
North Reading	2,238	1,167	618	4,023
Pepperell	936	646	445	2,027
Reading	4,549	2,501	1,290	8,340
Sherborn	913	499	168	1,580
Shirley	632	222	190	1,044
SOMERVILLE	11,142	6,105	7,177	24,424
Stoneham	3,713	2,310	1,667	7,690
Stow	816	485	194	1,495
Sudbury	2,771	1,431	753	4,955
Tewksbury	4,192	2,768	1,127	8,087
Townsend	686	425	272	1,383
Tyngsborough	695	405	283	1,383
Wakefield	4,633	2,841	2,107	9,581
WALTHAM	6,604	3,041	6,672	16,317
Watertown	6,478	3,720	4,040	14,238
Wayland	2,970	1,565	627	5,162
Westford	2,099	1,260	642	4,001
Weston	2,806	1,395	493	4,694
Wilmington	2,347	1,231	1,399	4,977
Winchester	4,604	2,293	2,336	9,233
WOBBURN	5,606	2,970	3,844	12,420
Totals	237,635	124,093	116,635	478,363

Provisions of the act establish an independent Corrupt Practices Commission, with five members to be appointed by the Governor to staggered five year terms. The Commission has subpoena powers and is to investigate, by means of secret hearings, candidates' compliance with all state and federal laws relating to political campaign contributions and expenditures and corrupt practices. If probable cause is shown, the Commission is required to direct the Attorney General to take further action in the proper form and is required to make public a report of such action. In cases involving the campaign practices of the Attorney General himself, a special attorney is to be appointed. If no probable cause is shown, the Commission is to state so publicly.

Further, the proposed act extends the application of the current statute regarding the disclosure of campaign expenditures and contributions, to all candidates for office above town and city level, with the exception of President and Vice President of the United States. The act requires all such candidates to receive and disburse all amounts greater than \$25 by check, and makes it a crime to knowingly receive cash payment from a candidate or his committee for a service costing more than \$25. The act also requires candidates to designate a single bank as depository of funds and as recorder, with records of receipts and expenditures to be open to public scrutiny.

Provisions of the act extend present campaign spending limits to cover all media expenses and require all media firms (including: television, radio, newspaper, billboard, magazine, advertising, public relations, printing, opinion polling, computer, telephone, telegraph) to report the purchase of media services by candidates. Media expenses of candidates for the offices of district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate and insolvency, register of deeds, county commissioner, county treasurer and sheriff are limited to \$07 for each resident of the respective electoral district. Other provisions of the act require candidates, upon official announcement or filing of nomination papers, to report all political receipts and expenditures since the date of the last general election for the office sought, and count such expenditures toward spending limits. The act also limits candidates to one political committee.

The act establishes a maximum penalty of one year imprisonment and/or \$10,000 fine for individuals engaged in corrupt practices, and a \$50,000 fine for corporations so involved. In addition, the Attorney General is permitted to bring an action for the removal of a candidate whose election was materially aided by corrupt practices on the part of the candidate or one acting in his behalf, where such removal is otherwise authorized by law.

Votes on Question No. 5— Continued.

Do you approve of a law summarized below which was disapproved in the House of Representatives by a vote of 73 in the affirmative and 150 in the negative and was disapproved in the Senate by a vote of 16 in the affirmative and 22 in the negative?

Nantucket and Norfolk County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Nantucket	654	469	359	1,482
Totals	654	469	359	1,482

Norfolk County.

Avon	853	461	350	1,664
Bellingham	2,047	1,255	872	4,224
Braintree	7,504	4,195	2,471	14,170
Brookline	9,233	4,145	8,806	22,184
Canton	3,673	2,078	1,242	6,993
Cohasset	1,704	824	546	3,074
Dedham	4,869	3,111	2,509	10,489
Dover	1,369	627	237	2,233
Foxborough	2,319	1,239	575	4,133
Franklin	2,866	1,749	1,202	5,817
Holbrook	2,022	1,259	844	4,125
Medfield	2,003	947	392	3,342
Medway	1,402	765	510	2,677
Millis	1,179	625	331	2,135
Milton	6,029	4,533	2,231	12,793
Needham	7,125	3,753	2,071	12,949
Norfolk	819	512	206	1,537
Norwood	6,230	3,127	2,078	11,435
Plainville	865	557	255	1,677
QUINCY	16,674	9,295	8,414	34,383
Randolph	4,761	2,755	2,473	9,989
Sharon	2,858	1,232	762	4,852
Stoughton	3,543	1,694	2,689	7,926
Walpole	3,704	1,845	1,015	6,564
Wellesley	6,383	3,381	1,573	11,337
Westwood	3,206	1,805	861	5,872
Weymouth	10,237	5,640	3,482	19,359
Wrentham	971	683	348	2,002
Totals	116,498	64,092	49,345	229,935

Plymouth County.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
Abington	2,032	1,347	845	4,224
Bridgewater	2,199	1,189	878	4,266
BROCKTON	11,846	6,806	6,472	25,124
Carver	569	334	251	1,154
Duxbury	2,096	1,199	512	3,807
East Bridgewater	1,914	618	676	3,208
Halifax	781	421	273	1,475
Hanover	2,246	1,067	612	3,925
Hanson	1,269	696	495	2,460
Hingham	4,127	2,290	1,257	7,674
Hull	1,741	920	899	3,560
Kingston	1,056	572	513	2,141
Lakeville	806	493	320	1,619
Marion	771	421	194	1,386
Marshfield	3,481	1,922	969	6,372
Mattapoisett	1,263	557	335	2,155
Middleborough	2,204	1,434	951	4,589
Norwell	1,627	826	748	3,201
Pembroke	1,789	1,113	682	3,584
Plymouth	3,661	1,637	2,397	7,695
Plympton	233	164	109	506
Rochester	296	269	129	694
Rockland	2,313	1,290	979	4,582
Scituate	3,401	1,758	972	6,131
Wareham	2,216	1,305	1,213	4,734
West Bridgewater	1,286	769	391	2,446
Whitman	2,313	1,355	900	4,568
Totals	59,536	32,772	24,972	117,280

SUMMARY

Provisions of the act establish an independent Corrupt Practices Commission, with five members to be appointed by the Governor to staggered five year terms. The Commission has subpoena powers and is to investigate, by means of secret hearings, candidates' compliance with all state and federal laws relating to political campaign contributions and expenditures and corrupt practices. If probable cause is shown, the Commission is required to direct the Attorney General to take further action in the proper form and is required to make public a report of such action. In cases involving the campaign practices of the Attorney General himself, a special attorney is to be appointed. If no probable cause is shown, the Commission is to state so publicly.

Further, the proposed act extends the application of the current statute regarding the disclosure of campaign expenditures and contributions, to all candidates for office above town and city level, with the exception of President and Vice President of the United States. The act requires all such candidates to receive and disburse all amounts greater than \$25 by check, and makes it a crime to knowingly receive cash payment from a candidate or his committee for a service costing more than \$25. The act also requires candidates to designate a single bank as depository of funds and as recordkeeper, with records of receipts and expenditures to be open to public scrutiny.

Provisions of the act extend present campaign spending limits to cover all media expenses and require all media firms (including: television, radio, newspaper, billboard, magazine, advertising, public relations, printing, opinion polling, computer, telephone, telegraph) to report the purchase of media services by candidates. Media expenses of candidates for the offices of district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate and insolvency, register of deeds, county commissioner, county treasurer and sheriff are limited to \$5.07 for each resident of the respective electoral district. Other provisions of the act require candidates, upon official announcement or filing of nomination papers, to report all political receipts and expenditures since the date of the last general election for the office sought, and count such expenditures toward spending limits. The act also limits candidates to one political committee.

The act establishes a maximum penalty of one year imprisonment and/or \$10,000 fine for individuals engaged in corrupt practices, and a \$50,000 fine for corporations so involved. In addition, the Attorney General is permitted to bring an action for the removal of a candidate whose election was materially aided by corrupt practices on the part of the candidate or one acting in his behalf, where such removal is otherwise authorized by law.

Votes on Question No. 5— Continued.

Do you approve of a law summarized below which was disapproved in the House of Representatives by a vote of 73 in the affirmative and 150 in the negative and was disapproved in the Senate by a vote of 16 in the affirmative and 22 in the negative?

Cities and Towns.	Suffolk County.			Total Ballots.
	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
BOSTON	47,625	31,272	74,598	153,495
CHELSEA	3,123	1,908	3,914	8,945
REVERE	6,052	4,313	5,842	16,207
Winthrop	4,614	1,512	2,269	8,395
Totals	61,414	39,005	86,623	187,042

Cities and Towns.	Worcester County.			Total Ballots.
	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Ashburnham	615	313	248	1,176
Athol	1,426	875	752	3,053
Auburn	3,221	1,542	1,371	6,134
Barre	627	383	551	1,561
Berlin	370	218	144	732
Blackstone	891	586	550	2,027
Bolton	425	226	112	763
Boylston	669	275	219	1,163
Brookfield	337	168	190	695
Charlton	651	382	317	1,350
Clinton	1,930	1,000	1,571	4,501
Douglas	569	312	367	1,248
Dudley	1,146	625	802	2,573
East Brookfield	297	166	175	638
FITCHBURG	5,946	3,313	4,225	13,484
GARDNER	2,907	1,546	2,051	6,504
Grafton	1,858	984	1,080	3,922
Hardwick	377	194	210	781
Harvard	784	421	139	1,344
Holden	2,852	987	1,095	4,934
Hopedale	726	428	488	1,642
Hubbardston	252	145	116	513
Lancaster	743	417	354	1,514
Leicester	1,493	750	698	2,941
LEOMINSTER	4,690	2,788	3,045	10,523
Lunenburg	1,448	758	586	2,792
Mendon	423	251	228	902
Milford	2,856	1,910	2,589	7,355
Millbury	1,794	982	1,259	4,035
Millville	247	164	194	605
New Braintree	103	47	60	210
North Brookfield	671	381	407	1,459
Northborough	1,872	950	511	3,333
Northbridge	2,468	670	1,014	4,152
Oakham	149	85	94	328
Oxford	1,499	390	531	2,420
Paxton	800	354	216	1,370
Petersham	213	129	73	415

SUMMARY

Provisions of the act establish an independent Corrupt Practices Commission, with five members to be appointed by the Governor to staggered five year terms. The Commission has subpoena powers and is to investigate, by means of secret hearings, candidates' compliance with all state and federal laws relating to political campaign contributions and expenditures and corrupt practices. If probable cause is shown, the Commission is required to direct the Attorney General to take further action in the proper form and is required to make public a report of such action. In cases involving the campaign practices of the Attorney General himself, a special attorney is to be appointed. If no probable cause is shown, the Commission is to state so publicly.

Further, the proposed act extends the application of the current statute regarding the disclosure of campaign expenditures and contributions, to all candidates for office above town and city level, with the exception of President and Vice President of the United States. The act requires all such candidates to receive and disburse all amounts greater than \$25 by check, and makes it a crime to knowingly receive cash payment from a candidate or his committee for a service costing more than \$25. The act also requires candidates to designate a single bank as depository of funds and as recordkeeper, with records of receipts and expenditures to be open to public scrutiny.

Provisions of the act extend present campaign spending limits to cover all media expenses and require all media firms (including: television, radio, newspaper, billboard, magazine, advertising, public relations, printing, opinion polling, computer, telephone, telegraph) to report the purchase of media services by candidates. Media expenses of candidates for the offices of district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate and insolvency, register of deeds, county commissioner, county treasurer and sheriff are limited to \$.07 for each resident of the respective electoral district. Other provisions of the act require candidates, upon official announcement or filing of nomination papers, to report all political receipts and expenditures since the date of the last general election for the office sought, and count such expenditures toward spending limits. The act also limits candidates to one political committee.

The act establishes a maximum penalty of one year imprisonment and/or \$10,000 fine for individuals engaged in corrupt practices, and a \$50,000 fine for corporations so involved. In addition, the Attorney General is permitted to bring an action for the removal of a candidate whose election was materially aided by corrupt practices on the part of the candidate or one acting in his behalf, where such removal is otherwise authorized by law.

Votes on Question No. 5—Continued.

Do you approve of a law summarized below which was disapproved in the House of Representatives by a vote of 73 in the affirmative and 150 in the negative and was disapproved in the Senate by a vote of 16 in the affirmative and 22 in the negative?

Cities and Towns.	Worcester County.			Total Ballots.
	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	
Phillipston	119	84	28	231
Princeton	379	180	104	663
Royalston	106	89	45	240
Rutland	736	280	144	1,160
Shrewsbury	4,462	1,980	1,948	8,390
Southborough	1,157	758	326	2,241
Southbridge	2,018	871	2,317	5,206
Spencer	1,458	817	1,021	3,296
Sterling	818	400	275	1,493
Sturbridge	846	376	530	1,752
Sutton	796	442	427	1,665
Templeton	743	496	421	1,660
Upton	659	342	368	1,369
Uxbridge	1,273	754	870	2,897
Warren	550	358	350	1,258
Webster	1,905	1,110	1,827	4,842
West Boylston	1,413	650	441	2,504
West Brookfield	424	216	252	892
Westborough	2,489	1,314	854	4,657
Westminster	886	490	347	1,723
Winchendon	689	486	406	1,581
WORCESTER	27,151	12,738	17,515	57,404
Totals	101,422	51,346	59,448	212,216

Aggregate of Votes.

Cities and Towns.	Yes.	No.	Blanks.	Total Ballots.
BARNSTABLE	26,825	12,565	9,152	48,542
BEKRSHIRE	25,594	9,163	14,977	49,734
BRISTOL	65,501	37,170	44,099	146,770
DUKES	1,710	1,037	955	3,702
ESSEX	106,981	60,235	59,130	226,346
FRANKLIN	10,982	6,186	4,753	21,921
HAMPDEN	63,018	26,959	42,480	132,457
HAMPSHIRE	20,777	10,602	9,252	40,631
MIDDLESEX	237,635	124,093	116,635	478,363
NANTUCKET	654	469	359	1,482
NORFOLK	116,498	64,092	49,345	229,935
PLYMOUTH	59,536	32,772	24,972	117,280
SUFFOLK	61,414	39,005	86,623	187,042
WORCESTER	101,422	51,346	59,448	212,216
TOTALS	898,547	475,694	522,180	1,896,421

SUMMARY

Provisions of the act establish an independent Corrupt Practices Commission, with five members to be appointed by the Governor to staggered five year terms. The Commission has subpoena powers and is to investigate, by means of secret hearings, candidates' compliance with all state and federal laws relating to political campaign contributions and expenditures and corrupt practices. If probable cause is shown, the Commission is required to direct the Attorney General to take further action in the proper form and is required to make public a report of such action. In cases involving the campaign practices of the Attorney General himself, a special attorney is to be appointed. If no probable cause is shown, the Commission is to state so publicly.

Further, the proposed act extends the application of the current statute regarding the disclosure of campaign expenditures and contributions, to all candidates for office above town and city level, with the exception of President and Vice President of the United States. The act requires all such candidates to receive and disburse all amounts greater than \$25 by check, and makes it a crime to knowingly receive cash payment from a candidate or his committee for a service costing more than \$25. The act also requires candidates to designate a single bank as depository of funds and as recordkeeper, with records of receipts and expenditures to be open to public scrutiny.

Provisions of the act extend present campaign spending limits to cover all media expenses and require all media firms (including: television, radio, newspaper, billboard, magazine, advertising, public relations, printing, opinion polling, computer, telephone, telegraph) to report the purchase of media services by candidates. Media expenses of candidates for the offices of district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate and insolvency, register of deeds, county commissioner, county treasurer and sheriff are limited to \$.07 for each resident of the respective electoral district. Other provisions of the act require candidates, upon official announcement or filing of nomination papers, to report all political receipts and expenditures since the date of the last general election for the office sought, and count such expenditures toward spending limits. The act also limits candidates to one political committee.

The act establishes a maximum penalty of one year imprisonment and/or \$10,000 fine for individuals engaged in corrupt practices, and a \$50,000 fine for corporations so involved. In addition, the Attorney General is permitted to bring an action for the removal of a candidate whose election was materially aided by corrupt practices on the part of the candidate or one acting in his behalf, where such removal is otherwise authorized by law.