



*Votes on Question No. 7 — Law Proposed by an Initiative Petition*

*Law Proposed by an Initiative Petition*

*Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was disapproved by the House of Representatives on May 3, 1976, by a vote of 182-49, and on which no vote was taken by the Senate before May 5, 1976?*

**AGGREGATE OF VOTES**

COUNTIES	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
BARNSTABLE ..	22708	46746	4859	74313
BERKSHIRE ..	8455	56130	5344	69929
BRISTOL ..	39524	137265	18416	195205
DUKES ..	2043	2678	501	5222
ESSEX ..	66888	220594	20182	307664
FRANKLIN ..	5796	23705	2168	31669
HAMPDEN ..	32395	143696	12328	188420
HAMPSHIRE ..	14508	43457	3000	60965
MIDDLESEX ..	170246	442358	41952	654556
NANTUCKET ..	878	1528	257	2663
NORFOLK ..	77041	214700	16148	307889
PLYMOUTH ..	41856	116805	9175	167836
SUFFOLK ..	75791	124274	38449	238514
WORCESTER ..	50562	219085	19770	289417
TOTALS ..	608691	1793022	192549	2594262

**SUMMARY**

The proposed act would impose a general requirement that every electric utility company charge a uniform rate per kilowatt hour of electricity. The proposed act would except from this general rule rates charged to other electric utility companies and to residential customers who heat their principle place of residence by electricity. The Act would also permit a different rate to be charged residential customers for the first three hundred (300) kilowatt hours they consume each month, and would authorize "peak load" pricing whereby a higher rate than the uniform rate per kilowatt hour may be charged during the periods of the day or seasons of the year when consumption of electricity is the greatest. The Act would authorize the Department of Public Utilities to issue implementing rules and regulations and provides for enforcement.

**In the County of Barnstable**

Barnstable ..	4432	9492	702	14626
Bourne ..	1341	3243	503	5087
Brewster ..	861	1685	90	2636
Chatham ..	1157	2662	182	4001
Dennis ..	2123	4132	321	6576
Eastham ..	623	1245	59	1927
Falmouth ..	3711	7013	722	11446
Harwich ..	1501	3392	212	5105
Mashpee ..	622	1066	116	1804
Orleans ..	888	2029	146	3063
Provincetown ..	970	955	226	2151
Sandwich ..	1033	2476	118	3627
Truro ..	311	432	42	785
Wellfleet ..	564	791	72	1427
Yarmouth ..	2571	6133	1348	10052
Totals ..	22708	46746	4859	74313

**In the County of Berkshire**

Adams ..	624	4811	315	5750
Alford ..	40	177	9	226
Becket ..	89	343	58	490
Cheshire ..	153	1409	63	1625
Clarksburg ..	66	810	16	892
Dalton ..	361	3059	145	3565
Egremont ..	135	478	30	643
Florida ..	51	298	24	373
Great Barrington ..	452	2388	397	3237
Hancock ..	63	264	12	339
Hinsdale ..	93	633	31	757
Lanesborough ..	151	1131	89	1371
Lee ..	305	2232	209	2746
Lenox ..	368	2365	229	2962
Monterey ..	137	255	23	415
Mt. Washington ..	16	40	2	58
New Ashford ..	21	81	2	104
New Marlborough ..	118	373	39	530
NORTH ADAMS ..	866	6785	401	8052
Otis ..	88	309	46	443
Peru ..	39	201	14	254
PITTSFIELD ..	2660	20331	2730	25721
Richmond ..	125	704	28	857
Sandisfield ..	85	167	49	301
Savoy ..	31	163	17	211
Sheffield ..	216	1042	64	1322
Stockbridge ..	267	1082	85	1434

Votes on Question No. 7 — Continued

Law Proposed by an Initiative Petition

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was disapproved by the House of Representatives on May 3, 1976, by a vote of 182-49, and on which no vote was taken by the Senate before May 5, 1976?

In the County of Berkshire — Concluded

Cities and Towns	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Tyringham	28	145	13	186
Washington	49	181	9	239
West Stockbridge	130	558	37	725
Williamstown	578	3075	147	3800
Windsor	50	240	11	301
Totals	8455	56130	5344	69929

In the County of Bristol

Acushnet	899	3313	232	4444
ATTLEBORO	2596	9201	893	12690
Berkley	204	705	87	996
Dartmouth	2029	7363	659	10051
Dighton	449	1792	146	2387
Easton	1712	5042	274	7028
Fairhaven	1526	4942	737	7205
FALL RIVER	7752	24481	5606	37839
Freetown	530	1766	96	2392
Mansfield	800	4516	180	5496
NEW BEDFORD	8083	26593	3686	38362
North Attleborough	1242	6502	611	8355
Norton	896	3301	186	4383
Raynham	692	2886	175	3753
Rehoboth	863	2049	263	3175
Seekonk	1684	3301	600	5585
Somerset	1745	7330	591	9666
Swansea	1514	4914	527	6955
TAUNTON	2948	13006	2453	18407
Westport	1360	4262	414	6036
Totals	39529	137265	18416	195205

In the County of Dukes

Chilmark	169	180	24	373
Edgartown	516	690	150	1356
Gay Head	60	47	16	123
Gosnold	15	51	8	74
Oak Bluffs	417	620	128	1165
Tisbury	565	857	133	1555
West Tisbury	301	233	42	576
Totals	2043	2678	501	5222

In the County of Essex

Amesbury	1059	3694	675	5428
Andover	2770	10040	489	13299
BEVERLY	4381	13946	987	19314
Boxford	511	1957	55	2523
Danvers	2094	9434	504	12032
Essex	378	1145	83	1606
Georgetown	507	2168	109	2784
GLOUCESTER	3379	8519	1088	12986
Groveland	453	1941	67	2461
Hamilton	668	2768	138	3574
HAVERHILL	3873	14980	1538	20391
Ipswich	1226	4446	305	5977
LAWRENCE	5308	18577	2595	26480
LYNN	9373	23343	3527	36243
Lynnfield	1523	4840	182	6545
Manchester	780	2145	177	3102
Marblehead	2563	9831	474	12868
Merrimac	409	1485	86	1980
Methuen	3451	13403	1061	17915
Middleton	379	1438	77	1894
Nahant	622	1545	95	2262
Newbury	554	1690	81	2325
NEWBURYPORT	1761	5462	632	7855

SUMMARY

The proposed act would impose a general requirement that every electric utility company charge a uniform rate per kilowatt hour of electricity. The proposed act would except from this general rule rates charged to other electric utility companies and to residential customers who heat their principle place of residence by electricity. The Act would also permit a different rate to be charged residential customers for the first three hundred (300) kilowatt hours they consume each month, and would authorize "peak load" pricing whereby a higher rate than the uniform rate per kilowatt hour may be charged during the periods of the day or seasons of the year when consumption of electricity is the greatest. The Act would authorize the Department of Public Utilities to issue implementing rules and regulations and provides for enforcement.

*Votes on Question No. 7 — Continued*

*Law Proposed by an Initiative Petition*

*Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was disapproved by the House of Representatives on May 3, 1976, by a vote of 182-49, and on which no vote was taken by the Senate before May 5, 1976?*

**In the County of Essex — Concluded**

Cities and Towns	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
North Andover	1685	7237	539	9461
PEABODY	4594	16622	1318	22534
Rockport	1091	2457	279	3827
Rowley	331	1299	59	1689
SALEM	3817	13906	1442	19165
Salisbury	579	1754	196	2529
Saugus	3515	8422	569	12506
Swampscott	1972	5215	542	7729
Topfield	596	2340	65	3001
Wenham	377	1505	98	1980
West Newbury	309	1040	50	1399
Totals	66888	220594	20182	307664

**In the County of Franklin**

Ashfield	202	544	27	773
Bernardston	145	727	32	904
Buckland	166	776	62	1004
Charlemont	97	385	28	510
Colrain	152	592	28	772
Conway	190	494	26	710
Deerfield	451	1720	84	2255
Erving	68	611	17	696
Gill	99	546	23	668
Greenfield	1314	6410	1203	8927
Hawley	39	80	6	125
Heath	65	165	10	240
Leverett	282	388	34	704
Leyden	44	184	7	235
Monroe	11	85	1	97
Montague	735	3409	218	4362
New Salem	71	273	11	355
Northfield	225	1070	68	1363
Orange	327	2300	101	2728
Rowe	38	174	6	218
Shelburne	128	779	40	947
Shutesbury	184	268	21	473
Sunderland	422	824	42	1288
Warwick	60	222	17	299
Wendell	117	184	28	329
Whately	164	495	28	687
Totals	5796	23705	2168	31669

**In the County of Hampden**

Agawam	2112	7697	710	10519
Blandford	90	425	10	525
Brimfield	234	786	51	1071
Chester	98	403	42	543
CHICOPEE	3311	22032	1207	26550
East Longmeadow	1190	5356	260	6806
Granville	95	491	28	614
Hampden	449	1584	59	2092
Holland	153	489	26	668
HOLYOKE	2286	15757	1609	19652
Longmeadow	1448	7237	262	8947
Ludlow	1571	6591	394	8556
Monson	677	2298	130	3105
Montgomery	50	239	9	298
Palmer	1015	4440	233	5688
Russell	85	596	25	706
Southwick	597	2354	84	3035
SPRINGFIELD	10926	37388	5968	54282
Tolland	35	113	7	155
Wales	123	328	17	468
West Springfield	2399	9743	364	12506
WESTFIELD	2246	12460	496	15202
Wilbraham	1205	4890	337	6432
Totals	32395	143696	12328	188420

**SUMMARY**

The proposed act would impose a general requirement that every electric utility company charge a uniform rate per kilowatt hour of electricity. The proposed act would except from this general rule rates charged to other electric utility companies and to residential customers who heat their principle place of residence by electricity. The Act would also permit a different rate to be charged residential customers for the first three hundred (300) kilowatt hours they consume each month, and would authorize "peak load" pricing whereby a higher rate than the uniform rate per kilowatt hour may be charged during the periods of the day or seasons of the year when consumption of electricity is the greatest. The Act would authorize the Department of Public Utilities to issue implementing rules and regulations and provides for enforcement.

Votes on Question No. 7 — Continued

Law Proposed by an Initiative Petition

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was disapproved by the House of Representatives on May 3, 1976, by a vote of 182-49, and on which no vote was taken by the Senate before May 5, 1976?

In the County of Hampshire

Cities and Towns	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Amherst	4732	5699	711	11142
Belchertown	711	1863	145	2719
Chesterfield	79	300	25	404
Cummington	98	292	13	403
Easthampton	1267	5729	298	7294
Goshen	63	214	14	291
Granby	485	1885	131	2501
Hadley	557	1581	155	2293
Hatfield	332	1245	79	1656
Huntington	152	605	41	798
Middlefield	32	138	11	181
<b>NORTHAMPTON</b>	<b>3112</b>	<b>9894</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>13740</b>
Pelham	193	360	26	579
Plainfield	33	144	8	185
South Hadley	1118	6950	271	8339
Southampton	316	1472	37	1825
Ware	779	3469	210	4458
Westhampton	84	405	14	503
Williamsburg	256	875	55	1186
Worthington	109	337	22	468
<b>Totals</b>	<b>14508</b>	<b>43457</b>	<b>3000</b>	<b>60965</b>

SUMMARY

The proposed act would impose a general requirement that every electric utility company charge a uniform rate per kilowatt hour of electricity. The proposed act would except from this general rule rates charged to other electric utility companies and to residential customers who heat their principle place of residence by electricity. The Act would also permit a different rate to be charged residential customers for the first three hundred (300) kilowatt hours they consume each month, and would authorize "peak load" pricing whereby a higher rate than the uniform rate per kilowatt hour may be charged during the periods of the day or seasons of the year when consumption of electricity is the greatest. The Act would authorize the Department of Public Utilities to issue implementing rules and regulations and provides for enforcement.

In the County of Middlesex

Action	2396	4989	1237	8622
Arlington	8001	18988	1223	28212
Ashby	185	869	48	1102
Ashland	733	3269	190	4192
Ayer	468	1647	93	2208
Bedford	1560	4089	331	5980
Belmont	3849	11785	789	16423
Billerica	3655	9711	456	13822
Boxborough	220	966	25	1211
Burlington	3046	7009	546	10601
<b>CAMBRIDGE</b>	<b>17264</b>	<b>22631</b>	<b>3335</b>	<b>43230</b>
Carlisle	418	1250	51	1719
Chelmsford	3246	11947	404	15597
Concord	2095	6317	459	8871
Dracut	2083	7422	435	9940
Dunstable	182	527	40	749
<b>EVERETT</b>	<b>4352</b>	<b>11499</b>	<b>2445</b>	<b>18296</b>
Frammingham	5909	23384	1142	30435
Groton	506	2042	90	2638
Holliston	1075	4759	172	6006
Horokinton	621	2473	107	3201
Hudson	995	5621	351	6967
Lexington	4825	11230	1076	17131
Lincoln	788	1970	110	2868
Littleton	662	2561	100	3323
<b>LOWELL</b>	<b>8077</b>	<b>26840</b>	<b>2579</b>	<b>37496</b>
<b>MALDEN</b>	<b>7074</b>	<b>16222</b>	<b>2301</b>	<b>25597</b>
<b>MARLBOROUGH</b>	<b>2386</b>	<b>10610</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>13529</b>
Maynard	937	3476	229	4642
<b>MEDFORD</b>	<b>8678</b>	<b>18743</b>	<b>2168</b>	<b>29589</b>
<b>MELROSE</b>	<b>4188</b>	<b>10595</b>	<b>1228</b>	<b>16011</b>
Natick	3040	11128	775	14943
<b>NEWTON</b>	<b>13043</b>	<b>29000</b>	<b>3779</b>	<b>45822</b>
North Reading	1743	3704	166	5613
Pepperell	636	2100	145	2881
Reading	3029	8655	446	12130
Sherborn	391	1736	49	2176
Shirley	320	1261	72	1653
<b>SOMERVILLE</b>	<b>11433</b>	<b>18026</b>	<b>2445</b>	<b>31904</b>
Stoneham	3132	6918	632	10682
Stow	377	1866	54	2297
Sudbury	1475	5698	181	7354
Tewksbury	2601	7353	295	10249
Townsend	472	1825	95	2392

*Votes on Question No. 7 — Continued*

*Law Proposed by an Initiative Petition*

*Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was disapproved by the House of Representatives on May 3, 1976, by a vote of 182-49, and on which no vote was taken by the Senate before May 5, 1976?*

**In the County of Middlesex — Concluded**

Cities and Towns	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Tyngsborough	528	1679	85	2292
Wakefield	2868	9753	731	13352
WALTHAM	5842	15530	2744	24116
Watertown	5566	11780	1659	19005
Wayland	1632	5248	211	7091
Westford	1270	4615	163	6048
Weston	1294	4712	229	6235
Wilmington	2088	4806	572	7466
Winchester	2531	8088	757	11376
WOBURN	4461	11436	1374	17271
Totals	170246	442358	41952	654556

**In the County of Nantucket**

Nantucket	878	1528	257	2663
Totals	878	1528	257	2663

**In the County of Norfolk**

Avon	632	1756	133	2521
Bellingham	1335	4431	305	6071
Braintree	4229	13970	621	18820
Brookline	9184	16506	3482	29172
Canton	2471	6580	376	9427
Cohasset	979	3041	164	4184
Dedham	3507	9585	705	13797
Dover	527	2173	71	2771
Foxborough	1376	4640	169	6185
Franklin	1776	5543	354	7673
Holbrook	1429	3864	236	5529
Medfield	1137	3731	145	5013
Medway	758	2921	115	3794
Millis	729	2376	93	3198
Milton	3715	11109	814	15638
Needham	3761	12476	629	16866
Norfolk	585	1648	74	2307
Norwood	3664	10971	571	15206
Plainville	571	1866	91	2528
QUINCY	11695	29856	2453	44004
Randolph	3826	9286	930	14042
Sharon	2123	4927	253	7303
Stoughton	2737	7590	800	11127
Walpole	2355	6444	322	9121
Wellesley	3180	11221	627	15028
Westwood	1759	5613	194	7566
Weymouth	6248	18599	1296	26143
Wrentham	753	1977	125	2855
Totals	77041	214700	16148	307889

**In the County of Plymouth**

Abington	1480	4399	237	6116
Bridgewater	1653	4355	302	6310
BROCKTON	8370	23691	2746	34807
Carver	615	1276	88	1979
Duxbury	1370	3967	143	5480
Fast Bridgewater	1035	2889	301	4225
Halifax	708	1641	80	2429
Hanover	1310	3690	243	5243
Hanson	861	2318	170	3349
Hingham	2040	8083	422	10545
Hull	1319	3203	245	4767
Kingston	960	2121	180	3261
Lakeville	474	1843	102	2419
Marion	441	1461	79	1981
Marshfield	2722	6268	291	9281

**SUMMARY**

The proposed act would impose a general requirement that every electric utility company charge a uniform rate per kilowatt hour of electricity. The proposed act would except from this general rule rates charged to other electric utility companies and to residential customers who heat their principle place of residence by electricity. The Act would also permit a different rate to be charged residential customers for the first three hundred (300) kilowatt hours they consume each month, and would authorize "peak load" pricing whereby a higher rate than the uniform rate per kilowatt hour may be charged during the periods of the day or seasons of the year when consumption of electricity is the greatest. The Act would authorize the Department of Public Utilities to issue implementing rules and regulations and provides for enforcement.

## Votes on Question No. 7 — Continued

## Law Proposed by an Initiative Petition

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was disapproved by the House of Representatives on May 3, 1976, by a vote of 182-49, and on which no vote was taken by the Senate before May 5, 1976?

## In the County of Plymouth — Concluded

Cities and Towns	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Mattapoisett	598	2178	76	2852
Middleborough	1256	4567	365	6188
Norwell	1102	3142	208	4452
Pembroke	1546	3652	152	5350
Plymouth	3372	8461	1209	13042
Plympton	243	552	42	837
Rochester	201	882	40	1123
Rockland	1682	4624	328	6634
Scituate	2395	6300	319	9014
Wareham	1863	4644	475	6982
West Bridgewater	767	2309	93	3169
Whitman	1473	4289	239	6001
Totals	41856	116805	9175	167836

## In the County of Suffolk

BOSTON	62635	99996	33762	196393
CHELSEA	4246	5469	1539	11254
REVERE	5877	12762	2251	20890
Winthrop	3033	6047	897	9977
Totals	75791	124274	38449	238514

## In the County of Worcester

Ashburnham	285	1432	64	1781
Athol	550	4064	214	4828
Auburn	1516	6289	270	8075
Barre	356	1572	127	2055
Berlin	168	887	45	1100
Blackstone	737	1927	298	2962
Bolton	223	991	34	1248
Boylston	274	1406	41	1721
Brookfield	184	841	56	1081
Charlton	563	1713	127	2403
Clinton	888	4777	371	6036
Douglas	301	1345	97	1743
Dudley	701	2781	223	3705
East Brookfield	150	720	34	904
FITCHBURG	2542	13544	987	17073
GARDNER	1364	6722	652	8738
Grafton	901	4335	243	5479
Hardwick	198	442	462	1102
Harvard	437	1508	46	1991
Holden	910	5619	666	7195
Hopedale	303	1846	103	2252
Hubbardston	163	576	32	771
Lancaster	378	1967	117	2462
Leicester	861	3209	203	4273
LEOMINSTER	1917	12211	1002	15130
Lunenburg	666	3150	125	3941
Mendon	243	1184	61	1488
Milford	1617	8454	607	10678
Millbury	1148	4242	320	5710
Millville	209	528	86	823
New Braintree	70	240	24	334
North Brookfield	324	1569	96	1989
Northborough	950	3971	114	5035
Northbridge	583	2805	2139	5527
Oakham	97	379	12	488
Oxford	757	3124	310	4191
Paxton	317	1571	71	1959
Petersham	115	473	26	614
Phillipston	69	334	22	425
Princeton	162	863	29	1054
Royalston	120	314	15	449
Rutland	259	1424	58	1741
Shrewsbury	1833	9008	429	11270

## SUMMARY

The proposed act would impose a general requirement that every electric utility company charge a uniform rate per kilowatt hour of electricity. The proposed act would except from this general rule rates charged to other electric utility companies and to residential customers who heat their principle place of residence by electricity. The Act would also permit a different rate to be charged residential customers for the first three hundred (300) kilowatt hours they consume each month, and would authorize "peak load" pricing whereby a higher rate than the uniform rate per kilowatt hour may be charged during the periods of the day or seasons of the year when consumption of electricity is the greatest. The Act would authorize the Department of Public Utilities to issue implementing rules and regulations and provides for enforcement.

*Votes on Question No. 7 — Concluded*

*Law Proposed by an Initiative Petition*

*Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was disapproved by the House of Representatives on May 3, 1976, by a vote of 182-49, and on which no vote was taken by the Senate before May 5, 1976?*

**In the County of Worcester — Concluded**

<b>Cities and Towns</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Blanks</b>	<b>Total Ballots</b>
Southborough .....	487	2489	73	3049
Southbridge .....	1199	4669	1106	6974
Spencer .....	765	3689	269	4723
Sterling .....	278	1989	57	2324
Sturbridge .....	496	1896	226	2618
Sutton .....	414	1936	133	2483
Templeton .....	349	2169	97	2615
Upton .....	357	1496	97	1950
Uxbridge .....	714	3108	259	4081
Warren .....	296	1361	93	1750
Webster .....	1170	5032	592	6794
West Boylston .....	499	2772	108	3379
West Brookfield .....	255	1037	62	1354
Westborough .....	1006	5361	167	6534
Westminster .....	343	2010	89	2442
Winchendon .....	466	2162	153	2781
<b>WORCESTER</b> .....	<b>15059</b>	<b>49552</b>	<b>5131</b>	<b>69742</b>
<b>Totals</b> .....	<b>50562</b>	<b>219085</b>	<b>19770</b>	<b>289417</b>

**SUMMARY**

The proposed act would impose a general requirement that every electric utility company charge a uniform rate per kilowatt hour of electricity. The proposed act would except from this general rule rates charged to other electric utility companies and to residential customers who heat their principle place of residence by electricity. The Act would also permit a different rate to be charged residential customers for the first three hundred (300) kilowatt hours they consume each month, and would authorize "peak load" pricing whereby a higher rate than the uniform rate per kilowatt hour may be charged during the periods of the day or seasons of the year when consumption of electricity is the greatest. The Act would authorize the Department of Public Utilities to issue implementing rules and regulations and provides for enforcement.



*Votes on Question No. 8 — This Question is Not Binding*

*This Question is Not Binding*

*The following is a non-binding advisory question: "Shall the General Court enact legislation authorizing the construction of an oil refinery and a deep water port, subject to the approval of those communities directly affected and any reservations that the General Court may prescribe?"*

**AGGREGATE OF VOTES**

COUNTIES	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
BARNSTABLE	43989	24274	6050	74313
BERKSHIRE	40161	21717	8051	69929
BRISTOL	116962	53239	25004	195205
DUKES	2181	2454	587	5222
ESSEX	177385	99365	30914	307664
FRANKLIN	18443	10802	2424	31669
HAMPDEN	117663	53906	16851	188420
HAMPSHIRE	36124	20373	4468	60965
MIDDLESEX	386103	207266	61187	654556
NANTUCKET	1198	1166	299	2663
NORFOLK	189711	92053	26125	307889
PLYMOUTH	105194	48853	13789	167836
SUFFOLK	105528	81312	51674	238514
WORCESTER	172523	86542	30352	289417
TOTALS	1513165	803322	277775	2594262

**SUMMARY**

The Legislature has placed this question on the ballot in order to determine whether the people favor or oppose the construction of an oil refinery and deep water port in Massachusetts. The vote on this question is not binding on the Legislature. The question deals with the general advisability of such construction and is not a specific proposal for a facility. If a specific proposal is made, it would be subject to approval by the communities directly affected and subject to any restrictions imposed by the Legislature.

**In the County of Barnstable**

Barnstable	9048	4522	1056	14626
Bourne	2865	1728	494	5087
Brewster	1512	991	133	2636
Chatham	2311	1477	213	4001
Dennis	4119	1995	462	6576
Eastham	1081	767	79	1927
Falmouth	6818	3692	936	11446
Harwich	3250	1562	293	5105
Mashpee	1132	528	144	1804
Orleans	1681	1191	191	3063
Provincetown	756	1137	258	2151
Sandwich	2305	1136	186	3627
Truro	371	363	51	785
Wellfleet	700	631	96	1427
Yarmouth	6040	2554	1458	10052
Totals	43989	24274	6050	74313

**In the County of Berkshire**

Adams	3616	1443	691	5750
Alford	142	70	14	226
Becket	271	165	54	490
Cheshire	1005	470	150	1625
Clarksburg	627	209	56	892
Dalton	2360	983	222	3565
Egremont	369	220	54	643
Florida	237	101	35	373
Great Barrington	1497	1131	609	3237
Hancock	198	111	30	339
Hinsdale	442	272	43	757
Lanesborough	817	428	126	1371
Lee	1414	1034	298	2746
Lenox	1614	1068	280	2962
Monterey	200	183	32	415
Mt. Washington	39	15	4	58
New Ashford	67	33	4	104
New Marlborough	296	175	59	530
NORTH ADAMS	4926	2134	992	8052
Otis	268	127	48	443
Peru	152	87	15	254
PITTSFIELD	13925	8302	3494	25721
Richmond	546	275	36	857
Sandisfield	150	99	52	301
Savoy	125	66	20	211
Sheffield	813	405	104	1322
Stockbridge	752	562	120	1434

*Votes for Question No. 8 — Continued*

*This Question is Not Binding*

*The following is a non-binding advisory question: "Shall the General Court enact legislation authorizing the construction of an oil refinery and a deep water port, subject to the approval of those communities directly affected and any reservations that the General Court may prescribe?"*

**In the County of Berkshire — Concluded**

<b>Cities and Towns</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Blanks</b>	<b>Total Ballots</b>
Tyringham .....	114	56	16	186
Washington .....	141	83	15	239
West Stockbridge .....	384	282	59	725
Williamstown .....	2461	1040	299	3800
Windsor .....	193	88	20	301
<b>Totals .....</b>	<b>40161</b>	<b>21717</b>	<b>8051</b>	<b>69929</b>

**In the County of Bristol**

Acushnet .....	3038	1093	313	4444
<b>ATTLEBORO</b> .....	<b>7841</b>	<b>3506</b>	<b>1343</b>	<b>12690</b>
Berkley .....	588	292	116	996
Dartmouth .....	6690	2554	807	10051
Dighton .....	1552	632	203	2387
Easton .....	4669	1941	418	7028
Fairhaven .....	4370	1971	864	7205
<b>FALL RIVER</b> .....	<b>18823</b>	<b>11365</b>	<b>7651</b>	<b>37839</b>
Freetown .....	1560	717	115	2392
Mansfield .....	3397	1754	345	5496
<b>NEW BEDFORD</b> .....	<b>24523</b>	<b>9123</b>	<b>4716</b>	<b>38362</b>
North Attleborough .....	5152	2115	1088	8355
Norton .....	2817	1257	309	4383
Raynham .....	2440	1017	296	3753
Rehoboth .....	1955	873	347	3175
Seekonk .....	3448	1517	620	5585
Somerset .....	6153	2609	904	9666
Swansea .....	4199	2034	722	6955
<b>TAUNTON</b> .....	<b>10127</b>	<b>5088</b>	<b>3192</b>	<b>18407</b>
Westport .....	3620	1781	635	6036
<b>Totals .....</b>	<b>116962</b>	<b>53239</b>	<b>25004</b>	<b>195205</b>

**In the County of Dukes**

Chilmark .....	121	226	26	373
Edgartown .....	576	610	170	1356
Gay Head .....	43	61	19	123
Gosnold .....	45	22	7	74
Oak Bluffs .....	528	475	162	1165
Tisbury .....	672	724	159	1555
West Tisbury .....	196	336	44	576
<b>Totals .....</b>	<b>2181</b>	<b>2454</b>	<b>587</b>	<b>5222</b>

**In the County of Essex**

Amesbury .....	2741	1934	753	5428
Andover .....	8410	3915	974	13299
<b>BEVERLY</b> .....	<b>11803</b>	<b>6138</b>	<b>1373</b>	<b>19314</b>
Boxford .....	1774	652	97	2523
Danvers .....	7484	3612	936	12032
Essex .....	889	587	130	1606
Georgetown .....	1763	857	164	2784
<b>GLOUCESTER</b> .....	<b>6100</b>	<b>5369</b>	<b>1517</b>	<b>12986</b>
Groveland .....	1542	795	124	2461
Hamilton .....	2376	1015	183	3574
<b>HAVERHILL</b> .....	<b>11296</b>	<b>6693</b>	<b>2402</b>	<b>20391</b>
Ipswich .....	3521	2050	406	5977
<b>LAWRENCE</b> .....	<b>14105</b>	<b>8611</b>	<b>3764</b>	<b>26480</b>
<b>LYNN</b> .....	<b>21307</b>	<b>9258</b>	<b>5678</b>	<b>36243</b>
Lynnfield .....	4506	1738	301	6545
Manchester .....	1740	1180	182	3102
Marblehead .....	7872	4206	790	12868
Merrimac .....	1146	674	160	1980

**SUMMARY**

The Legislature has placed this question on the ballot in order to determine whether the people favor or oppose the construction of an oil refinery and deep water port in Massachusetts. The vote on this question is not binding on the Legislature. The question deals with the general advisability of such construction and is not a specific proposal for a facility. If a specific proposal is made, it would be subject to approval by the communities directly affected and subject to any restrictions imposed by the Legislature.

## Votes for Question No. 8 — Continued

*This Question is Not Binding*

The following is a non-binding advisory question: "Shall the General Court enact legislation authorizing the construction of an oil refinery and a deep water port, subject to the approval of those communities directly affected and any reservations that the General Court may prescribe?"

## In the County of Essex — Concluded

Cities and Towns	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Methuen	10680	5611	1624	17915
Middleton	1182	583	129	1894
Nahant	1202	937	123	2262
Newbury	1274	934	117	2325
NEWBURYPORT	3450	3396	1009	7855
North Andover	6001	2827	633	9461
PEABODY	13403	7074	2057	22534
Rockport	1775	1673	379	3827
Rowley	1007	580	102	1689
SALEM	9669	6892	2604	19165
Salisbury	1280	982	267	2529
Saugus	7699	3914	893	12506
Swampscott	4261	2656	782	7729
Topshfield	2056	851	94	3001
Wenham	1266	619	95	1980
West Newbury	805	522	72	1399
Totals	177385	99365	30914	307664

## SUMMARY

The Legislature has placed this question on the ballot in order to determine whether the people favor or oppose the construction of an oil refinery and deep water port in Massachusetts. The vote on this question is not binding on the Legislature. The question deals with the general advisability of such construction and is not a specific proposal for a facility. If a specific proposal is made, it would be subject to approval by the communities directly affected and subject to any restrictions imposed by the Legislature.

## In the County of Franklin

Ashfield	409	316	48	773
Bernardston	541	299	64	904
Buckland	585	321	98	1004
Charlemont	306	161	43	510
Colrain	454	263	55	772
Conway	356	302	52	710
Deerfield	1391	732	132	2255
Erving	442	205	49	696
Gill	390	239	39	668
Greenfield	5023	3169	735	8927
Hawley	59	55	11	125
Heath	127	91	22	240
Leverett	333	322	49	704
Leyden	143	78	14	235
Monroe	63	23	11	97
Montague	2605	1398	359	4362
New Salem	237	99	19	355
Northfield	796	460	107	1363
Orange	1755	747	226	2728
Rowe	121	82	15	218
Shelburne	626	256	65	947
Shutesbury	259	186	28	473
Sunderland	740	467	81	1288
Warwick	152	117	30	299
Wendell	126	174	29	329
Whately	404	240	43	687
Totals	18443	10802	2424	31669

## In the County of Hampden

Agawam	6456	3105	958	10519
Blandford	355	147	23	525
Brimfield	682	311	78	1071
Chester	364	125	54	543
CHICOPEE	17242	7874	1434	26550
East Longmeadow	4690	1808	308	6806
Granville	400	162	52	614
Hampden	1462	558	72	2092
Holland	426	197	45	668
HOLYOKE	11572	5759	2321	19652
Longmeadow	6331	2252	364	8947
Ludlow	5623	2370	563	8556
Monson	2010	889	206	3105
Montgomery	194	93	11	298

## Votes for Question No. 8 — Continued

*This Question is Not Binding*

The following is a non-binding advisory question: "Shall the General Court enact legislation authorizing the construction of an oil refinery and a deep water port, subject to the approval of those communities directly affected and any reservations that the General Court may prescribe?"

## In the County of Hampden — Concluded

Titles and Towns	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Palmer .....	3638	1631	419	5688
Russell .....	471	181	54	706
Southwick .....	2153	733	149	3035
SPRINGFIELD .....	30559	15940	7783	54282
Tolland .....	103	45	7	155
Wales .....	292	151	25	468
West Springfield .....	8334	3540	632	12506
WESTFIELD .....	10111	4239	852	15202
Wilbraham .....	4195	1796	441	6432
Totals .....	117663	53906	16851	188420

## In the County of Hampshire

Amherst .....	4867	5359	916	11142
Belchertown .....	1683	894	142	2719
Chesterfield .....	245	122	37	404
Cummington .....	278	98	27	403
Easthampton .....	4769	1993	532	7294
Goshen .....	170	101	20	291
Granby .....	1570	768	163	2501
Hadley .....	1328	770	195	2293
Hatfield .....	1032	491	133	1656
Huntington .....	498	235	65	798
Middlefield .....	103	62	16	181
NORTHAMPTON .....	7863	4716	1161	13740
Pelham .....	332	211	36	579
Plainfield .....	106	61	18	185
South Hadley .....	5727	2200	412	8339
Southampton .....	1240	503	82	1825
Ware .....	2918	1171	369	4458
Westhampton .....	316	156	31	503
Williamsburg .....	784	318	84	1186
Worthington .....	295	144	29	468
Totals .....	36124	20373	4468	60965

## In the County of Middlesex

Acton .....	6106	2209	307	8622
Arlington .....	16759	9400	2053	28212
Ashby .....	716	320	66	1102
Ashland .....	2636	1234	322	4192
Ayer .....	1388	656	164	2208
Bedford .....	3698	1823	459	5980
Belmont .....	9639	5513	1271	16423
Billerica .....	8987	3853	982	13822
Boxborough .....	826	347	38	1211
Burlington .....	6458	3360	783	10601
CAMBRIDGE .....	19454	18672	5104	43230
Carlisle .....	1135	497	87	1719
Cheimsford .....	10439	4440	718	15597
Concord .....	5388	2909	574	8871
Dracut .....	6199	3062	679	9940
Dunstable .....	473	211	65	749
EVERETT .....	9040	6123	3133	18296
Framingham .....	20447	8076	1912	30435
Groton .....	1697	801	140	2638
Holliston .....	4381	1398	227	6006
Hopkinton .....	2129	909	163	3201
Hudson .....	4518	1870	579	6967
Lexington .....	10305	5329	1497	17131
Lincoln .....	1669	1005	194	2868
Littleton .....	2165	993	165	3323
LOWELL .....	21897	11711	3888	37496
MALDEN .....	13564	8686	3347	25597

## SUMMARY

The Legislature has placed this question on the ballot in order to determine whether the people favor or oppose the construction of an oil refinery and deep water port in Massachusetts. The vote on this question is not binding on the Legislature. The question deals with the general advisability of such construction and is not a specific proposal for a facility. If a specific proposal is made, it would be subject to approval by the communities directly affected and subject to any restrictions imposed by the Legislature.

## Votes for Question No. 8 — Continued

*This Question is Not Binding*

The following is a non-binding advisory question: "Shall the General Court enact legislation authorizing the construction of an oil refinery and a deep water port, subject to the approval of those communities directly affected and any reservations that the General Court may prescribe?"

## In the County of Middlesex — Concluded

Cities and Towns	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
MARLBOROUGH	8677	3873	979	13529
Maynard	2888	1391	363	4642
MEDFORD	16846	9398	3345	29589
MELROSE	9412	4907	1692	16011
Natick	8797	5169	977	14943
NEWTON	26032	14710	5080	45822
North Reading	3878	1476	259	5613
Pepperell	1854	841	186	2881
Reading	8020	3233	877	12130
Sherborn	1473	633	70	2176
Shirley	1065	475	113	1653
SOMERVILLE	16707	11275	3922	31904
Stoneham	6413	3050	1219	10682
Stow	1574	628	95	2297
Sudbury	4978	2075	301	7354
Tewksbury	6812	2919	518	10249
Townsend	1642	590	160	2392
Tyngsborough	1451	683	158	2292
Wakefield	8369	3679	1304	13352
WALTHAM	13002	7697	3417	24116
Watertown	10063	6212	2730	19005
Wayland	4762	1987	342	7091
Westford	4136	1615	297	6048
Weston	4143	1824	268	6235
Wilmington	4401	2316	749	7466
Winchester	6579	3748	1049	11376
WOBURN	10016	5455	1800	17271
Totals	386103	207266	61187	654556

## SUMMARY

The Legislature has placed this question on the ballot in order to determine whether the people favor or oppose the construction of an oil refinery and deep water port in Massachusetts. The vote on this question is not binding on the Legislature. The question deals with the general advisability of such construction and is not a specific proposal for a facility. If a specific proposal is made, it would be subject to approval by the communities directly affected and subject to any restrictions imposed by the Legislature.

## In the County of Nantucket

Nantucket	1198	1166	299	2663
Totals	1198	1166	299	2663

## In the County of Norfolk

Avon	1517	736	268	2521
Bellingham	4048	1604	419	6071
Braintree	11858	5864	1098	18820
Brookline	14855	9436	4881	29172
Canton	5882	2917	628	9427
Cohasset	2617	1349	218	4184
Dedham	8066	4413	1318	13797
Dover	1759	878	134	2771
Foxborough	4331	1551	303	6185
Franklin	4854	2236	583	7673
Holbrook	3485	1656	388	5529
Medfield	3374	1395	244	5013
Medway	2487	1077	230	3794
Millis	2153	888	157	3198
Milton	9903	4618	1117	15638
Needham	10967	4614	1285	16866
Norfolk	1557	632	118	2307
Norwood	9370	4895	941	15206
Plainville	1682	704	142	2528
QUINCY	25871	14366	3767	44004
Randolph	8687	3600	1755	14042
Sharon	4974	1864	465	7303
Stoughton	6484	3578	1065	11127
Walpole	6218	2330	573	9121
Wellesley	9763	4254	1011	15028
Westwood	5046	2192	328	7566
Weymouth	16090	7628	2425	26143
Wrentham	1813	778	264	2855
Totals	189711	92053	26125	307889

Votes for Question No. 8 — Continued

*This Question is Not Binding*

*The following is a non-binding advisory question: "Shall the General Court enact legislation authorizing the construction of an oil refinery and a deep water port, subject to the approval of those communities directly affected and any reservations that the General Court may prescribe?"*

**In the County of Plymouth**

Cities and Towns	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Abington	3904	1825	387	6116
Bridgewater	4062	1817	431	6310
BROCKTON	20130	10144	4533	34807
Carver	1337	507	135	1979
Duxbury	3810	1457	213	5480
East Bridgewater	2517	1325	383	4225
Halifax	1627	655	147	2429
Hanover	3483	1505	255	5243
Hanson	2185	899	265	3349
Hingham	6634	3218	693	10545
Hull	2849	1524	394	4767
Kingston	2053	950	258	3261
Lakeville	1601	672	146	2419
Marion	1269	600	112	1981
Marshfield	1004	2834	443	4281
Mattapoisett	2117	637	98	2852
Middleborough	4026	1679	483	6188
Norwell	2810	359	283	3452
Pembroke	3604	1506	240	5350
Plymouth	7528	4017	1497	13042
Plympton	532	253	52	837
Rochester	777	284	62	1123
Rockland	4107	1908	619	6634
Scituate	5768	2782	464	9014
Wareham	4309	1992	681	6982
West Bridgewater	2110	907	152	3169
Whitman	4041	1597	363	6001
Totals	105194	48853	13789	167836

**In the County of Suffolk**

BOSTON	85323	66690	44380	196393
CHELSEA	5271	3749	2234	11254
REVERE	10075	7026	3789	20890
Winthrop	4859	3847	1271	9977
Totals	105528	81312	51674	238514

**In the County of Worcester**

Ashburnham	1167	497	117	1781
Athol	3062	1327	439	4828
Auburn	5127	2354	594	8075
Barre	1258	591	206	2055
Berlin	689	338	73	1100
Blackstone	1805	787	370	2962
Bolton	782	413	53	1248
Boylston	1144	499	78	1721
Brookfield	657	319	105	1081
Charlton	1471	724	208	2403
Clinton	3508	1858	670	6036
Douglas	955	625	163	1743
Dudley	2188	1103	414	3705
East Brookfield	533	288	83	904
FITCHBURG	10813	4583	1677	17073
GARDNER	5212	2430	1096	8738
Grafton	3344	1690	445	5479
Hardwick	642	336	124	1102
Harvard	1289	619	83	1991
Holden	4384	2167	644	7195
Hopedale	1470	607	175	2252
Hubbardston	484	227	60	771
Lancaster	1613	667	182	2462
Leicester	2576	1337	360	4273
LEOMINSTER	9160	3982	1988	15130
Lunenburg	2813	900	228	3941

**SUMMARY**

The Legislature has placed this question on the ballot in order to determine whether the people favor or oppose the construction of an oil refinery and deep water port in Massachusetts. The vote on this question is not binding on the Legislature. The question deals with the general advisability of such construction and is not a specific proposal for a facility. If a specific proposal is made, it would be subject to approval by the communities directly affected and subject to any restrictions imposed by the Legislature.

Votes for Question No. 8 — Concluded

*This Question is Not Binding*

*The following is a non-binding advisory question: "Shall the General Court enact legislation authorizing the construction of an oil refinery and a deep water port, subject to the approval of those communities directly affected and any reservations that the General Court may prescribe?"*

In the County of Worcester — Concluded

Cities and Towns	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Mendon	913	468	107	1488
Milford	6013	3657	1008	10678
Millbury	3390	1775	545	5710
Millville	485	225	113	823
New Braintree	191	117	26	334
North Brookfield	1162	669	158	1989
Northborough	3471	1353	211	5035
Northbridge	2085	1208	2234	5527
Oakham	303	149	36	488
Oxford	2286	1466	439	4191
Paxton	1285	581	93	1959
Petersham	357	232	25	614
Phillipston	271	116	38	425
Princeton	668	341	45	1054
Royalston	275	144	30	449
Rutland	1178	475	88	1741
Shrewsbury	7139	3358	773	11270
Southborough	2133	796	120	3049
Southbridge	3211	2711	1052	6974
Spencer	2782	1494	447	4723
Sterling	1555	657	112	2324
Sturbridge	1413	901	304	2618
Sutton	1440	843	200	2483
Templeton	1626	800	189	2615
Upton	1182	601	167	1950
Uxbridge	2378	1313	390	4081
Warren	1057	520	173	1750
Webster	3717	2110	967	6794
West Boylston	2237	972	170	3379
West Brookfield	863	368	123	1354
Westborough	4425	1766	343	6534
Westminster	1697	597	148	2442
Winchendon	1889	634	258	2781
<b>WORCESTER</b>	<b>39300</b>	<b>31857</b>	<b>8585</b>	<b>69742</b>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>172523</b>	<b>86542</b>	<b>30352</b>	<b>289417</b>

SUMMARY

The Legislature has placed this question on the ballot in order to determine whether the people favor or oppose the construction of an oil refinery and deep water port in Massachusetts. The vote on this question is not binding on the Legislature. The question deals with the general advisability of such construction and is not a specific proposal for a facility. If a specific proposal is made, it would be subject to approval by the communities directly affected and subject to any restrictions imposed by the Legislature.

*Votes on Question No. 9 — This Question is Not Binding*

*This Question is Not Binding*

*The following is a non-binding advisory question: "Shall retail stores including package liquor stores, so called, be allowed to open for business on Sunday?"*

**AGGREGATE OF VOTES**

COUNTIES	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
BARNSTABLE	37902	31883	4528	74313
BERKSHIRE	33833	29219	6877	69929
BRISTOL	84574	88609	22022	195205
DUKES	2544	2299	379	5222
ESSEX	172877	112840	21947	307664
FRANKLIN	17349	12606	1714	31669
HAMPDEN	80340	89126	18954	188420
HAMPSHIRE	33433	24718	2814	60965
MIDDLESEX	343383	267554	43619	654556
NANTUCKET	1373	1073	217	2663
NORFOLK	150366	139656	17867	307889
PLYMOUTH	84809	73261	9766	167836
SUFFOLK	105519	92079	40916	238514
WORCESTER	150842	114122	24453	289417
Totals	1299144	1079045	216073	2594262

**SUMMARY**

The Legislature has placed this question on the ballot in order to determine whether the people favor or oppose the Sunday opening of certain retail stores, including package liquor stores. As the law now stands, most retail and all package liquor stores must be closed on Sundays. The vote on this question is not binding on the Legislature.

**In the County of Barnstable**

Barnstable	7591	6319	716	14626
Bourne	2620	2049	418	5087
Brewster	1357	1177	102	2636
Chatham	1946	1879	176	4001
Dennis	3445	2783	348	6576
Eastham	970	902	55	1927
Falmouth	5916	4785	745	11446
Harwich	2521	2373	211	5105
Mashpee	955	723	126	1804
Orleans	1402	1519	142	3063
Provincetown	1224	715	212	2151
Sandwich	1891	1597	139	3627
Truro	440	301	44	785
Wellfleet	764	580	83	1427
Yarmouth	4860	4181	1011	10052
Totals	37902	31883	4528	74313

**In the County of Berkshire**

Adams	2921	2163	666	5750
Alford	137	67	22	226
Becket	233	206	51	490
Cheshire	822	648	155	1625
Clarksburg	480	354	58	892
Dalton	1862	1505	198	3565
Egremont	315	283	45	643
Florida	184	150	39	373
Great Barrington	1418	1342	477	3237
Hancock	196	126	17	339
Hinsdale	384	317	56	757
Lanesborough	699	574	98	1371
Lee	1325	1164	257	2746
Lenox	1405	1329	228	2962
Monterey	185	193	37	415
Mt. Washington	31	25	2	58
New Ashford	58	38	8	104
New Marlborough	263	218	49	530
NORTH ADAMS	3974	3280	798	8052
Otis	225	180	38	443
Peru	160	80	14	254
PITTSFIELD	11625	11237	2859	25721
Richmond	478	315	64	857
Sandisfield	172	84	45	301
Savoy	121	64	26	211
Sheffield	679	568	75	1322
Stockbridge	745	588	101	1434
Tyringham	82	86	18	186
Washington	141	83	15	239
West Stockbridge	392	267	66	725
Williamstown	1970	1556	274	3800
Windsor	151	129	21	301
Totals	33833	29219	6877	69929



## Votes on Question No. 9 — Continued

*This Question is Not Binding*

The following is a non-binding advisory question: "Shall retail stores including package liquor stores, so called, be allowed to open for business on Sunday?"

## In the County of Bristol

Cities and Towns	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Acushnet	2202	1915	327	4444
ATTLEBORO	5975	5729	986	12690
Berkley	485	403	108	996
Dartmouth	4199	5186	666	10051
Dighton	1186	1013	188	2387
Easton	3396	3344	288	7028
Fairhaven	3040	3475	690	7205
FALL RIVER	13526	17161	7152	37839
Freetown	1238	1050	104	2392
Mansfield	3089	2125	282	5496
NEW BEDFORD	15417	18295	4650	38362
North Attleborough	4482	3211	662	8355
Norton	2472	1638	273	4383
Raynham	1864	1665	224	3753
Rehoboth	1608	1266	301	3175
Seekonk	2587	2528	470	5585
Somerset	4408	4430	828	9666
Swansea	3040	3313	602	6955
TAUNTON	7568	8176	2663	18407
Westport	2792	2686	558	6036
Totals	84574	88609	22022	195205

## SUMMARY

The Legislature has placed this question on the ballot in order to determine whether the people favor or oppose the Sunday opening of certain retail stores, including package liquor stores. As the law now stands, most retail and all package liquor stores must be closed on Sundays. The vote on this question is not binding on the Legislature.

## In the County of Dukes

Chilmark	192	154	27	373
Egartown	721	513	122	1356
Gay Head	61	48	14	123
Gosnold	45	25	4	74
Oak Bluffs	511	551	103	1165
Tisbury	699	780	76	1555
West Tisbury	315	228	33	576
Totals	2544	2299	379	5222

## In the County of Essex

Amesbury	3219	1640	569	5428
Andover	7708	5063	528	13299
BEVERLY	10706	7479	1129	19314
Boxford	1539	904	80	2523
Danvers	6416	5008	608	12032
Essex	900	614	92	1606
Georgetown	1784	849	151	2784
GLOUCESTER	6704	5076	1206	12986
Groveland	1705	682	74	2461
Hamilton	1823	1565	186	3574
HAVERHILL	13270	5509	1612	20391
Ipswich	3340	2316	321	5977
LAWRENCE	14153	9177	3150	26480
LYNN	19953	13093	3197	36243
Lynnfield	3574	2748	223	6545
Manchester	1709	1222	171	3102
Marblehead	7642	4699	527	12868
Merrimac	1273	601	106	1980
Methuen	10286	6315	1314	17915
Middleton	1113	671	110	1894
Nahant	1280	893	89	2262
Newbury	1561	647	117	2325
NEWBURYPORT	5089	2046	720	7855
North Andover	5450	3483	528	9461
PEABODY	12164	8842	1528	22534
Rockport	1711	1811	305	3827
Rowley	1077	553	59	1689
SALEM	9663	8030	1472	19165
Salisbury	1693	651	185	2529
Saugus	6689	5200	617	12506
Swampscott	4134	2822	773	7729
Topsfield	1687	1242	72	3001
Wenham	982	919	79	1980
West Newbury	880	470	49	1399
Totals	172877	112840	21947	307664