

PUBLIC DOCUMENT NO. 43

*Massachusetts
Election
Statistics
1986*

The Elections Division



Office of the
Massachusetts Secretary of State,
Michael J. Connolly,
Secretary

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Sherborn	1,400	536	62	1,998.00

QUESTION NO. 9

Shall the Town of Sudbury be allowed to exempt from the provisions of Proposition 2^{1/2}, so-called, the amounts required to pay for a bond issue necessary to purchase a swimming facility?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Sudbury	959	973	3,339	5,271

QUESTION NO. 9

Shall the Town of Tyngsborough be allowed to exempt from the provision of Proposition 2^{1/2}, so-called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in order to provide a sewerage system for Elm Street, Pine Street, Oak Street, Alden Street, Bridget Avenue?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Tyngsborough	1,136	1,096	95	2,327

QUESTION NO. 9

Shall an act passed by the General Court in the year nineteen hundred and eighty-six, entitled "An Act providing for a charter for the City of Waltham," be accepted?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
WALTHAM	8,341	2,938	4,905	16,184

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Lincoln	1,524	619	136	2,279

QUESTION NO. 9

Shall the public water supply for domestic use in Longmeadow be fluoridated?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Longmeadow	3,073	2,645	391	6,109

QUESTION NO. 9

Shall the Town of Lunenburg be allowed to exempt from the provisions of Proposition 2^{1/2}, so called, the amounts required to pay for a bond issued for construction and/or reconstruction of a Town Office Facility?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Lunenburg	1,080	1,647	180	2,907

QUESTION NO. 9

Shall licenses be granted to the town of Needham for the sale therein of all alcoholic beverages by clubs and war veterans' organizations?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Needham	6,837	4,495	1,041	12,373

QUESTION NO. 10

Shall the town of Ashland be allowed to exempt from the provisions of Proposition 2½, so called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in order to complete design and construction of a permanent water treatment facility at the Howe Street well site?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Ashland	2,103	1,001	224	3,328

QUESTION NO. 9

Shall the Town of Holbrook be allowed to assess an additional one hundred fifty thousand (\$150,000.00) in real estate and personal property taxes for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1986?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Holbrook	853	2,528	345	3,726

QUESTION NO. 10

Shall the town of North Andover be allowed to assess an additional \$1,000,000 in real estate and personal property taxes for the fiscal year beginning July first, nineteen hundred and eighty-seven?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
North Andover	1,222	5,386	713	7,321

QUESTION NO. 9

Shall licenses be granted in the town of Wellesley for the sale therein of all alcoholic beverages by clubs which are not organized for commercial purposes, for the dispensing of wine and malt beverages in dining halls of incorporated educational institutions authorized to grant degrees and for the sale of all alcoholic beverages by nonprofit organizations conducting indoor or outdoor activities or enterprises?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Wellesley	5,245	4,515	655	10,415

QUESTION NO. 9

B. Shall licenses be granted in this city (or town) for the sale of wines and malt beverages (wines and beer, ale and all other malt beverages)?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Westhampton	161	312	7	480

QUESTION NO. 9

Shall the Town of Whately be allowed to exempt from the provisions of Proposition 2½, so-called, the amounts required to pay for the bonds issued in order to establish a town water supply system, to take or purchase water sources either from public land or private sources or water or flowage rights for the purpose of a public water supply or to take or purchase land for the protection of the water system?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Whately	397	165	25	587

QUESTION NO. 9

Shall the public water supply for domestic use in Wilbraham be fluoridated?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Wilbraham	1,727	2,520	372	4,619

QUESTION NO. 9

Shall up to one license be granted in this town for the sale at retail therein of all alcoholic beverages in packages, so called, not to be drunk on the premises?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Winchester	5,417	2,421	868	8,706

QUESTION NO. 10

Shall the Town of Ashburnham be allowed to exempt from the provisions of Proposition 2½, so called, the amount required to pay for the bond issued for the conversion of the Dolly Whitney Adams School Building for use as a library?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Ashburnham	853	456	54	1,363

QUESTION NO. 10

Shall the Town of Tyngsborough be allowed to exempt from the provisions of Proposition 2½, so-called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in order to construct and equip a new school building?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Tyngsborough	1,260	1,003	64	2,327

QUESTION NO. 10

Shall the Town of Wellesley be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in order to pay for the construction of a new Fire station Headquarters Building (Fire Station #2) at 457 Worcester Street?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Wellesley	5,005	4,350	1,060	10,415

QUESTION NO. 11

Shall the Town of Hollbrook, MA be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in order to fund the design, construction, engineering, permits, acquisition of rights of way, land taking, legal costs and all incidental action associated with the extension of the town's sewerage system, consisting of interceptors, lateral sewers, service connections and other necessary works in the Town of Hollbrook as is substantially shown as Phase 1 and areas contiguous to Phase 1 in the Facilities Planning Study Report dated November, 1985?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Hollbrook	1,593	1,736	397	3,726

QUESTION NO. 9

This question is not binding

Shall the Watertown trolley, so called, be restored along its present route to replace existing Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority bus route fifty-seven?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
BOSTON	2,721	2,834	1,611	7,166
NEWTON	1,025	1,242	663	2,930
TOTALS	3,746	4,076	2,274	10,096

QUESTION NO. 9 OR 10 Depending on Ward and Precinct

This question is not binding

Shall the Arborway trolley, so called, be restored along its present route to replace existing MBTA bus route thirty-nine?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
BOSTON	3,480	1,723	873	6,076

QUESTION NO. 9 OR 10 Depending on the District.

This question is not binding

Do you approve that the laws be amended to permit the opening of certain retail establishments on the Commonwealth's observance of Columbus Day?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Berkshire County				
Adams	2,545	613	439	3,597
Alford	100	24	12	136

QUESTION NO. 9

This question is not binding

Shall the Representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation forming the following wards and precincts of the City of Boston into a new city of the Commonwealth; ward 4, precincts 8 and 9; ward 8; ward 9; ward 10, precincts 5, 6 and 7; ward 11; ward 12; ward 13; precincts 1, 2, 3 and 5; ward 14; ward 15, precincts 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7; ward 17, precincts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 10; ward 18, precincts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 21; ward 19, precinct 7?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Third Suffolk				
BOSTON	1,280	3,624	2,233	7,137

Fifth Suffolk

BOSTON	1,027	3,322	1,271	5,620
--------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Sixth Suffolk

BOSTON	684	1,962	875	3,521
--------	-----	-------	-----	-------

Seventh Suffolk

BOSTON	1,446	3,691	1,551	6,688
--------	-------	-------	-------	-------

	175	83	50	308	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Becket	738	268	118	1,124				
Cheshire	429	108	43	580				
Clarksburg	1,668	514	155	2,337				
Dalton	322	88	31	441				
Egremont	165	56	23	244				
Florida	1,208	371	416	1,995	1,348	3,841	1,460	6,649
Great Barrington	159	41	14	214				
Hancock	348	104	49	501				
Hinsdale	616	222	158	996				
Lanesborough	902	308	393	1,603				
Lee	1,315	404	301	2,020				
Lenox	215	48	19	282				
Monterey	28	9	8	46				
Mt. Washington	75	19	8	102				
New Ashford	276	77	37	390				
New Marlborough	3,426	891	636	4,953	1,460	3,819	1,585	6,864
NORTH ADAMS	148	67	40	255				
Otis	141	54	12	207				
Peru	8,572	3,606	2,967	15,145				
PITTSFIELD	423	121	43	587				
Richmond	109	26	15	150				
Sandisfield	115	31	9	155				
Savoy	647	191	98	936				
Sheffield	633	199	96	928				
Stockbridge	104	20	12	136				
Tyringham	118	44	15	177				
Washington	350	85	49	484				
West Stockbridge	2,131	408	267	2,806				
Williamstown	166	72	22	260				
Windsor	28,367	9,172	6,556	44,095				
TOTALS								

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Ninth Suffolk				
BOSTON	1,348	3,841	1,460	6,649
Twelfth Suffolk				
BOSTON	1,460	3,819	1,585	6,864
Thirteenth Suffolk				
BOSTON	1,055	3,301	1,033	5,389
Fourteenth Suffolk				
BOSTON	1,585	4,371	1,902	7,858
Fifteenth Suffolk				
BOSTON	1,034	4,076	1,077	6,187

QUESTION NO. 9

This question is non-binding.

Shall a license be granted to permit the establishment and operation of a teletheatre for the purpose of off-track betting in the city of Chicopee?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
CHICOPEE	9,675	6,803	789	17,267

QUESTION NO. 9

This question is not binding

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Seventeenth Essex				
BOSTON	1,191	3,266	1,218	5,675

Shall the Representative from this District be instructed to vote in favor of legislation establishing a minimum annual increase in local aid for each city and town of at least 2 1/2% of the city's or town's tax levy for the previous year?

QUESTION NO. 9

This question is not binding

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
9th Essex				
LYNN	2,709	316	417	3,442
Saugus	6,127	911	1,177	8,215
TOTALS	8,836	1,227	1,594	11,657

Shall the Representative from this District be instructed to vote in favor of legislation requiring New England Telephone Company to keep Peabody, Salem, Danvers, Beverly, Marblehead and Lynn in the 617 area code?

QUESTION NO. 10

This question is not binding

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
10th Essex Representative District				
LYNN	8,173	993	1,277	10,443

Shall the Representative from this District be instructed to vote in favor of legislation requiring the state to assume at least 80% of all costs of special needs students that exceed the average state wide per-pupil cost?

QUESTION NO. 9

This question is not binding

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
5th Essex Representative District				
GLOUCESTER	3,392	2,639	2,008	8,039
Manchester	1,052	741	341	2,134
Rockport	1,478	835	647	2,960
TOTALS	5,922	4,215	2,996	13,133

QUESTION NO. 10

This question is not binding

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
5th Essex Representative District				
GLOUCESTER	3,827	2,338	1,874	8,039
Manchester	1,225	597	312	2,134
Rockport	1,699	733	528	2,960
TOTALS	6,751	3,668	2,714	13,133

Shall the Representative from this District be instructed to vote in favor of legislation requiring the state to assume at least 80% of all costs of special needs students that exceed the average state wide per-pupil cost?

QUESTION NO. 11

This question is not binding

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
11th Essex Representative District				
LYNN	6,904	846	1,355	9,105
Nahant	1,230	201	201	1,632
TOTALS	8,134	1,047	1,556	10,737

Shall the Representative from this District be instructed to vote in favor of legislation requiring the Commonwealth to assume all costs of county courthouses and correctional institutions?

QUESTION NO. 9

This question is not binding

Shall the Representative from this District be instructed to vote in favor of legislation requiring New England Telephone Company to keep Marblehead and Swampscott in the 617 area code?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
8th Essex Representative District				
Marblehead	7,041	683	485	8,209
Swampscott	3,991	564	719	5,274
TOTALS	11,032	1,247	1,204	13,483

QUESTION NO. 9

This question is not binding

Shall the Representative from this District be instructed to vote in favor of legislation requiring New England Telephone Company to keep Hamilton, Ipswich, Middleton, Topsfield, Wenham, Boxford and Essex in the 617 area code?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
4th Essex Representative District				
Boxford	1,734	291	105	2,130
Essex	857	131	111	1,099
Hamilton	1,988	338	215	2,541
Ipswich	3,103	552	393	4,048
Middleton	1,260	167	133	1,560
Topsfield	1,875	280	111	2,266
Wenham	973	196	87	1,256
TOTALS	11,790	1,955	1,155	14,900

5th Essex Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
GLOUCESTER	3,319	2,754	1,966	8,039
Manchester	1,128	641	365	2,134
Rockport	1,524	810	626	2,960
TOTALS	5,971	4,205	2,957	13,133

QUESTION NO. 9

This question is not binding

Shall the Representative from this District be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon the government of the United States to adopt a policy: (1) that would require the halt of nuclear bomb testing and the reduction of weapons by 1%; (2) that would challenge the Soviet Union to do likewise, with verification within one year; and (3) that would initiate mutual, verifiable, gradual reductions of nuclear weapons on a world-wide basis, with the goal of eliminating 99% of those weapons by the year 2000?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
3rd Worcester Representative District				
FITCHBURG	5,677	2,408	2,078	10,163

4th Worcester Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
LEOMINSTER	5,239	2,695	1,559	9,493

QUESTION NO. 9

12th Worcester Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Boylston	742	387	121	1,250
Clinton	2,097	991	804	3,892
Lancaster	946	471	175	1,592
Sterling	1,138	637	182	1,957
West Boylston	1,339	716	257	2,312
TOTALS	6,262	3,202	1,539	11,003

Second Barnstable Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Barnstable	8,614	3,381	2,586	14,611
Sandwich	2,857	1,231	435	4,523
TOTALS	11,501	4,612	3,021	19,134

QUESTION NO. 9

This question is not binding

Shall the Representative from this District be instructed to vote in favor of legislation prohibiting the dumping, disposal, storage or generation of radioactive waste in Massachusetts from commercial nuclear plants after July 4, 1989?

1st Plymouth

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Kingston	1,655	872	133	2,660
Plymouth	6,607	4,979	823	12,409
TOTALS	8,262	5,851	956	15,069

QUESTION NO. 9

This question is not binding

Shall the Senator from this District be instructed to vote in favor of legislation requiring New England Telephone Company to keep Danvers, Beverly, Peabody and Salem in the 617 area code?

2nd Essex Senatorial District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
BEVERLY	8,869	1,721	1,812	12,402
Danvers	6,823	1,042	792	8,657
PEABODY	12,712	1,777	1,948	16,437
SALEM	8,915	1,348	1,620	11,883
TOTALS	37,319	5,888	6,172	49,379

QUESTION NO. 9 or 10

This question is not binding

Shall the Senator from this District be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon the government of the United States to adopt a policy: (1) to join the Soviet Union in an immediate halt in the testing of nuclear weapons; (2) to begin, with the Soviet Union, an immediate 1% reduction in these weapons followed by mutual verification within one year; and (3) to initiate a gradual and verifiable worldwide reduction of all nuclear weapons to be completed by the year 2000?

No. 10 in Greenfield, Leverett, Leyden, Shutesbury, Whately

Franklin & Hampshire Senatorial District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Amherst	4,943	1,145	587	6,675
Ashfield	416	143	39	598
Buckland	353	226	49	628
Charlemont	212	124	23	359
Colrain	326	140	29	495
Conway	399	102	35	536
Deerfield	1,086	409	92	1,587
Easthampton	3,118	1,201	582	4,901
Granby	869	371	309	1,549
Greenfield	3,222	1,483	923	5,628

SPECIAL STATE PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS

STATE REPRESENTATIVE

Special State Primary March 12, 1985

Seventh Suffolk District	Democratic Primary							Republican			
	John Garvey- Bynoe of Boston	Gloria Fox of Boston	Grace Romero of Boston	Frank G. Williams of Boston	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast	No Nomination	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
BOSTON	1,114	1,260	115	118	—	18	2,625	—	34	9	43
TOTALS	1,114	1,260	115	118	—	18	2,625	—	34	9	43

Special State Election April 9, 1985

Seventh Suffolk District						Total Votes Cast
		Gloria Fox of Boston Democratic	John Bynoe of Boston Write-In	All Others	Blanks	
BOSTON						
Wd. 9, Pct.	3	179	66	—	5	250
	4	97	26	—	3	126
	5	132	31	—	—	163
Wd. 12, Pct.	1	113	34	—	—	147
	2	160	149	—	—	309
	3	99	35	—	1	135
	4	97	28	—	5	130
	5	136	30	—	3	169
	6	77	40	—	3	120
	7	184	74	—	4	262
	8	73	46	—	4	123
	9	87	58	—	—	145
Wd. 14, Pct.	1	101	52	—	1	154
	3	84	46	—	1	131
	6	62	33	—	6	101
TOTALS		1,681	748	—	36	2,465

SPECIAL STATE PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS
STATE REPRESENTATIVE
Special State Primary May 7, 1985

Tenth Essex District	Democratic Primary								Republican			
	Diane DeFazio of Lynn	John T. Kastle of Lynn	Vincent J. Lozzi of Lynn	Harry J. MacCabe of Lynn	Richard T. Barto of Lynn	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast	Joseph S. Spina of Lynn	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
LYNN	58	1,503	1,905	48	1,897	6	83	5,500	215	—	46	261
TOTAL	58	1,503	1,905	48	1,897	6	83	5,500	215	—	46	261

Special State Election June 4, 1985

Tenth Essex District	Vincent J. Lozzi of Lynn	Democratic of Lynn	Joseph S. Spina of Lynn	Republican	Ronald C. Bishop of Lynn	Independent	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
LYNN									
Wd. 1, Pct.	4	351	251	50	—	—	3	655	
Wd. 2, Pct.	1	415	435	91	—	—	11	952	
	2	396	293	65	—	—	5	759	
	3	261	182	28	—	—	9	480	
	4	258	95	22	—	—	8	383	
Wd. 3, Pct.	1	246	224	43	—	—	8	521	
	2	153	117	28	—	—	9	307	
	3	181	151	33	—	—	8	373	
	4	165	137	41	—	—	5	348	
Wd. 4, Pct.	1	117	88	7	—	—	3	215	
	2	210	47	9	—	—	5	271	
	3	131	111	13	—	—	2	257	
	4	155	96	12	—	—	10	273	
TOTALS		3,039	2,227	442	—	—	86	5,794	

The Elections Division
Office of the Massachusetts Secretary of State
Room 1705, One Ashburton Place, Boston, MA 02108
(617)727-2828, 1-800-462-VOTE