APR 03

Public Document No. 43

Massachusetts Elections Statistics 1992

The Elections Division
Office of Massachusetts
Secretary of State
Michael Joseph Connolly

QUESTION 5

Shall the City of Melrose be allowed to assess an additional $3,000,000.00 in real estate and personal property taxes for the purposes of funding the operating budgets of the City and the Public Schools for the fiscal year beginning July first, nineteen hundred and ninety-two?

in the City of Melrose

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
MELROSE	7,750	7,386	1,258	16,394
TOTALS	7,750	7,386	1,258	16,394

QUESTION 6

Shall the Town of Needham be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amount required to pay for the bond issued in the principal amount not to exceed $6,995,000., in order to make extraordinary repairs and additions to the Pollard School building?

in the Town of Needham

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Needham	8,362	7,326	1,842	17,530
TOTALS	8,362	7,326	1,842	17,530

- Include filing fees and excise taxes paid to the county registry of deeds, and other revenues sources approved by the voters of the county, among the permissible sources of revenue for the county government.

in Hampshire County

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Hampshire County				
Amherst	6,317	2,176	3,337	11,830
Belchertown	2,770	1,919	790	5,479
Chesterfield	270	240	58	568
Cummington	211	187	58	456
Easthampton	3,483	3,602	878	7,963
Goshen	230	169	57	456
Granby	837	1,194	956	2,987
Hadley	1,352	1,066	411	2,829
Hatfield	881	825	258	1,964
Huntington	391	414	113	918
Middlefield	117	105	27	249
NORTHAMPTON	8,071	4,647	2,534	15,252
Pelham	463	227	127	817
Plainfield	148	113	32	293
South Hadley	4,438	2,782	1,427	8,647
Southampton	1,192	1,109	262	2,563
Ware	2,103	1,897	682	4,682
Westhampton	377	337	82	796
Williamsburg	748	498	204	1,450
Worthington	230	379	61	670
TOTALS	34,629	23,886	12,354	70,869

QUESTION 6

Do you approve of the amendments to the Hampshire County Charter summarized below?

SUMMARY

These proposed amendments to the Hampshire County Charter would:

- Require the county commissioners, promptly after receiving the results of the federal census every ten years, to establish and to inform municipalities of the number of commissioners each municipality shall elect and the weight, according to population, to be given to each commissioner's vote;
- Require that any appointment to the office of county cooperative extension trustee be subject to confirmation by the county commissioners;
- Provide that any person appointed to fill a vacancy in the office of county commissioner would serve only until a replacement takes office after being chosen at the next municipal general election;
- Provide that the county administrator's appointments of persons other than department heads shall be effective when made, without the thirty-day waiting period provided for appointments of department heads; and
- Provide that proposed charter amendments would become effective if approved by a majority of votes cast by the county's voters at a biennial state election held at least ninety days after a vote of the county commissioners to submit the amendments to the voters. A fair summary of the proposed amendments, prepared by the county counsel and approved by the county commissioners, would appear on the ballot.

in Hampshire County

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Amherst	6,131	1,855	3,844	11,830
Belchertown	2,838	1,487	1,154	5,479
Chesterfield	271	209	88	568
Cummington	213	173	70	456
Easthampton	3,718	3,201	1,044	7,963
Goshen	233	144	79	456
Granby	831	1,177	979	2,987
Hadley	1,360	946	523	2,829
Hatfield	956	694	314	1,964
Huntington	401	365	152	918
Middlefield	121	100	28	249
Hampshire County (continued)				
Hatfield	314	1,394	256	1,964
Huntington	125	681	112	918
Middlefield	48	175	26	249
NORTHAMPTON	3,530	9,068	2,654	15,252
Pelham	251	444	122	817
Plainfield	63	189	41	293
South Hadley	1,359	5,783	1,505	8,647
Southampton	305	2,016	242	2,563
Ware	819	3,178	685	4,682
Westhampton	122	595	79	796
Williamsburg	294	965	191	1,450
Worthington	77	526	67	670
TOTALS	**13,940**	**44,031**	**12,898**	**70,869**

QUESTION 5

Shall a charter study commission be created to study the present governmental structure of Nantucket County to consider and make findings concerning the form of government and make recommendations thereon?

in Nantucket County

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Nantucket County				
Nantucket	2,369	1,462	405	4,236
TOTALS	**2,369**	**1,462**	**405**	**4,236**

QUESTION 5

(G) Shall a restaurant license be granted in the Town of Bolton to Rich House, Inc., for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, to be consumed on the premises?

in the Town of Bolton

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots

NORTHAMPTON	8,079	4,018	3,155	15,252
Pelham	452	205	160	817
Plainfield	154	92	47	293
South Hadley	4,555	2,154	1,938	8,647
Southampton	1,281	968	314	2,563
Ware	2,177	1,699	806	4,682
Westhampton	398	281	117	796
Williamsburg	746	446	258	1,450
Worthington	239	345	86	670
TOTALS	35,154	20,559	15,156	70,869

QUESTION 7

Do you approve of the amendment to the Hampshire County Charter summarized below.

SUMMARY

This proposed amendment to the Hampshire County Charter would impose, effective January 1, 1993, a Regional Services excise of $1.14 per $500 of the value of transactions recorded at the county registry of deeds. The excise would:

- Be paid by the seller and would not apply where the seller was a political subdivision of the Commonwealth;
- Be collected through procedures proposed by the register of deeds and approved by the county commissioners; and
- Be deposited in a separate account, to be appropriated annually by the county commissioners for services including, but not limited to, regional municipal and human services, the University of Massachusetts Cooperative Extension services in the county, and the registry of deeds.

in Hampshire County

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Hampshire County				
Amherst	3,452	4,522	3,856	11,830
Belchertown	900	3,769	810	5,479
Chesterfield	91	417	60	568
Cummington	84	317	55	456
Easthampton	1,074	6,145	744	7,963
Goshen	76	323	57	456
Granby	459	1,598	930	2,987
Hadley	497	1,926	406	2,829
Bolton	1,266	602	72	1,940
TOTALS	1,266	602	72	1,940

QUESTION 5

Shall a charter study commission be created to study the present governmental structure of Norfolk County, to consider and make findings concerning the form of government and make recommendations thereon?

in Norfolk County

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Norfolk County				
Avon	894	1,274	521	2,689
Bellingham	3,184	3,205	871	7,260
Braintree	7,258	8,544	3,111	18,913
Brookline	9,903	9,069	8,755	27,727
Canton	4,312	4,899	1,924	11,135
Cohasset	1,714	1,964	899	4,577
Dedham	5,719	5,337	2,410	13,466
Dover	1,216	1,374	616	3,206
Foxborough	2,913	3,856	1,368	8,137
Franklin	4,447	5,482	1,749	11,678
Holbrook	2,060	2,747	931	5,738
Medfield	2,856	2,843	653	6,352
Medway	2,077	2,466	948	5,491
Millis	1,725	1,947	540	4,212
Milton	4,826	7,452	2,897	15,175
Needham	7,037	7,724	2,769	17,530
Norfolk	1,930	2,094	415	4,439
Norwood	5,862	6,668	2,930	15,460
Plainville	1,204	1,572	585	3,361
QUINCY	15,506	18,438	7,258	41,202
Randolph	5,232	6,207	2,944	14,383
Sharon	3,020	4,224	2,022	9,266
Stoughton	4,639	5,733	2,799	13,171
Walpole	4,685	5,110	1,730	11,525
Wellesley	5,391	4,988	4,780	15,159
Westwood	2,713	4,147	1,535	8,395
Weymouth	10,529	12,687	5,238	28,454
Wrentham	1,811	2,076	609	4,496
TOTALS	124,663	144,127	63,807	332,597

QUESTION 5

Shall a charter study commission be created to study the present governmental structure of Bristol County to consider and make findings concerning the form of government and make recommendations thereon?

in Bristol County

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Bristol County				
Acushnet	1,817	2,040	1,052	4,909
ATTLEBORO	5,649	6,921	2,922	15,492
Berkley	876	1,018	222	2,116
Dartmouth	4,476	5,299	3,222	12,997
Dighton	938	1,577	350	2,865
Easton	3,807	5,338	1,225	10,370
Fairhaven	2,633	3,503	1,798	7,934
FALL RIVER	11,075	13,354	7,492	31,921
Freetown	1,561	2,228	354	4,143
Mansfield	2,969	4,027	1,835	8,831
NEW BEDFORD	13,494	14,178	5,936	33,608
North Attleborough	4,504	5,439	1,459	11,402
Norton	2,190	3,723	852	6,765
Raynham	1,678	2,331	1,341	5,350
Rehoboth	1,496	2,172	898	4,566
Seekonk	2,602	2,918	1,270	6,790
Somerset	3,770	4,946	1,225	9,941
Swansea	2,762	3,862	1,599	8,223
TAUNTON	7,277	7,669	5,102	20,048
Westport	2,587	3,408	1,248	7,243
TOTALS	78,161	95,951	41,402	215,514

QUESTION 5

Shall the (county manager plan of the County Charter Procedures Act) be adopted for Dukes county, with the provision for a board of commissioners of seven members elected for non-concurrent terms and elected at large, with the previso that no more than two members may be elected and serve from any one town?

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED DUKES COUNTY CHARTER

The proposed charter follows the county manager plan, under which the county would be governed by an elected board of commissioners, a county manager appointed

- Organize the work of county departments subject to the administrative code adopted by the board; supervise, direct and control these departments; review their administration and operation and make appropriate recommendations to the board; and order any agency under the manager's jurisdiction to perform any task for any other agency on a temporary basis if the manager, in his or her discretion, deems it necessary;
- Enforce the county charter and applicable laws, and assure that all terms and conditions imposed in favor of the county or its people in any statute, franchise or other contract are performed;
- Sign contracts, bonds, and other instruments for the county; negotiate contracts for the county, subject to board approval and, where appropriate, advisory board approval; and supervise the care of all county property;
- Appoint the deputy manager, if that position were created by the board, to serve at the manager's pleasure and assist in county administration;
- Appoint the heads of all county departments, heads of divisions within departments, and all other administrative officers and county personnel not otherwise provided for in the charter;
- At the manager's discretion, remove or suspend appointed county officials and employees, subject to the general laws and applicable civil service regulations;
- Serve as a nonvoting member of all appointive bodies in county government;
- Analyze and forecast trends in county services, finances, and programs, and report and recommend thereon to the board, including recommendations concerning county improvements; and
- Report annually to the commissioners, the advisory board on county expenditures, and the people on the state of the county, the work of the previous year, and any recommendations for the improvement of the welfare of the county and its residents.

The Board of Commissioners

The board of commissioners would exercise the legislative power of the county. Each January the board would select a chairman and a vice-chairman from among its members. The county manager could participate in all board meetings but could not vote.

The board would exercise its power by ordinance, except that the board could by resolution do the following:

- Approve contracts presented by the county manager; establish county personnel policies; elect, appoint, and remove officers and employees where permitted by law; express disapproval of the suspension or dismissal of other officers or employees; adopt rules for the board and its meetings; establish board committees; express board policies or opinions; conduct investigations; declare emergencies; and establish a municipal advisory council.

The board would have the power and the duty to:

- Appoint the county manager and could create the office of deputy manager;

by the board, and such other officers and employees as might be appointed pursuant to law. The charter would not diminish the powers and duties of cities and towns to legislate for the general health, safety, and welfare of their residents, or require cities and towns without their consent to use county services or facilities.

The County Government

The county government would have the power, subject to the provisions of general law and the state constitution, to:

- Organize its internal affairs and structure, including, with the exception of judicial or penal matters and the registry of deeds, the power to abolish or consolidate agencies previously required by general law, but any such action that changed the county's obligation to provide services previously supplied would require prior approval by the Legislature.
- Create and abolish agencies, offices, and positions, and establish the powers, duties, qualifications, compensations, and manner of appointment and removal of officials and employees;
- Adopt, amend, enforce, and repeal ordinances and resolutions; construct, acquire, operate, and maintain public improvements, projects or other enterprises for public purposes; sue and be sued; enter contracts; buy, sell, lease, hold and dispose of property; appropriate and spend money for county purposes; and exercise powers or eminent domain, borrowing and taxation only as provided by general law.

The County Manager

The county manager would:

- Serve as the full-time chief executive of the county;
- Be appointed by a majority vote of the board of commissioners for an indefinite term and be paid a reasonable salary, fixed by the board and not subject to reduction while the manager remains in office;
- Be required to reside in the county after appointment, unless permitted otherwise by the board; and
- Be subject to removal by a majority vote of the board after written notice of charges or complaints followed by a public hearing, and could be replaced in case of physical or mental incapacity.

The county manager would have the power and duty to:

- Propose to the board an annual operating budget and a capital budget, and supervise and administer all phases of the budgetary process, including such centralized personnel and purchasing procedures as may be authorized and including an examination of the records and operations of any county agency;
- Oversee the county treasurer's collection of revenues, control of expenditures and complete accounting for all expenditures;
- Appoint a clerk to the board, to serve at the pleasure of the board or for such term (up to three years) as the board may provide;
- Appoint a county counsel to head the county's legal department; the counsel would serve at the pleasure of the board or for such a term (up to three years) as the county administrative code may provide, and the code could also establish a term (up to three years) for the position of assistant county counsel;
- Appoint members of all boards and commissions and other bodies whose manner of appointment is not otherwise specified in the charter;
- Approve annual operating and capital budgets, prior to presenting them for advisory board appropriation; and
- Pass those ordinances and resolutions deemed necessary and proper for the good governance of the county.

Relationship of the County Manager to the Board of Commissioners

The board would deal with matters involving county employees, administration, or services only through the county manager, except as otherwise provided in the charter. The charter would not prohibit a board inquiry into any act or problem of the county administration if relevant to the board's legislative powers.

Any commissioner could require a report on an aspect of county government at any time by making a written request to the county manager. The board could, by majority vote, delegate members to consult with the county manager to study any matter, and by like vote could require the county manager to appear before the board with such county records, reports, officials, and employees as the board deems necessary.

No member(s) of the board could seek to influence the county manager to appoint, promote, or dismiss any person to or from any county position, except that the board could prevent the suspension or dismissal of officials and employees by a two-thirds vote of its membership after a public hearing.

in Dukes County

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Dukes County				
Chilmark	261	187	42	490
Edgartown	1,010	716	272	1,998
Gay Head	65	70	23	158
Gosnold	49	40	15	104
Oak Bluffs	975	785	193	1,953
Tisbury	955	753	226	1,934
West Tisbury	721	455	114	1,290
TOTALS	4,036	3,006	885	7,927

QUESTION 5

Shall the Town of Sudbury be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for the Town's apportioned share of the bonds issued by the Lincoln-Sudbury Regional School District in order to finance costs of reconstructing, equipping, remodeling and making extraordinary repairs to the regional high school?

in the Town of Sudbury

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Sudbury	4,580	3,723	679	8,982
TOTALS	**4,580**	**3,723**	**679**	**8,982**

QUESTION 5

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of placing on the 1994 ballot a state constitutional amendment, proposed by initiative petition, to limit the number of consecutive terms of office that may be served by certain state and federal officials?

in the following district: 12th Middlesex Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Twelfth Middlesex District				
NEWTON	9,794	6,199	3,838	19,831
TOTALS	**9,794**	**6,199**	**3,838**	**19,831**

QUESTION 6, 8, OR 9 DEPENDING ON THE QUESTION

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to submit a resolution in the state Senate calling upon Congress to enact a national health program establishing a single payer system that would guarantee the same comprehensive health care services to all Americans, be funded through progressive taxation, and eliminate all private insurers?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Seventh Plymouth District				
Abington	2,440	3,274	1,460	7,174
Holbrook	2,526	2,458	754	5,738
Whitman	2,121	2,911	1,487	6,519
TOTALS	**7,087**	**8,643**	**3,701**	**19,431**

QUESTION 5

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation banning the sale, transfer and possession of handguns in the City of Boston?

in the following districts: 1st, 2nd, 4th, 7th, 10th, 12th, and 16th Suffolk Representative Districts

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
First Suffolk District				
BOSTON	3,069	3,251	3,423	9,743
TOTALS	**3,069**	**3,251**	**3,423**	**9,743**
Second Suffolk District				
BOSTON	2,684	1,807	1,548	6,039
CHELSEA	3,585	1,966	2,533	8,084
TOTALS	**6,269**	**3,773**	**4,081**	**14,123**
Fourth Suffolk District				
BOSTON	4,950	5,413	3,854	14,217
TOTALS	**4,950**	**5,413**	**3,854**	**14,217**
Seventh Suffolk District				
BOSTON	3,035	1,409	3,383	7,827
TOTALS	**3,035**	**1,409**	**3,383**	**7,827**
Tenth Suffolk District				
BOSTON	7,181	5,006	4,831	17,018
TOTALS	**7,181**	**5,006**	**4,831**	**17,018**

in the following district: Franklin & Hampshire Senatorial District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Franklin & Hampshire District				
Amherst	6,162	2,354	3,314	11,830
Ashfield	586	265	139	990
Buckland	563	315	168	1,046
Chesterfield	265	211	92	568
Conway	579	285	133	997
Deerfield	1,555	979	470	3,004
Goshen	214	165	77	456
Granby	1,133	995	859	2,987
Greenfield	3,696	2,765	2,167	8,628
Hadley	1,281	1,050	498	2,829
Hatfield	911	754	299	1,964
Huntington	401	373	144	918
Leverett	730	241	146	1,117
Montague	2,110	1,235	763	4,108
NORTHAMPTON	8,301	4,266	2,685	15,252
Shelburne	532	332	199	1,063
South Hadley	4,016	3,023	1,608	8,647
Sunderland	870	483	248	1,601
Westhampton	383	318	95	796
Whately	430	303	138	871
Williamsburg	765	473	212	1,450
TOTALS	**35,483**	**21,185**	**14,454**	**71,122**

QUESTION 5 OR 6 DEPENDING ON THE QUESTION

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would require the town of Holbrook to oppose the Environmental Protection Administration's proposal to incinerate the 200,000 tons of toxic waste at the Baird & McGuire Superfund site in Holbrook?

in the following district: 7th Plymouth Representative District

Twelfth Suffolk District				
BOSTON	4,987	1,876	2,780	9,643
TOTALS	**4,987**	**1,876**	**2,780**	**9,643**
Sixteenth Suffolk District				
BOSTON	5,947	1,825	2,988	10,760
TOTALS	**5,947**	**1,825**	**2,988**	**10,760**

QUESTION 6

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation forming Ward 6, Precincts 1 through 9 and Ward 7, Precincts 1 through 8 of the City of Boston into a new city of the Commonwealth?

in the following district: 4th Suffolk Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Fourth Suffolk District				
BOSTON	3,514	6,703	4,000	14,217
TOTALS	**3,514**	**6,703**	**4,000**	**14,217**

QUESTION 6

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the representative from this district be instructed to vote for an act to set up a special commission to develop a financial feasibility study and a charter which would enable the residents of the following wards and precincts to decide whether they desire self-government through the establishment of a new city Ward 7, precinct 10; all Ward 8; Ward 9, precinct 4,5; Ward 12, precincts 1,2,3,4,5,6,8; Ward 14, precincts all; Ward 15, precincts 1,2,3,4,5,7,8; Ward 17, precincts 1,3,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12; Ward 18, precincts 1,2,3,4,5,6,21; Ward 19, precinct 13?

in the following district: 7th Suffolk Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Seventh Suffolk District				
BOSTON	2,560	2,101	3,166	7,827
TOTALS	**2,560**	**2,101**	**3,166**	**7,827**

QUESTION 5, 8, OR 11 DEPENDING ON THE QUESTION

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to submit a resolution in the state House of Representatives calling upon Congress: 1) to enact substantial reductions in military expenditures each year for the next five years that would result in a decrease in the total military budget of at least fifty percent (approximately $150 billion); 2) to create jobs through assistance to communities and employers in converting from military to peacetime production; and 3) to reinvest the money saved to rebuild the economy and meet the following human needs: health care, education, housing, full employment, transportation, and restoring and protecting the environment?

in the following districts: 1st and 2nd Franklin Representative Districts

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
First Franklin District				
Ashfield	574	252	164	990
Buckland	509	320	217	1,046
Charlemont	328	216	85	629

production; and 3) to reinvest the money saved to rebuild the economy and meet the following human needs: health care, education, housing, full employment, transportation, and restoring and protecting the environment?

in the following districts: Franklin & Hampshire Senatorial District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Franklin & Hampshire District				
Amherst	6,301	2,269	3,260	11,830
Ashfield	603	257	130	990
Buckland	538	349	159	1,046
Chesterfield	264	221	83	568
Conway	617	253	127	997
Deerfield	1,609	966	429	3,004
Goshen	212	173	71	456
Granby	737	1,248	1,002	2,987
Greenfield	3,610	2,801	2,217	8,628
Hadley	1,306	1,045	478	2,829
Hatfield	897	732	335	1,964
Huntington	373	378	167	918
Leverett	775	232	110	1,117
Montague	2,143	1,222	743	4,108
NORTHAMPTON	8,659	4,107	2,486	15,252
Shelburne	562	322	179	1,063
South Hadley	3,809	3,087	1,751	8,647
Sunderland	936	431	234	1,601
Westhampton	407	301	88	796
Whately	447	306	118	871
Williamsburg	811	437	202	1,450
TOTALS	**35,616**	**21,137**	**14,369**	**71,122**

QUESTION 5

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the representative from this district be instructed to vote, each time such a bill is presented, for legislation setting up a single payer health care system in Massachusetts? Such a system shall: provide the same comprehensive health coverage to all residents, with consumer choice of health care provider; increase efficiency by eliminating the overhead and profits associated with private health insurance; fund health care by payroll taxes and income taxes based on ability to pay; and limit the increase in total cost of health care in Massachusetts to the rate of growth of the economy.

Chesterfield	260	217	91	568
Colrain	463	298	120	881
Conway	607	231	159	997
Cummington	237	164	55	456
Deerfield	1,558	946	500	3,004
Goshen	206	178	72	456
Heath	208	111	45	364
Huntington	377	349	192	918
Middlefield	123	98	28	249
Montague	2,059	1,172	877	4,108
Plainfield	154	104	35	293
Shelburne	556	299	208	1,063
Sunderland	921	434	246	1,601
Whately	433	291	147	871
Williamsburg	814	425	211	1,450
Worthington	332	260	78	670
TOTALS	**10,719**	**6,365**	**3,530**	**20,614**
Second Franklin District				
Bernardston	489	403	179	1,071
Erving	383	278	110	771
Gill	441	235	109	785
Greenfield	3,592	2,624	2,412	8,628
Leverett	759	218	140	1,117
Leyden	227	102	52	381
New Salem	268	150	58	476
Northfield	826	498	256	1,580
Orange	1,617	1,333	363	3,313
Shutesbury	688	202	84	974
Warwick	188	156	73	417
Wendell	344	109	39	492
TOTALS	**9,822**	**6,308**	**3,875**	**20,005**

QUESTION 5 OR 8
DEPENDING ON THE QUESTION

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to submit a resolution in the state Senate calling upon Congress: 1) to enact substantial reductions in military expenditures each year for the next five years that would result in a decrease in the total military budget of at least fifty percent (approximately $150 billion); 2) to create jobs through assistance to communities and employers in converting from military to peacetime

in the following districts: 27th, 28th, 29th Middlesex Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Twenty-Seventh Middlesex District				
CAMBRIDGE	10,922	3,949	3,368	18,239
TOTALS	**10,922**	**3,949**	**3,368**	**18,239**
Twenty-Eighth Middlesex District				
CAMBRIDGE	10,587	3,042	3,008	16,637
TOTALS	**10,587**	**3,042**	**3,008**	**16,637**
Twenty-Ninth Middlesex District				
CAMBRIDGE	4,112	1,759	1,383	7,254
SOMERVILLE	4,208	1,848	1,624	7,680
TOTALS	**8,320**	**3,607**	**3,007**	**14,934**

QUESTION 5 OR 6
DEPENDING ON THE QUESTION

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation to establish an independent Massachusetts Energy Authority that would collect a fee on fossil fuels brought into the state by commercial enterprises and would disburse the revenue to stimulate the growth of Massachusetts businesses which provide products and services that support the use of non-polluting renewable energy or maximize energy conservation?

in the following districts: 15th Middlesex, 15th Norfolk and 5th Essex Representative Districts

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Fifth Essex District				
GLOUCESTER	4,712	7,136	2,488	14,336
Manchester	1,230	1,437	718	3,385
Rockport	1,916	1,832	772	4,520
TOTALS	**7,858**	**10,405**	**3,978**	**22,241**

QUESTION 5 OR 6

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Fifteenth Middlesex District				
Lexington	6,173	7,449	4,449	18,071
Lincoln	1,412	1,215	667	3,294
TOTALS	**7,585**	**8,664**	**5,116**	**21,365**
Fifteenth Norfolk District				
Brookline	6,969	4,906	5,429	17,304
TOTALS	**6,969**	**4,906**	**5,429**	**17,304**

QUESTION 5

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation adopting a charter for Essex County providing for the abolition of the elected offices of county commissioner and treasurer?

in the following districts: 14th and 15th Essex Representative Districts

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Fourteenth Essex District				
LAWRENCE	1,489	1,753	910	4,152
Middleton	1,031	862	953	2,846
North Andover	5,649	4,231	2,756	12,636
TOTALS	**8,169**	**6,846**	**4,619**	**19,634**
Fifteenth Essex District				
Methuen	6,377	9,739	3,805	19,921
TOTALS	**6,377**	**9,739**	**3,805**	**19,921**

QUESTION 7 OR 10 DEPENDING ON THE QUESTION

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to sponsor legislation to limit the financial influence of private donors by providing for complete public financing of all state-level elections for eligible candidates who choose to refuse all private campaign contributions?

in the following district: Franklin & Hampshire Senatorial District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Franklin & Hampshire District				
Amherst	6,136	2,305	3,389	11,830
Ashfield	575	241	174	990
Buckland	500	346	200	1,046
Chesterfield	258	213	97	568
Conway	562	274	161	997
Deerfield	1,532	948	524	3,004
Goshen	213	165	78	456
Granby	660	1,404	923	2,987
Greenfield	3,439	2,716	2,473	8,628
Hadley	1,340	1,008	481	2,829
Hatfield	852	791	321	1,964
Huntington	391	350	177	918
Leverett	706	244	167	1,117
Montague	1,904	1,354	850	4,108
NORTHAMPTON	8,125	4,343	2,784	15,252
Shelburne	537	320	206	1,063
South Hadley	4,001	2,757	1,889	8,647
Sunderland	813	495	293	1,601
Westhampton	396	299	101	796
Whately	409	313	149	871
Williamsburg	760	466	224	1,450
TOTALS	**34,109**	**21,352**	**15,661**	**71,122**

QUESTION 5 OR 6
(Question 6 in Nantucket)

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would include this senatorial district in a state emergency planning zone to protect the public in the event of a nuclear accident at the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station in Plymouth?

in the following districts: Cape & the Islands Senatorial District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Cape & Islands District				
Barnstable	15,104	3,981	3,662	22,747
Brewster	3,752	849	879	5,480
Chatham	3,072	989	497	4,558
Chilmark	343	83	64	490
Dennis	5,545	1,510	1,677	8,732
Eastham	2,150	594	266	3,010
Edgartown	1,144	324	530	1,998
Falmouth	10,775	3,050	2,552	16,377
Gay Head	116	16	26	158
Gosnold	65	23	16	104
Harwich	4,503	1,343	895	6,741
Mashpee	3,268	893	458	4,619
Nantucket	2,717	1,000	519	4,236
Oak Bluffs	1,299	390	264	1,953
Orleans	2,788	919	544	4,251
Provincetown	1,781	372	334	2,487
Tisbury	1,326	333	275	1,934
Truro	838	209	114	1,161
Wellfleet	1,283	354	178	1,815
West Tisbury	952	174	164	1,290
Yarmouth	8,144	2,230	2,488	12,862
TOTALS	**70,965**	**19,636**	**16,402**	**107,003**

QUESTION 5

THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING.

Do you support a change in the charter of the city government of Worcester which will provide as follows:

- for an elected mayor as chief executive officer in place of an appointed city manager; and
- for term limits of eight consecutive years for the office of mayor, city councillor and school committee member; and
- for city council confirmation, by majority vote, of the mayor's appointments to all city boards, commissions and committees; and
- for a school committee to consist of seven members, elected at-large, with the member receiving the highest number of votes serving as chairperson?

in the City of Worcester

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
WORCESTER	26,188	28,551	6,386	61,125
TOTALS	**26,188**	**28,551**	**6,386**	**61,125**

SPECIAL STATE PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS (TO FILL VACANCY)
Special State Primary April 30, 1991

District One	Democratic Primary													Republican Primary					
	John R. Arden of Easthampton	Lisa Baskin of Northampton	Stephen I. Bloomfield of Amherst	Leonard J. Collamore of Springfield	James G. Collins of Amherst	Sherwood Guernsey II of Williamstown	Christopher J. Hodgkins of Lee	Linda J. Melconian of West Springfield	John W. Olver of Amherst	Donald L. Robinson of Ashfield	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast	Janelyn Patashnick of Pittsfield	Steven D. Pierce of Westfield	Donald A. Thurston of Clarksburg	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Adams	7	—	4	4	31	669	129	84	60	9	2	28	1,027	29	86	643	—	2	760
Agawam	16	10	8	183	224	77	294	1,565	724	26	—	2	3,129	49	859	122	—	1	1,031
Alford	—	—	—	—	1	13	24	2	6	—	—	—	46	4	6	39	—	—	49
Amherst	8	11	11	11	1,201	46	418	155	2,194	58	2	—	4,115	46	370	194	2	—	612
Ashfield	—	—	—	—	11	2	43	5	135	105	—	—	301	3	58	44	—	—	105
Athol	9	8	1	2	47	5	15	99	101	4	2	10	303	14	235	66	—	2	317
Becket	—	—	2	—	3	37	85	6	14	2	—	—	149	12	22	20	—	—	54
Belchertown	3	6	4	9	200	15	111	104	374	4	—	6	836	20	157	58	—	6	241
Bernardston	1	—	—	2	6	2	12	21	91	1	—	3	139	3	86	46	—	2	137
Blandford	—	—	—	4	6	11	12	11	45	—	3	—	92	3	109	23	—	—	135
Buckland	—	1	1	—	10	3	21	11	133	14	—	1	195	3	74	30	—	2	109
Charlemont	—	—	—	—	—	10	11	9	35	1	—	—	66	3	35	21	—	1	60
Cheshire	1	1	2	1	7	182	46	52	19	6	1	2	320	26	46	211	—	2	285
Chester	1	—	—	3	3	9	19	34	45	2	—	2	118	3	53	15	—	—	71
Chesterfield	3	4	—	4	5	3	25	11	46	10	—	2	113	8	64	34	—	—	106
Clarksburg	—	2	—	—	3	58	8	8	5	2	—	5	91	3	15	252	—	1	271
Colrain	—	1	1	—	2	3	55	8	69	7	—	3	149	2	67	19	—	2	90
Conway	1	2	—	3	22	9	51	9	155	15	—	—	267	6	48	23	—	—	77
Cummington	—	—	—	—	13	9	22	3	55	9	—	1	112	9	24	28	—	—	61
Dalton	2	—	—	12	31	465	150	77	50	9	—	3	799	60	162	243	—	1	466
Deerfield	1	1	—	1	85	14	39	70	337	29	—	3	580	14	157	49	—	4	224
Easthampton	80	6	2	58	305	34	249	421	650	61	1	23	1,890	29	435	139	1	1	605
Egremont	—	3	1	—	2	36	75	5	7	1	—	1	131	5	35	52	—	1	93
Erving	—	1	—	1	5	6	14	21	47	4	—	2	101	4	32	22	—	—	58
Florida	—	1	—	—	3	29	—	3	6	1	—	3	46	3	12	70	—	—	85
Gill	—	—	—	1	6	3	21	26	132	5	—	—	194	1	41	24	—	—	66
Goshen	1	—	—	3	9	4	19	6	57	5	—	—	104	6	51	29	—	—	86
Granby	4	—	2	25	78	9	56	73	229	6	—	—	482	10	193	62	—	—	265
Granville	—	—	—	4	5	3	9	18	32	2	—	—	73	8	115	8	—	1	132
Great Barrington	2	2	—	5	20	169	557	79	66	4	2	15	921	30	130	202	6	9	377
Greenfield	12	5	9	23	126	30	235	266	1,145	66	—	—	1,917	44	601	254	—	—	899
Hadley	2	2	3	6	178	6	137	97	308	31	—	6	776	12	160	78	—	2	252

Hancock	—	—	—	—	4	39	3	1	8	2	—	—	57	12	10	33	—	—	55
Hatfield	4	2	—	8	94	6	84	62	257	22	—	5	544	5	94	32	—	1	132
Hawley	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	23	—	—	1	27	3	14	7	—	—	24
Heath	—	—	2	—	2	3	11	7	33	7	—	2	67	7	28	12	1	—	48
Hinsdale	1	1	—	1	9	85	96	15	7	2	—	—	217	9	34	35	—	—	78
HOLYOKE	63	19	7	932	977	234	302	1,352	1,017	92	—	79	5,074	75	804	192	—	11	1,082
Huntington	3	—	—	8	5	7	22	30	73	1	—	3	152	5	64	21	—	1	91
Lanesborough	2	1	1	—	12	217	56	21	19	6	—	—	335	27	51	85	—	—	163
Lee	7	—	—	5	18	111	1,139	77	34	17	—	3	1,411	27	113	89	4	6	239
Lenox	8	3	1	7	35	205	505	73	72	42	—	2	953	48	174	144	—	—	366
Leverett	2	2	—	2	40	6	76	5	264	18	—	1	416	5	33	23	—	—	61
Leyden	—	—	—	2	2	2	27	1	39	1	—	—	74	3	21	9	—	—	33
Middlefield	1	—	—	1	2	14	14	9	27	3	—	—	71	—	23	13	—	1	37
Monroe	—	—	—	—	—	2	1	—	13	—	—	1	17	—	2	13	—	—	15
Montague	4	2	—	9	68	20	176	196	546	24	—	11	1,056	16	170	49	—	1	236
Monterey	—	2	—	1	2	48	79	6	26	3	—	1	168	6	43	18	1	—	68
Montgomery	1	1	—	1	—	—	1	19	13	2	—	—	38	1	76	12	—	—	89
Mount Washington	—	—	—	—	—	3	9	—	3	—	—	—	15	1	4	12	—	—	17
New Ashford	—	—	—	—	1	4	5	5	6	2	2	—	25	8	1	18	—	—	27
New Marlborough	3	1	—	—	1	22	31	8	7	1	—	—	74	7	41	34	—	—	82
New Salem	1	—	1	—	7	1	8	5	43	—	—	—	66	—	50	9	—	—	59
NORTH ADAMS	11	4	3	1	33	760	81	163	90	21	—	44	1,211	28	140	1,141	—	3	1,312
NORTHAMPTON	23	29	12	77	620	96	828	660	2,669	519	5	42	5,580	51	498	276	5	7	837
Northfield	2	3	—	2	12	2	23	23	175	8	—	2	252	12	126	45	—	1	184
Orange	4	3	—	6	36	1	12	29	107	3	—	6	207	9	159	63	—	4	235
Otis	—	1	—	—	4	14	74	9	4	1	—	—	107	3	37	16	—	—	56
Pelham	—	1	—	1	94	—	35	16	204	11	—	—	362	3	31	17	—	—	51
Peru	1	1	1	—	1	17	18	4	6	—	—	—	49	3	19	24	—	1	47
Petersham	—	1	1	1	2	4	10	4	48	—	—	—	71	2	58	11	—	—	71
Phillipston	2	—	1	—	2	—	3	3	22	—	—	—	33	1	24	8	—	—	33
PITTSFIELD	46	41	9	73	420	3,009	1,682	1,019	555	102	—	49	7,005	339	656	951	—	61	2,007
Plainfield	2	—	1	1	1	4	12	7	45	8	—	1	82	2	26	12	—	—	40
Richmond	2	—	—	—	4	117	59	7	19	5	—	2	215	16	38	86	1	1	142
Rowe	—	—	—	—	3	—	6	1	22	—	—	—	32	—	12	15	—	—	27
Royalston	1	—	1	1	3	1	3	8	11	1	—	—	30	2	27	4	—	—	33
Russell	2	2	—	4	4	1	7	20	34	—	—	1	75	4	78	8	1	1	92
Sandisfield	—	—	—	3	—	13	32	1	1	—	—	1	51	3	5	4	—	1	13
Savoy	—	—	—	—	—	18	4	5	4	3	—	—	34	4	11	37	—	—	52
Sheffield	1	1	—	3	3	43	176	16	17	1	2	1	264	10	119	178	6	4	317
Shelburne	2	—	2	1	10	9	31	15	132	14	—	2	218	13	81	42	—	—	136
Shutesbury	—	1	—	3	45	1	84	7	175	8	—	—	324	1	26	19	—	2	48
South Hadley	14	15	2	149	303	50	129	447	782	43	—	43	1,977	54	494	131	—	23	702
Southampton	14	2	—	29	49	18	68	106	199	23	—	4	512	17	267	59	—	1	344
Southwick	2	4	2	20	34	19	98	314	231	5	6	16	751	14	393	45	1	6	459
Stockbridge	2	1	—	2	5	57	202	23	49	14	—	2	357	13	33	90	10	—	146
Sunderland	2	1	2	2	41	8	61	12	230	4	—	3	366	1	65	45	—	1	112

SPECIAL STATE PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS (TO FILL VACANCY)
Special State Primary April 30, 1991

District One (Continued)	Democratic Primary													Republican Primary					
	John R. Arden of Easthampton	Lisa Baskin of Northampton	Stephen I. Bloomfield of Amherst	Leonard J. Collamore of Springfield	James G. Collins of Amherst	Sherwood Guernsey II of Williamstown	Christopher J. Hodgkins of Lee	Linda J. Melconian of West Springfield	John W. Olver of Amherst	Donald L. Robinson of Ashfield	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast	Janelyn Patashnick of Pittsfield	Steven D. Pierce of Westfield	Donald A. Thurston of Clarksburg	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Templeton	7	10	3	5	24	4	3	31	22	4	—	2	115	5	92	23	—	2	122
Tolland	—	—	—	—	2	—	4	6	5	1	—	1	19	2	17	—	—	—	19
Tyringham	1	—	—	—	—	15	53	1	5	—	—	—	75	3	14	10	—	—	27
Ware	7	12	5	24	123	9	107	283	235	7	—	10	822	6	165	31	—	1	203
Warwick	—	1	1	—	1	1	10	1	50	2	—	—	67	1	17	21	—	—	39
Washington	3	1	—	2	3	21	38	12	5	—	—	—	85	4	5	4	—	—	13
Wendell	—	1	1	1	3	1	34	2	69	3	—	—	115	—	6	2	—	—	8
West Springfield	13	12	6	216	325	78	247	1,515	676	31	—	1	3,120	45	1,102	139	—	3	1,289
West Stockbridge	3	—	2	1	7	36	136	12	24	2	—	2	225	6	45	42	—	1	94
WESTFIELD	43	17	7	191	270	96	316	897	879	35	44	95	2,890	43	2,781	148	3	9	2,984
Westhampton	1	1	1	2	13	5	21	22	109	7	—	—	182	4	82	18	—	1	105
Whately	—	1	—	1	25	4	33	25	132	6	—	—	227	13	77	23	—	3	116
Williamsburg	1	3	1	8	14	10	62	31	203	83	—	1	417	11	83	62	1	1	158
Williamstown	1	2	1	5	15	734	35	22	143	65	—	10	1,033	18	96	701	—	3	818
Winchendon	4	5	—	—	13	3	—	4	37	1	—	3	70	13	89	12	—	1	115
Windsor	2	—	—	2	8	56	16	8	10	1	—	1	104	18	13	41	—	—	72
Worthington	1	—	—	3	13	8	16	11	89	1	—	—	142	4	69	29	—	—	102
TOTALS	474	278	128	2,182	6,515	8,343	10,479	11,053	18,426	1,787	72	575	60,312	1,538	14,564	8,613	43	203	24,961

Special State Election June 4, 1991

District One (1)	John W. Olver of Amherst Democratic	Steven D. Pierce of Westfield Republican	Patrick Joseph Armstrong of Williamsburg Independent	Thomas Boynton of Concord Unenrolled	Dennis M. Kelly of Amherst Pro-Democracy Reform	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Adams	1,231	1,179	28	1	20	—	35	2,494
Agawam	2,423	3,480	102	12	33	1	1	6,052
Alford	68	79	3	—	—	—	3	153
Amherst	4,771	1,370	32	6	69	—	5	6,253
Ashfield	434	243	20	1	1	1	10	710
Athol	541	1,053	22	—	6	—	59	1,681
Becket	177	134	5	2	—	—	—	318
Belchertown	1,237	846	35	5	11	—	106	2,240
Bernardston	299	317	11	—	—	—	3	630
Blandford	128	233	2	—	1	—	—	364
Buckland	388	393	13	1	3	1	6	805
Charlemont	166	214	5	—	2	—	8	395
Cheshire	434	452	10	—	2	—	8	906
Chester	132	180	7	—	1	—	4	324
Chesterfield	139	203	11	—	3	—	2	358
Clarksburg	269	313	8	—	6	4	5	605
Colrain	258	282	18	—	7	—	9	574
Conway	399	173	7	3	1	—	—	583
Cummington	140	118	6	—	—	—	—	264
Dalton	961	1,011	24	—	10	2	14	2,022
Deerfield	920	662	16	3	10	—	8	1,619
Easthampton	1,967	2,193	82	14	31	6	31	4,324
Egremont	209	154	8	—	1	—	1	373
Erving	156	189	2	—	4	1	5	357
Florida	70	90	6	—	—	—	1	167
Gill	256	153	6	2	2	—	1	420
Goshen	114	157	8	—	1	—	2	282
Granby	724	806	22	44	9	—	—	1,565
Granville	102	276	3	1	—	—	3	385
Great Barrington	936	854	11	2	6	5	17	1,831
Greenfield	2,792	1,993	42	10	38	—	1	4,879
Hadley	887	817	25	2	11	—	8	1,750
Hancock	82	88	2	1	1	—	2	176
Hatfield	694	495	15	2	6	2	11	1,225
Hawley	38	57	1	—	3	2	2	103

SPECIAL STATE PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS (TO FILL VACANCY)

Special State Election June 4, 1991

District One (Continued)	John W. Olver of Amherst Democratic	Steven D. Pierce of Westfield Republican	Patrick Joseph Armstrong of Williamsburg Independent	Thomas Boynton of Concord Unenrolled	Dennis M. Kelly of Amherst Pro-Democracy Reform	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Heath	102	89	6	—	—	—	1	198
Hinsdale	219	255	1	—	4	2	4	485
HOLYOKE	4,813	5,119	105	38	86	—	104	10,265
Huntington	212	247	11	1	1	—	29	501
Lanesborough	407	330	6	1	8	—	—	752
Lee	724	695	11	3	16	—	—	1,449
Lenox	924	794	16	2	9	1	2	1,748
Leverett	599	185	14	—	8	—	6	812
Leyden	116	67	8	—	3	—	—	194
Middlefield	68	53	—	—	3	—	—	124
Monroe	27	11	1	—	—	—	2	41
Montague	1,424	840	21	9	18	—	25	2,337
Monterey	180	124	1	1	1	—	5	312
Montgomery	81	168	5	1	—	—	—	255
Mount Washington	23	19	—	—	—	—	—	42
New Ashford	32	31	1	—	—	—	—	64
New Marlborough	127	139	7	—	2	—	—	275
New Salem	120	124	—	—	—	—	1	245
NORTH ADAMS	1,727	1,492	36	2	14	5	27	3,303
NORTHAMPTON	5,998	3,029	95	8	72	10	65	9,277
Northfield	409	351	3	1	4	—	7	775
Orange	698	1,284	65	7	18	3	34	2,109
Otis	94	120	4	3	3	—	—	224
Pelham	413	129	9	—	2	—	2	555
Peru	59	111	6	1	—	1	3	181
Petersham	124	211	1	—	2	—	2	340
Phillipston	46	93	1	—	—	—	—	140
PITTSFIELD	8,428	6,688	152	24	110	1	235	15,638
Plainfield	110	88	3	—	1	—	1	203
Richmond	261	247	3	1	1	1	1	515
Rowe	49	75	—	—	—	—	2	126
Royalston	58	104	—	—	—	2	—	164
Russell	116	205	6	—	1	—	—	328
Sandisfield	51	34	1	—	—	—	—	86
Savoy	50	65	6	—	—	1	—	122