Public Document No. 43

MASSACHUSETTS ELECTIONS STATISTICS

2000

RECEIVED
JUN 25 2002
BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY
GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT



Published by
The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
William Francis Galvin
Secretary of the Commonwealth
Elections Division

QUESTION 9

Shall the revisions to the Barnstable County Charter proposed by the Assembly of Delegates establishing a Cape Cod Regional Government be adopted?

SUMMARY

The revised charter authorizes the establishment of a Cape Cod Regional government to continue and preserve the regional services offered by Barnstable County to its citizens and Towns.

In the County of Barnstable

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Barnstable County				
Barnstable	13,659	6,709	3,729	24,097
Bourne	4,444	2,852	1,576	8,872
Brewster	3,778	1,322	912	6,012
Chatham	3,093	1,051	556	4,700
Dennis	5,330	2,406	1,332	9,068
Eastham	2,304	765	393	3,462
Falmouth	9,476	4,864	3,457	17,797
Harwich	4,513	1,749	959	7,221
Mashpee	2,986	3,188	356	6,530
Orleans	2,768	999	764	4,531
Provincetown	1,365	530	379	2,274
Sandwich	6,093	3,299	1,539	10,931
Truro	748	327	211	1,286
Wellfleet	1,129	444	341	1,914
Yarmouth	8,023	3,608	1,994	13,625
TOTALS	**69,709**	**34,113**	**18,498**	**122,320**

QUESTION 9

Shall the Town of Lincoln be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for its apportioned share of the bond issued by the Lincoln-Sudbury Regional School District in order to finance costs of constructing and equipping a new District High School, which may incorporate a portion of the existing District High School, including the financing of all other costs incidental and related thereto?

In the Town of Lincoln

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Middlesex County				
Lincoln	2,200	685	498	3,383
TOTALS	**2,200**	**685**	**498**	**3,383**

QUESTION 9

Shall the Town of Natick be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for the bonds to be issued in order to construct, equip and furnish a new Wilson Middle School on the site of the existing school and to remodel, reconstruct and make extraordinary repairs to the Kennedy Middle School including original equipment and related site improvements?

In the Town of Natick

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Middlesex County				
Natick	10,308	6,499	481	17,288
TOTALS	**10,308**	**6,499**	**481**	**17,288**

QUESTION 9

Shall the Town of Dover be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for the bonds to be issued in order to acquire for conservation purposes Lot No. 5 on Assessors' Property Map 17 and located west of Centre Street and known as the Wylde Property?
In the Town of Dover

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Norfolk County				
Dover	2,326	735	266	3,327
TOTALS	**2,326**	**735**	**266**	**3,327**

QUESTION 9

Shall the Town of Hatfield be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for and pursuant to the bond or bonds to be issued in order to finance construction of an addition to or renovation of Smith Academy, or both, and for the expenses for design and any other services and costs related to any such renovation or construction, or both, including costs for purchasing related equipment and furnishings and costs related to issuance of the bond or bonds?
In the Town of Hatfield

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Hampshire County				
Hatfield	649	1,142	139	1,930
TOTALS	**649**	**1,142**	**139**	**1,930**

QUESTION 10

Shall the Town of Natick be allowed to assess an additional $427,000 in real estate and personal property taxes for the purposes of implementing a second ambulance in the Fire Department and/or upgrading the emergency medical services in the Fire Department to paramedic level for the fiscal year beginning July first, two thousand?
In the Town of Natick

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Middlesex County				
Natick	9,410	7,146	732	17,288
TOTALS	**9,410**	**7,146**	**732**	**17,288**

QUESTION 11

Shall the Town of Natick vote to have its elected town treasurer collector become an appointed treasurer-collector of the town?
In the Town of Natick

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Middlesex County				
Natick	8,011	7,815	1,462	17,288
TOTALS	**8,011**	**7,815**	**1,462**	**17,288**

QUESTION 9

Shall the Town of Needham be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in the principal amount not to exceed $2,000,000 in order to purchase, for conservation purposes, approximately 17.74 acres of land known as Wiswall property within the Town of Needham?
In the Town of Needham

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Norfolk County				
Needham	8,846	5,393	2,032	16,271
TOTALS	**8,846**	**5,393**	**2,032**	**16,271**

QUESTION 9

Shall the Town of North Reading be allowed to be exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in order to (1) design and construct a new elementary school; (2) design and construct a new police station; (3) prepare schematic drawings to convert the Batchelder building to either school administration offices only with removal of the wing or conversion of the Batchelder building to town/school administration offices; and (4) the preparation of schematic drawings for renovations to the Middle and High Schools?
In the Town of North Reading

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Middlesex County				
North Reading	3,188	3,907	345	7,440
TOTALS	**3,188**	**3,907**	**345**	**7,440**

QUESTION 9
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would make possession of less than one ounce of marijuana a civil violation, subject to a fine of no more than $100 and not subject to any criminal penalties?
Second Middlesex Senatorial District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
SECOND MIDDLESEX DISTRICT				
MEDFORD	12,194	6,752	6,325	25,271
SOMERVILLE	14,945	7,204	5,091	27,240
Winchester	5,568	2,722	3,628	11,918
WOBURN	696	507	853	2,056
TOTALS	**33,403**	**17,185**	**15,897**	**66,485**

QUESTION 9 OR 11
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to vote for legislation to create a single-payer plan for universal health care in Massachusetts that provides all residents with comprehensive health care coverage—including the freedom to choose doctors and other health care professionals, facilities, and services—and eliminates the role of private insurance companies in health care by creating a publicly administered trust fund (similar to Social Security) that would receive funds presently going to those companies?
This will be question 9 in the Hampshire and Franklin Senatorial District (except that it will be question 11 in Hatfield).

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
HAMPSHIRE & FRANKLIN DISTRICT				
Amherst	6,424	2,144	3,297	11,865
Ashfield	618	172	181	971
Bernardston	523	336	217	1,076
Buckland	547	258	150	955
Chesterfield	290	179	126	595

QUESTION 9

Shall the Town of Sudbury be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for its apportioned share of the bond issued by the Lincoln-Sudbury Regional School District in order to finance costs of constructing and equipping a new District High School, which may incorporate a portion of the existing District High School, including the financing of all other costs incidental and related thereto?

In the Town of Sudbury

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Middlesex County				
Sudbury	5,486	2,898	864	9,248
TOTALS	**5,486**	**2,898**	**864**	**9,248**

QUESTION 10

Shall the Town of Hatfield be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for and pursuant to the bond or bonds to be issued in order to finance construction of an addition to or renovation of Dorothy M. Breor Elementary School, or both, or to construct a new elementary school building, and for the expenses for design and any other services and costs related to any such renovation or construction, or both, including costs for purchasing related equipment and furnishings and costs related to issuance of the bond or bonds?

In the Town of Hatfield

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Hampshire County				
Hatfield	907	894	129	1,930
TOTALS	**907**	**894**	**129**	**1,930**

Colrain	418	230	159	807
Conway	585	263	206	1,054
Deerfield	1,383	820	549	2,752
Gill	405	233	150	788
Goshen	241	126	129	496
Greenfield	4,108	2,050	1,417	7,575
Hadley	1,316	743	651	2,710
Hatfield	983	691	256	1,930
Huntington	456	293	195	944
Leverett	717	185	203	1,105
Leyden	210	110	77	397
Montague	1,941	974	760	3,675
NORTHAMPTON	8,604	3,056	2,804	14,464
Pelham	471	194	166	831
Shelburne	636	265	181	1,082
Shutesbury	694	175	194	1,063
South Hadley	3,674	2,422	2,214	8,310
Sunderland	938	442	284	1,664
Wendell	306	81	75	462
Westhampton	381	289	182	852
Whately	433	219	210	862
Williamsburg	847	316	278	1,441
TOTALS	**38,149**	**17,266**	**15,311**	**70,726**

QUESTION 10
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would allow patients with certain diseases, who have a written doctor's recommendation, to possess and grow small amounts of marijuana for their personal use, until such time as the federal government puts into place an effective distribution system for these patients?
Fourth Barnstable Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
FOURTH BARNSTABLE DISTRICT				
Chatham	2,383	1,713	604	4,700
Dennis	788	579	256	1,623
Eastham	1,838	1,180	444	3,462
Harwich	3,576	2,629	1,016	7,221
Orleans	2,241	1,491	799	4,531
Provincetown	1,570	429	275	2,274
Truro	750	334	202	1,286
Wellfleet	1,169	449	296	1,914
TOTALS	**14,315**	**8,804**	**3,892**	**27,011**

QUESTION 9
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would make possession of less than one ounce of marijuana a civil violation, subject to a fine of no more than $100 and not subject to any criminal penalties?
Sixth Middlesex Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
SIXTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT				
Framingham	9,883	4,781	3,408	18,072
TOTALS	**9,883**	**4,781**	**3,408**	**18,072**

QUESTION 9 OR 10
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that equitably invests state funds in local public schools; reduces class size; excludes use of voucher programs that shift funds away from public educational; bars for-profit schools from public funding; suspends the MCAS tests as the criteria for promotion or graduation, and establishes a fair system of assessing educational progress for students and schools?

This will be question 9 in the Twelfth and Thirteenth Bristol, Fifth Hampden, Twenty-Eighth Middlesex and Tenth Suffolk Representative Districts. This will be question 10 in the Thirtieth Middlesex Representative District.

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
TWELFTH BRISTOL DISTRICT				
NEW BEDFORD	4,911	3,009	2,485	10,405
TOTALS	**4,911**	**3,009**	**2,485**	**10,405**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
THIRTEENTH BRISTOL DISTRICT				
NEW BEDFORD	5,494	3,157	2,790	11,441
TOTALS	**5,494**	**3,157**	**2,790**	**11,441**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
FIFTH HAMPDEN DISTRICT				
HOLYOKE	5,127	2,735	3,916	11,778
TOTALS	**5,127**	**2,735**	**3,916**	**11,778**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
TWENTY-EIGHTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT				
CAMBRIDGE	9,486	3,011	3,912	16,409
TOTALS	**9,486**	**3,011**	**3,912**	**16,409**

QUESTION 9
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation to provide state funds to upgrade and renovate Wahconah Park to meet minor league baseball franchise standards?
Third Berkshire Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
THIRD BERKSHIRE DISTRICT				
PITTSFIELD	5,902	4,907	2,995	13,804
TOTALS	**5,902**	**4,907**	**2,995**	**13,804**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
THIRTIETH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT				
SOMERVILLE	9,230	3,211	2,885	15,326
TOTALS	**9,230**	**3,211**	**2,885**	**15,326**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
TENTH SUFFOLK DISTRICT				
BOSTON	5,809	3,944	6,515	16,268
Brookline	842	352	484	1,678
TOTALS	**6,651**	**4,296**	**6,999**	**17,946**

QUESTION 9
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to introduce and vote for legislation making possession of marijuana a civil violation, like a traffic ticket instead of a criminal offense, and requiring the police to hold a person under 18 who is cited for possession until the person is released to a parent or legal guardian or brought before a judge?
Fourth Essex Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
FOURTH ESSEX DISTRICT				
Boxford	2,322	1,309	816	4,447
Georgetown	848	605	394	1,847
Hamilton	1,973	1,469	786	4,228
Ipswich	3,649	2,020	1,453	7,122
Manchester-by-the-Sea	1,668	945	553	3,166
Wenham	1,021	675	402	2,098
TOTALS	**11,481**	**7,023**	**4,404**	**22,908**

QUESTION 9
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would reduce the size of the Newton Board of Alderman from 24 members to 16 members?
Tenth, Eleventh, and Twelfth Middlesex Representative Districts

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
TENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT				
NEWTON	2,159	1,428	1,838	5,425
WALTHAM	2,369	1,825	4,902	9,096
TOTALS	**4,528**	**3,253**	**6,740**	**14,521**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
ELEVENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT				
Brookline	436	274	816	1,526
NEWTON	7,150	3,474	4,938	15,562
TOTALS	**7,586**	**3,748**	**5,754**	**17,088**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
TWELFTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT				
NEWTON	9,788	4,367	5,066	19,221
TOTALS	**9,788**	**4,367**	**5,066**	**19,221**

QUESTION 9
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote for legislation to create a single-payer plan for universal health care in Massachusetts that provides all residents with comprehensive health care coverage—including the freedom to choose doctors and other health care professionals, facilities, and services—and eliminates the role of private insurance companies in health care by creating a publicly administered trust fund (similar to Social Security) that would receive funds presently going to those companies?
Fourth Norfolk and Second Suffolk Representative Districts

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
FOURTH NORFOLK DISTRICT				
Weymouth	7,369	5,379	4,667	17,415
TOTALS	**7,369**	**5,379**	**4,667**	**17,415**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
SECOND SUFFOLK DISTRICT				
BOSTON	2,042	1,992	2,648	6,682
CHELSEA	2,394	1,253	1,822	5,469
TOTALS	**4,436**	**3,245**	**4,470**	**12,151**

QUESTION 9 OR 10
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative of this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon the United States Congress and the President to implement the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty of 1970 by engaging other nuclear nations in a Nuclear Weapons Convention, an international treaty requiring a specific timetable for the phased elimination of nuclear weapons, including verification and enforcement?
This will be question 9 in the Twenty-Fifth Middlesex Representative District (except that it will be question 10 in Medford).

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
TWENTY-FIFTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT				
Arlington	9,054	2,663	5,093	16,810
MEDFORD	2,059	781	1,045	3,855
TOTALS	**11,113**	**3,444**	**6,138**	**20,695**

QUESTION 9 OR 10
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would end all medical and psychological experiments on nonhuman primates in Massachusetts?
This will be question 9 in the Twenty-Ninth Middlesex Representative District (except that it will be question 10 in Somerville Ward 1, Precincts 2 and 3, Ward 2, Precinct 2, and Ward 4, Precinct 2).

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
TWENTY-NINTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT				
CAMBRIDGE	1,769	2,566	1,885	6,220
SOMERVILLE	2,216	2,917	1,634	6,767
TOTALS	**3,985**	**5,483**	**3,519**	**12,987**

QUESTION 9
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would completely ban smoking in all restaurants and workplaces?
Seventeenth Worcester Representative District

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
SEVENTEENTH WORCESTER DISTRICT				
Leicester	2,136	1,905	614	4,655
WORCESTER	3,421	2,182	1,593	7,196
TOTALS	**5,557**	**4,087**	**2,207**	**11,851**

QUESTION 9

Shall the proposed Berkshire County Charter, summarized below, creating Berkshire Regional Council of Governments as a form of county government be adopted?

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED CHARTER FOR THE BERKSHIRE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

The proposed charter would create a Berkshire Council of Governments for the purposes of mutual cooperation and benefit among the member cities and towns of the Council. Voting membership on the Council would be limited to cities and towns in Berkshire County whose residents vote, by majority of those voting at an election, to join the Council. The proposed charter would not be interpreted to infringe upon the powers or duties of cities and towns, including their power to legislate for the general health, safety, and welfare of their residents.

The Council would initially have no regulatory power. The Council would have the following powers and duties: all powers and duties that state law confers on regional councils of governments, including the power to decide to provide regional services and regulation; the power to establish the Council's internal organization and procedures, including compensation and personnel procedures for Council officers and employees; the power to construct, acquire, operate, and maintain public improvements, capital projects, real and personal property, and enterprises, for public purposes; the power to enter contracts; sue and be sued; and sell, lease, or dispose of property; the power to set and collect membership fees and assessments; incur debt in anticipation of revenue; accept grants; and spend funds for Council purposes; and the powers of the former county government regarding public employee health insurance.

The Council could exercise its powers and duties in cooperation with other government entities, if consistent with state law, and could agree with any government or other entity to provide, jointly or for the other, any service or activity that the other entity may legally perform.

A city or town joining the Council would have to remain a member for at least 3 full fiscal years. Withdrawal would require a majority vote at an election in the city or town, taken at least 1 year before the fiscal year for which withdrawal would take effect. A withdrawing city or town would remain liable for its share of the Council's financial obligations and would have no right to payment for any share of the Council's assets.

The legislative body of the Council would be a Board of Councilors. Each member city or town's executive authority would choose a Councilor, who would have 1 vote plus a weighted vote based on the city or town's population. The Councilors would control Council property, litigation, personnel policies, internal procedures, and collective bargaining agreements. Records of the Councilors' proceedings would be made public.

The executive body of the Council would be a 7-member Steering Committee, with 6 of the members elected to 1-year terms, by and from among the Councilors, with each Councilor casting an equal, non-weighted vote. 2 members would be elected from each of 3 Berkshire geographic regions, with roughly equal populations, as set by the Council. If Pittsfield joins the Council, its Councilor would be the 7th member of the Steering Committee; otherwise, the 6 other members would elect a 7th, at-large member for a 1-year term. No city or town could have more than 1 member on the Steering Committee, and members would have single, non-weighted votes. Councilors and Steering Committee members would not be paid. The Councilors would annually elect, from among the Steering Committee, a Council Chair and Vice-Chair.

The Steering Committee would oversee the carrying out of policies approved by the Council; would appoint and annually set the salary and review the performance of a full-time administrator; and would have confirmation power over the administrator's appointments to certain Council offices. The administrator would be directly responsible to the Councilors for the administration of all Council affairs assigned to him or her.

Every year the Council would adopt, by April 1, a budget for the fiscal year starting July 1. The budget would be based on a proposal made by the administrator, sent to officials of member cities and towns, discussed at a public hearing, and reviewed by the Steering Committee before adoption by the Council.

Each member city or town would pay the Council an annual $1000 membership fee, and the Council could impose a maximum annual assessment of $1 per resident of each member city or town. The Council could increase or reduce this assessment based on the Council's budget, subject to certain limits set forth in the law known as Proposition 2 1/2, except that the Council could adjust the amount raised by the assessment to account for changes in Council membership or in the population of member cities and towns. The Council could also raise revenue by charging user fees or fees for services, and by other methods if allowed by law or approved by the voters of member cities and towns.

Any proposed amendment to the charter would first require the approval of 2/3 of the weighted votes of the Councilors, or could be offered by a petition signed by enough registered voters to equal 5% of the registered voters in member cities and towns. In either case, the proposed amendment would then be submitted to the voters of member cities and towns for approval, by majority vote, at a regular state election.

The proposed charter would take effect on January 1, 2001, if approved in at least 22 Berkshire County cities or towns by a majority of those voting in that city or town, or if the population of the approving cities and towns equals 2/3 of the population of Berkshire County.

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Berkshire County				
Adams	1,085	2,574	274	3,933
Alford	109	119	37	265
Becket	267	294	223	784
Cheshire	537	900	245	1,682
Clarksburg	190	537	100	827
Dalton	1,301	1,710	239	3,250
Egremont	403	298	75	776
Florida	103	188	55	346
Great Barrington	1,186	1,872	401	3,459
Hancock	116	190	52	358
Hinsdale	322	429	124	875
Lanesborough	422	930	73	1,425
Lee	801	1,223	698	2,722
Lenox	1,106	1,031	736	2,873
Monterey	243	192	62	497
Mt. Washington	34	44	5	83
New Ashford	50	84	15	149
New Marlborough	294	271	110	675
NORTH ADAMS	1,467	3,737	456	5,660
Otis	215	262	162	639
Peru	109	199	59	367
PITTSFIELD	5,941	8,647	4,240	18,828
Richmond	403	437	126	966
Sandisfield	128	158	72	358
Savoy	79	186	30	295
Sheffield	531	791	288	1,610
Stockbridge	683	490	111	1,284
Tyringham	68	112	38	218
Washington	101	170	35	306
West Stockbridge	352	351	112	815
Williamstown	1,508	1,751	373	3,632
Windsor	153	228	76	457
TOTALS	**20,307**	**30,405**	**9,702**	**60,414**

SPECIAL STATE PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS
STATE SENATE (TO FILL VACANCY)
Special State Primary March 16, 1999

Middlesex and Worcester District	Democratic Primary									Republican Primary			
	Walter E. Bickford of Berlin	Paul J. Glavey of Littleton	Leonard H. Golder of Stow	Arthur Lambert of Marlborough	Kevin P. McAllister of Marlborough	Pam Resor of Acton	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast	Richard G. Yurkus of Marlborough	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Acton	173	138	5	154	33	1,474	1	26	2,004	113	19	2	134
Ayer	151	182	-	81	3	19	-	16	452	45	6	5	56
Berlin	321	10	-	67	16	15	-	4	433	39	-	-	39
Boxborough	54	25	3	19	2	260	-	1	364	32	1	1	34
Harvard	348	70	4	33	1	96	-	3	555	43	2	3	48
Hudson	322	13	5	345	210	173	2	14	1,084	75	11	10	96
Littleton	128	664	2	50	7	264	1	6	1,122	61	4	7	72
MARLBOROUGH	242	27	16	1,776	751	279	11	36	3,138	193	36	11	240
Maynard	161	63	8	216	44	375	-	18	885	55	1	4	60
Northborough	215	11	5	144	58	146	1	-	580	64	5	2	71
Shirley	122	182	6	50	9	64	-	2	435	63	-	8	71
Southborough	109	3	1	178	31	96	-	5	423	35	7	-	42
Stow	109	25	30	63	11	255	-	2	495	41	2	3	46
Westborough Pcts. 1, 2, 4	71	20	3	133	15	121	2	2	367	55	4	-	59
TOTALS	**2,526**	**1,433**	**88**	**3,309**	**1,191**	**3,637**	**18**	**135**	**12,337**	**914**	**98**	**56**	**1,068**

Special State Election April 13, 1999

Middlesex and Worcester District	Pam Resor of Acton Democratic	Richard G. Yurkus of Marlborough Republican	Mark A. Oram of Marlborough Unenrolled	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Acton	2,121	768	33	2	7	2,931
Ayer	150	222	13	-	9	394
Berlin	103	139	25	-	-	267
Boxborough	441	158	4	1	1	605
Harvard	390	262	9	-	3	664
Hudson	837	791	165	3	15	1,811
Littleton	455	338	10	2	2	807
MARLBOROUGH	1,540	1,747	628	26	22	3,963
Maynard	697	442	25	2	6	1,172
Northborough	489	434	56	-	-	979
Shirley	222	195	6	-	1	424
Southborough	374	305	16	-	2	697
Stow	399	292	26	-	3	720
Westborough Pcts. 1, 2, 4	310	346	23	1	4	684
TOTALS	**8,528**	**6,439**	**1,039**	**37**	**75**	**16,118**

SPECIAL STATE PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS
STATE SENATE (TO FILL VACANCY)
Special State Primary November 23, 1999

First Bristol District	Democratic Primary							Republican Primary			
	John Alberto of Fall River	Joan M. Menard of Somerset	Patrick C. Norton of Fall River	Linda Pereira of Fall River	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast	No Nomination	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
FALL RIVER	2,633	3,606	3,778	881	12	179	11,089	-	143	57	200
Freetown	31	219	148	6	-	1	405	-	20	-	20
Somerset	261	3,145	806	95	39	63	4,409	-	173	32	205
Swansea	130	1,091	539	34	1	28	1,823	-	63	17	80
Westport	154	1,066	689	71	-	43	2,023	-	51	41	92
TOTALS	**3,209**	**9,127**	**5,960**	**1,087**	**52**	**314**	**19,749**	**-**	**450**	**147**	**597**

Special State Election December 21, 1999

First Bristol District	Joan M. Menard of Somerset Democratic	Scott S. Smith of Somerset Unenrolled	Russell G. Winslow of Fall River Independent	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
FALL RIVER	3,235	266	362	32	10	3,905
Freetown	162	24	27	1	1	215
Somerset	2,144	223	67	5	12	2,451
Swansea	677	75	41	4	3	800
Westport	665	39	55	5	8	772
TOTALS	**6,883**	**627**	**552**	**47**	**34**	**8,143**

SPECIAL STATE PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS
STATE REPRESENTATIVE (TO FILL VACANCY)
Special State Primary April 27, 1999

First Hampden District	Democratic Primary							Republican Primary			
	Michael J. Coughlin of Sturbridge	Michael C. Little of Ware	James R. Nolen of Ware	Edward J. Noonan of Palmer	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast	Reed V. Hillman of Sturbridge	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Belchertown											
Pct. B	18	24	54	99	-	2	197	25	1	-	26
Brimfield	72	25	20	111	1	4	233	126	-	1	127
East Brookfield	62	7	11	7	-	2	89	32	-	3	35
Holland	52	3	13	34	2	-	104	61	1	-	62
Palmer	283	175	147	1,254	-	16	1,875	182	-	18	200
Sturbridge											
Pct. 2	272	9	13	100	-	-	394	139	-	-	139
Ware	168	392	757	164	-	7	1488	91	-	23	114
TOTALS	**927**	**635**	**1,015**	**1,769**	**3**	**31**	**4,380**	**656**	**2**	**45**	**703**

Special State Election May 25, 1999

First Hampden District	Reed V. Hillman of Sturbridge Republican	Edward J. Noonan of Palmer Democratic	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Belchertown					
Pct. B	323	238	-	1	562
Brimfield	576	256	1	3	836
East Brookfield	293	56	1	2	352
Holland	278	112	1	-	391
Palmer					
Pct. A	220	467	-	4	691
B	248	539	-	1	788
C	185	498	-	2	685
D	211	413	-	-	624
Sturbridge					
Pct. 2	816	222	-	-	1,038
Ware					
Pct. A	321	297	-	-	618
B	333	287	-	3	623
C	345	273	-	3	621
TOTALS	**4,149**	**3,658**	**3**	**19**	**7,829**

SPECIAL STATE PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS
STATE REPRESENTATIVE (TO FILL VACANCY)
Special State Primary April 27, 1999

Fifth Middlesex District	Democratic Primary					Republican Primary				
	David Paul Linsky of Natick	John F. Moran of Natick	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast	Kenneth B. Hoyt of Natick	John W. Lambert of Natick	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Millis										
Pct. 2	157	86	-	2	245	60	22	-	1	83
Natick	2,775	1,931	11	11	4,728	445	173	30	3	651
Sherborn	249	88	2	4	343	100	37	1	3	141
TOTALS	**3,181**	**2,105**	**13**	**17**	**5,316**	**605**	**232**	**31**	**7**	**875**

Special State Election May 25, 1999

Fifth Middlesex District	Kenneth B. Hoyt of Natick Republican	David Paul Linsky of Natick Democratic	Jerry L. Pierce of Natick Independent/Unenrolled	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Millis						
Pct. 2	153	203	3	-	-	359
Natick						
Pct. 1	58	103	-	1	-	162
2	136	416	5	1	1	559
3	167	362	4	-	-	533
4	147	302	5	5	-	459
5	128	443	3	2	-	576
6	143	406	3	1	-	553
7	158	341	2	4	1	506
8	183	320	5	1	4	513
9	162	321	2	3	1	489
10	162	349	9	-	-	520
Sherborn	240	280	6	-	1	527
TOTALS	**1,837**	**3,846**	**47**	**18**	**8**	**5,756**

SPECIAL STATE PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS
STATE REPRESENTATIVE (TO FILL VACANCY)
Special State Primary April 27, 1999

Sixth Worcester District	Democratic Primary							Republican Primary				
	Mark J. Carron of Southbridge	Richard T. Kwiatkowski, Jr. of Charlton	Scott S. Lazo of Southbridge	Dawn L. Piche of Southbridge	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast	Jerzy J. Jachimczyk of Southbridge	Steven R. Paul of Charlton	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Charlton	296	558	200	21	5	12	1,092	218	118	8	8	352
Dudley												
Pcts. 3, 4	105	92	59	6	-	7	269	40	12	-	-	52
Oxford												
Pct. 2	36	57	17	6	-	-	116	25	11	-	-	36
Southbridge	1,088	354	1,047	87	-	2	2,578	202	71	-	1	274
Sturbridge												
Pct. 1	187	69	85	13	-	-	354	93	27	-	-	120
TOTALS	**1,712**	**1,130**	**1,408**	**133**	**5**	**21**	**4,409**	**578**	**239**	**8**	**9**	**834**

Special State Election May 25, 1999

Sixth Worcester District	Mark J. Carron of Southbridge Democratic	Jerzy J. Jachimczyk of Southbridge Republican	Tammra A. Russell of Charlton Independent	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Charlton						
Pct. 1	134	221	234	2	2	593
2	163	255	271	-	1	690
3	150	253	313	1	4	721
Dudley						
Pct. 3	75	139	55	2	-	271
4	58	88	62	-	1	209
Oxford						
Pct. 2	97	93	88	-	-	278
Southbridge						
Pct. 1	329	255	114	-	-	698
2	337	173	103	-	-	613
3	373	286	108	-	2	769
4	482	426	215	1	2	1,126
5	282	91	48	-	1	422
Sturbridge						
Pct. 1	302	268	165	-	-	735
TOTALS	**2,782**	**2,548**	**1,776**	**6**	**13**	**7,125**

SPECIAL STATE PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS
STATE REPRESENTATIVE (TO FILL VACANCY)
Special State Primary May 4, 1999

Fourteenth Bristol District	Democratic Primary					Republican Primary				
	P. Christopher DiOrio of North Attleborough	James D. McKenna of North Attleborough	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast	Stephen P. Dalrymple of North Attleborough	Elizabeth A. Poirier of North Attleborough	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Mansfield										
Pcts. 1, 5A, 5B	15	60	1	-	76	27	55	-	-	82
North Attleborough	301	364	13	9	687	441	1,108	4	4	1,557
Plainville	30	105		12	147	47	208	-	6	261
TOTALS	**346**	**529**	**14**	**21**	**910**	**515**	**1,371**	**4**	**10**	**1,900**

Special State Election June 1, 1999

Fourteenth Bristol District	James D. McKenna of North Attleborough Democratic	Elizabeth A. Poirier of North Attleborough Republican	Brian G. Geoghegan of North Attleborough Independent	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast
Mansfield						
Pct. 1	66	125	6	-	-	197
5A	63	42	5	-	-	110
5B	11	8	-	-	-	19
North Attleborough						
Pct. 1	108	224	19	-	-	351
2	266	392	36	-	-	694
3	159	353	31	3	-	546
4	121	203	31	-	1	356
5	160	271	33	-	2	466
6	207	336	35	-	1	579
7	228	294	53	-	5	580
Plainville						
Pct. 1	143	184	9	-	-	336
2	153	280	35	-	1	469
TOTALS	**1,685**	**2,712**	**293**	**3**	**10**	**4,703**