



**QUESTION 4**

Shall the Town of Gosnold accept sections 3 to 7 inclusive, of Chapter 44B of the General Laws, as approved by its legislative body, a summary of which appears below?

**SUMMARY**

Sections 3 to 7 of Chapter 44B of the General Laws of Massachusetts, also known as the Massachusetts Community Preservation Act, establishes a dedicated funding source to acquire and preserve open space, parks and conservation land, protect public drinking water supplies, and scenic areas, protect farm land and forests from future development, restore and preserve historic properties, and help meet local families' housing needs. In Gosnold, the Massachusetts Community Preservation Act will be funded by an additional excise of 1.5% on the annual tax levy on real property to be assessed beginning in fiscal year 2012, and by matching funds provided by the state. The Town Meeting may also vote to accept one or more of the following exemptions from the surcharge, permitted under §3(e) of said Act: (i) property owned and occupied as a domicile by any person who qualifies for low income housing or low or moderate income senior housing in the Town, as defined in §2 of said Act; (ii) class three, commercial, and class four, industrial, properties as defined in G.L. c.59, §2A; and (iii) \$100,000 of the value of each taxable parcel of residential real property. Any other taxpayer receiving an exemption of real property authorized by Chapter 59 of the General Laws shall be exempt from said Act. A Community Preservation Committee will annually make recommendations on the use of the funds to the Town Meeting.

**In the Town of Gosnold**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Dukes County</b>				
Gosnold .....	43	23	2	68
<b>TOTALS</b> .....	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>68</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall the city of Gardner vote to accept the provisions of section 6C of chapter 40 of the General Laws, which authorize the cities and towns to appropriate money for the removal of snow and ice from private ways therein open to public use?

**In the City of Gardner**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Worcester County</b>				
GARDNER .....	4,473	1,503	246	6,222
<b>TOTALS</b> .....	<b>4,473</b>	<b>1,503</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>6,222</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall licenses be granted in this town for the sale of all alcoholic beverages (whiskey, rum, gin, malt beverages, wines and all other alcoholic beverages) to be drunk on the premises of restaurants?

**SUMMARY**

The Legislature has enacted and the Governor has signed Chapter 330 of the Acts and Resolves of 2010 which would permit the licensing of restaurants in the Town of Pepperell to serve all alcoholic beverages, including distilled spirits, for consumption on the premises. Such licenses would be issued by the Board of Selectmen as licensing authority subject to the approval of the Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission. Currently, licenses for consumption on the premises of alcoholic beverages are limited to wine and malt beverages. A yes vote on this question would allow the issuance to restaurants of licenses for service of all alcoholic beverages for consumption on the premises. A no vote would maintain the current limitations on issuance of licenses to wine and malt beverages only.

**In the Town of Pepperell**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Middlesex County</b>				
Pepperell .....	3,844	940	76	4,860
<b>TOTALS</b> .....	<b>3,844</b>	<b>940</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>4,860</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall sections 1 to 7, inclusive, sections 10 to 13, inclusive, and sections 15 to 25, inclusive, of an act passed by the General Court in the year 2010 entitled 'An Act Relative to the Charter of the City known as the Town of Franklin,' be accepted?

**SUMMARY**

The proposed changes to the Franklin Charter contained in Sections 1-7, 10-13, and 15-25 of the Home Rule legislation include updates to various provisions to comply with existing law or to reflect current municipal practice; they also include several technical corrections. The major changes are summarized as follows: redefining duties of Town Council Clerk to comply with Open Meeting and Public Records laws, providing the Town Council with the ability to reorganize itself and flexibility in scheduling meetings, clarifying the Town Administrator's authority and duties including his appointing authority, updating the listing of standing committees and appointed positions to eliminate obsolete ones, provision for both a temporary and acting town administrator if the town administrator is absent or the position is vacant, reduction of the finance committee from eleven to nine members and updating of the process for their appointment, updating of annual budget and financial planning processes, elimination of obsolete section governing personnel suspension and removals, and elimination of obsolete transitional provisions in connection with charter's original adoption and prior amendment.

**In the Town of Franklin**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Norfolk County</b>				
Franklin.....	8,443	2,596	1,790	12,829
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>8,443</b>	<b>2,596</b>	<b>1,790</b>	<b>12,829</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall the board of selectmen be authorized to grant up to 3 licenses for the sale of all alcoholic beverages not to be drunk on the premises in replacement of up to 3 existing licenses for the sale of wines and malt beverages not to be drunk on the premises?

**In the Town of Arlington**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Middlesex County</b>				
Arlington.....	12,169	6,478	1,784	20,431
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>12,169</b>	<b>6,478</b>	<b>1,784</b>	<b>20,431</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall this town approve the revised charter recommended by the charter commission summarized below?

**SUMMARY**

The new Charter retains the current Council/Manager form of government. Below are the significant changes in the proposed charter.

- Town Council changes from 9 members to 7 (3 At Large 4 District).
- Terms of office for all elected officials change from 4 years to 3.
- Town election changes to the second Tuesday in June, those elected will take office July 1st.
- Requirements for Town Manager and Major Department Heads change.
- Town Clerk to be appointed.
- A new budget process is implemented.

**In the Town of Palmer**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Hampden County</b>				
Palmer.....	2,518	1,328	462	4,308
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>2,518</b>	<b>1,328</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>4,308</b>

**QUESTION 5**

Shall the Town Treasurer-Collector be appointed, as provided in Sections 8, 9, 14 and 26 of that act, rather than elected?

**SUMMARY**

The proposed changes contained in Sections 8, 9, 14 and 26 of the Home Rule legislation eliminate Treasurer-Collector as an elected position and make it an appointed one; the appointment will be made by the Town Administrator and ratified by the Town Council as is presently the case for all other town officers except the Town Clerk. A transitional provision allows the incumbent Treasurer-Collector to continue in office until the end of his elected term.

**In the Town of Franklin**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Norfolk County</b>				
Franklin.....	4,339	7,421	1,069	12,829
<b>TOTALS</b> .....	<b>4,339</b>	<b>7,421</b>	<b>1,069</b>	<b>12,829</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall a charter study commission be created to study the present governmental structure of Plymouth County to consider and make findings concerning the form of government and make recommendations thereon?  
In Plymouth County

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Plymouth County</b>				
Abington.....	2,842	2,768	725	6,335
Bridgewater.....	4,405	4,467	296	9,168
<b>BROCKTON</b> .....	<b>9,662</b>	<b>9,055</b>	<b>3,796</b>	<b>22,513</b>
Carver.....	1,899	2,186	511	4,596
Duxbury.....	3,447	3,347	874	7,668
East Bridgewater.....	2,258	2,410	724	5,392
Halifax.....	1,354	1,457	354	3,165
Hanover.....	2,778	3,022	810	6,610
Hanson.....	1,963	2,086	340	4,389
Hingham.....	5,133	4,725	1,462	11,320
Hull.....	2,250	1,861	589	4,700
Kingston.....	2,481	2,455	538	5,474
Lakeville.....	1,940	2,149	551	4,640
Marion.....	1,029	1,188	288	2,505
Marshfield.....	5,306	5,288	1,132	11,726
Mattapoisett.....	1,232	1,631	407	3,270
Middleborough.....	3,713	3,837	1,460	9,010
Norwell.....	2,342	2,264	629	5,235
Pembroke.....	3,227	3,586	705	7,518
Plymouth.....	11,716	8,844	1,832	22,392
Plympton.....	665	619	129	1,413
Rochester.....	873	1,218	370	2,461
Rockland.....	2,996	3,041	655	6,692
Scituate.....	4,016	3,852	1,304	9,172
Wareham.....	3,669	3,355	1,050	8,074
West Bridgewater.....	1,281	1,316	460	3,057
Whitman.....	2,417	2,557	583	5,557
<b>TOTALS</b> .....	<b>86,894</b>	<b>84,584</b>	<b>22,574</b>	<b>194,052</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall the revisions to the Barnstable County Charter proposed by the Assembly of Delegates be adopted?

**SUMMARY**

The revisions to the Barnstable County Charter proposed by the Assembly of Delegates would streamline the provisions of the Charter by deleting language that is contained in other administrative and regulatory codes and would modify certain procedural provisions relating to the Assembly of Delegates that would promote efficiency. The proposed revisions would also modify administrative provisions of county government that would promote the intent and purposes of the Charter. Lastly, the proposed revisions would delete transitional provisions of the Charter that are no longer needed.

**In Barnstable County**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Barnstable County</b>				
Barnstable.....	12,695	4,316	3,228	20,239
Bourne.....	5,209	1,361	1,568	8,138
Brewster.....	3,468	839	1,049	5,356
Chatham.....	2,734	499	664	3,897
Dennis.....	5,088	1,249	1,375	7,712
Eastham.....	2,186	463	477	3,126
Falmouth.....	10,118	2,313	3,523	15,954
Harwich.....	4,530	1,043	981	6,554
Mashpee.....	4,246	1,078	1,152	6,476
Orleans.....	2,629	579	622	3,830
Provincetown.....	1,164	309	340	1,813
Sandwich.....	6,294	1,722	2,309	10,325
Truro.....	794	211	224	1,229
Wellfleet.....	1,126	348	346	1,820
Yarmouth.....	7,266	1,996	1,878	11,140
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>69,547</b>	<b>18,339</b>	<b>19,723</b>	<b>107,609</b>

Shall the Town of Granby be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so-called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in order to construct a new school facility at 385 East State Street, Granby, MA?

**In the Town of Granby**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Hampshire County</b>				
Granby.....	1,472	1,532	50	3,054
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>1,472</b>	<b>1,532</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>3,054</b>

**QUESTION 5**

Shall the Town of Barnstable be allowed to assess an additional \$750,000 in real estate and personal property taxes for the purposes of funding the sewer system stabilization fund for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011?

**In the Town of Barnstable**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Barnstable County</b>				
Barnstable.....	5,249	13,878	1,112	20,239
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>5,249</b>	<b>13,878</b>	<b>1,112</b>	<b>20,239</b>

### QUESTION 6

Shall the Town of Barnstable be allowed to assess an additional \$3,000,000 in real estate and personal property taxes for the purposes of creating a stabilization fund for funding the taking, layout and improvement of certain private ways as town ways for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011?

**In the Town of Barnstable**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Barnstable County</b>				
Barnstable.....	3,473	15,639	1,127	20,239
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>3,473</b>	<b>15,639</b>	<b>1,127</b>	<b>20,239</b>

### QUESTION 5

Shall the Town of Sandwich be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so-called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued for the purpose of constructing improvements and remodeling, construction, reconstruction and making extraordinary repairs to Town buildings?

**In the Town of Sandwich**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Barnstable County</b>				
Sandwich.....	3,767	5,389	1,169	10,325
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>3,767</b>	<b>5,389</b>	<b>1,169</b>	<b>10,325</b>

### QUESTION 4

Shall the Town of Hudson be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so-called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in order to fund the demolition of the existing John F. Kennedy middle School structure; and the design, construction, equipping and furnishing of a new Middle School at the existing site at 201 Manning Street?

**In the Town of Hudson**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Middlesex County</b>				
Hudson.....	4,314	2,830	515	7,659
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>4,314</b>	<b>2,830</b>	<b>515</b>	<b>7,659</b>

### QUESTION 4

Shall the Town of Dighton be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so-called, the amounts required to pay for the bonds to be issued in order to finance the design, construction, original equipping and furnishing of a new public library facility, including all costs incidental and related thereto?

**In the Town of Dighton**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Bristol County</b>				
Dighton.....	1,011	1,629	130	2,770
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>1,011</b>	<b>1,629</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>2,770</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall the Town of Hubbardston be allowed to assess an additional \$90,649.37 in real estate and personal property taxes for the purpose of funding cleanup of the December, 2008 Ice Storm, for the fiscal year beginning July first, two thousand and ten?

**In the Town of Hubbardston**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Worcester County</b>				
Hubbardston.....	604	1,406	47	2,057
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>604</b>	<b>1,406</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>2,057</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall the Town of Whatley be allowed to assess an additional \$60,000 in real estate and personal property taxes for the purpose of funding the municipal capital stabilization fund for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011?

**In the Town of Whatley**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Franklin County</b>				
Whatley.....	249	510	21	780
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>780</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall the Town of West Newbury be required to reduce the amount of real estate and personal property taxes to be assessed for the fiscal year beginning July first, two thousand and ten by an amount equal to \$170,000.00?

**In the Town of West Newbury**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Essex County</b>				
West Newbury.....	1,681	445	124	2,250
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>1,681</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>2,250</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall the City of Northampton be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so-called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in order to partially finance the construction of a new Police Facility up to \$10,000,000 (ten million dollars)?

**In the City of Northampton**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Hampshire County</b>				
NORTHAMPTON.....	6,647	4,528	892	12,067
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>6,647</b>	<b>4,528</b>	<b>892</b>	<b>12,067</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall the Town of Hanson be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so-called, the amounts required to pay the Town's allocable share of the bond issued by the Whitman-Hanson Regional School District for the purpose of paying costs of a feasibility study to understand the best solution to the physical and educational deficiencies of the Maquan Elementary School, located at 38 School Street, Hanson, Massachusetts, which options shall include, but not be limited to renovating, reconstructing, expanding, remodeling and adding to the Maquan Elementary School, or any combination of the foregoing, including the payment of all other costs incidental and related thereto?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Plymouth County</b>				
Hanson .....	2,162	1,903	324	4,389
<b>TOTALS</b> .....	<b>2,162</b>	<b>1,903</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>4,389</b>

**QUESTION 4**

Shall the Town of Hardwick be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so-called, the amounts required to pay for bonds issued in order to pay for the Towns' apportioned share of the Quabbin Regional School District Educational Support Center Construction Project?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Worcester County</b>				
Hardwick .....	451	484	79	1,014
<b>TOTALS</b> .....	<b>451</b>	<b>484</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>1,014</b>

**QUESTION 5**

Shall the Town of Hardwick be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so-called, the amounts required to pay for bonds issued in order to pay for upgrades to the Hardwick Center Water System Reconstruction Project?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Worcester County</b>				
Hardwick .....	454	488	72	1,014
<b>TOTALS</b> .....	<b>454</b>	<b>488</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>1,014</b>



### QUESTION 4

Shall the Town of Northbridge be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so-called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in order to design and construct a Department of Public Works facility?

#### In the Town of Northbridge

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Worcester County</b>				
Northbridge.....	2,053	2,886	611	5,550
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>2,053</b>	<b>2,886</b>	<b>611</b>	<b>5,550</b>

### QUESTION 4

Shall the Town of Boxford be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half, so-called, the amounts required to pay for the bond issued in order to pay costs of architectural, engineering, permitting, bidding, renovation, construction, equipping and all other related expenses to renovate, expand and construct a new Boxford Village Library located at 10 Elm Street, said design and construction generally in accordance with the approved 2004 library construction grant application which will provide up to \$2,784,000 in state reimbursement?

#### In the Town of Boxford

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Essex County</b>				
Boxford.....	2,142	2,034	77	4,253
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>2,142</b>	<b>2,034</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>4,253</b>

# QUESTION 4 OR 5 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to support legislation that would establish health care as a human right regardless of age, state of health or employment status, by creating a single payer health insurance system like Medicare that is comprehensive, cost effective, and publicly provided to all residents of Massachusetts?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Second Berkshire District</b>				
Ashfield.....	652	163	65	880
Becket.....	400	168	42	610
Barnardston.....	504	265	109	878
Buckland.....	506	199	98	803
Cheshire.....	655	389	88	1,132
Colrain.....	418	179	49	646
Cummington.....	289	97	34	420
Dalton.....	1,434	699	210	2,343
Hancock.....	161	95	29	285
Hinsdale.....	431	209	74	714
Lanesborough.....	646	302	104	1,052
Leyden.....	243	80	30	353
Middlefield.....	143	81	12	236
New Ashford.....	68	33	11	112
Northfield.....	745	377	125	1,247
Peru.....	177	84	36	297
PITTSFIELD.....	627	279	106	1,012
Plainfield.....	223	67	13	303
Richmond.....	506	167	74	747
Shelburne.....	586	187	83	856
Washington.....	173	74	22	269
Windsor.....	236	108	34	378
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>9,823</b>	<b>4,302</b>	<b>1,448</b>	<b>15,573</b>

<b>Fourth Berkshire District</b>				
Alford.....	0	0	226	226
Blandford.....	244	236	44	524
Chester.....	275	174	50	499
Egremont.....	448	124	35	607
Great Barrington.....	1,797	469	278	2,544
Lee.....	1,285	508	190	1,983
Lenox.....	1,461	526	280	2,267
Monterey.....	306	70	24	400

## Question 4 or 5 (cont.)

<b>Fourthenth Middlesex District</b>				
Action.....	2,408	1,687	455	4,550
Carlisle.....	1,413	1,081	202	2,696
Chelmsford.....	1,551	1,443	439	3,433
Concord.....	4,853	2,956	956	8,765
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>10,225</b>	<b>7,167</b>	<b>2,052</b>	<b>19,444</b>

<b>Twenty-Ninth Middlesex District</b>				
CAMBRIDGE.....	2,991	862	624	4,477
Watertown.....	6,218	3,032	1,569	10,819
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>9,209</b>	<b>3,894</b>	<b>2,193</b>	<b>15,296</b>

<b>Fifth Norfolk District</b>				
Braintree.....	6,639	6,186	2,517	15,342
Holbrook.....	495	370	152	1,017
Randolph.....	695	366	176	1,237
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>7,829</b>	<b>6,922</b>	<b>2,845</b>	<b>17,596</b>

<b>Eleventh Norfolk District</b>				
Dedham.....	4,912	3,876	1,628	10,416
Walpole.....	571	678	135	1,384
Westwood.....	3,013	3,071	1,244	7,328
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>8,496</b>	<b>7,625</b>	<b>3,007</b>	<b>19,128</b>

<b>Twelfth Norfolk District</b>				
Norwood.....	5,388	4,432	1,549	11,369
Walpole.....	2,428	2,573	653	5,654
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>7,816</b>	<b>7,005</b>	<b>2,202</b>	<b>17,023</b>

<b>Eleventh Suffolk District</b>				
BOSTON.....	6,363	2,890	2,357	11,610
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>6,363</b>	<b>2,890</b>	<b>2,357</b>	<b>11,610</b>

<b>Fifteenth Suffolk District</b>				
BOSTON.....	7,774	2,426	2,238	12,438
Brookline.....	795	260	184	1,239
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>8,569</b>	<b>2,686</b>	<b>2,422</b>	<b>13,677</b>

<b>Thirteenth Worcester District</b>				
Paxton.....	864	951	225	2,040
WORCESTER.....	6,301	4,295	1,927	12,523
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>7,165</b>	<b>5,246</b>	<b>2,152</b>	<b>14,563</b>

# QUESTION 4 OR 5 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a non-binding resolution calling on the federal government to support the right of all people, including non-Jewish Palestinian citizens of Israel, to live free from laws that give more rights to people of one religion than another?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
--	-----	----	--------	---------------

<b>Twenty-Third Middlesex District</b>				
Arlington.....	6,904	5,646	2,712	15,262
MEDFORD.....	1,850	1,714	1,152	4,716
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>8,754</b>	<b>7,360</b>	<b>3,864</b>	<b>19,978</b>

<b>Twenty-Ninth Middlesex District</b>				
CAMBRIDGE.....	2,357	1,186	934	4,477
Watertown.....	5,178	3,563	2,078	10,819
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>7,535</b>	<b>4,749</b>	<b>3,012</b>	<b>15,296</b>

<b>Thirty-Fourth Middlesex District</b>				
MEDFORD.....	2,370	2,233	1,423	6,026
SOMERVILLE.....	2,341	1,849	1,093	5,283
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>4,711</b>	<b>4,082</b>	<b>2,516</b>	<b>11,309</b>

<b>Second Suffolk District</b>				
BOSTON.....	2,056	1,897	2,155	6,108
CHELSEA.....	1,035	1,461	1,046	3,542
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>3,091</b>	<b>3,358</b>	<b>3,201</b>	<b>9,650</b>

<b>Fifteenth Suffolk District</b>				
BOSTON.....	6,242	3,133	3,063	12,438
Brookline.....	622	344	273	1,239
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>6,864</b>	<b>3,477</b>	<b>3,336</b>	<b>13,677</b>

Mount Washington.....	55	14	12	81
New Marlborough.....	397	157	37	591
Otis.....	339	184	60	583
PITTSFIELD.....	539	250	77	866
Sandisfield.....	208	84	30	322
Sheffield.....	785	326	120	1,231
Stockbridge.....	618	169	117	904
Tolland.....	106	95	9	210
Tyringham.....	152	52	13	217
West Stockbridge.....	444	118	79	641
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>9,459</b>	<b>3,556</b>	<b>1,681</b>	<b>14,696</b>

<b>First Franklin District</b>				
Belchertown.....	1,467	795	242	2,504
Chesterfield.....	340	147	48	535
Conway.....	668	232	86	986
Deerfield.....	1,405	633	183	2,221
Goshen.....	1,405	123	40	468
Huntington.....	478	307	52	837
Leverett.....	761	167	70	998
Montague.....	2,043	740	251	3,034
New Salem.....	286	136	48	470
Pelham.....	537	102	80	719
Shutesbury.....	723	142	51	916
Sunderland.....	893	336	91	1,320
Wendell.....	345	60	23	428
Whately.....	494	238	48	780
Williamsburg.....	842	292	117	1,251
Worthington.....	403	134	46	583
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>11,990</b>	<b>4,584</b>	<b>1,476</b>	<b>18,050</b>

<b>Eighth Hampden District</b>				
CHICOPEE.....	5,846	4,058	1,263	11,167
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>5,846</b>	<b>4,058</b>	<b>1,263</b>	<b>11,167</b>

<b>Fourth Middlesex District</b>				
Berlin.....	712	571	143	1,426
MARLBOROUGH.....	6,000	5,026	1,394	12,420
Southborough.....	708	735	166	1,609
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>7,420</b>	<b>6,332</b>	<b>1,703</b>	<b>15,455</b>

<b>Thirteenth Middlesex District</b>				
Lincoln.....	1,712	876	277	2,865
Sudbury.....	4,045	3,483	800	8,328
Wayland.....	3,308	2,329	747	6,384
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>9,065</b>	<b>6,688</b>	<b>1,824</b>	<b>17,577</b>

**QUESTION 4  
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to support legislation that would amend the state's definition of nudity, so that no part of the female breast is included, and so that females of any age may be unclothed from the waist up in public anywhere males may be, including in print and on film?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Third Berkshire District</b>				
PITTSFIELD.....	2,940	6,858	1,210	11,008
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>2,940</b>	<b>6,858</b>	<b>1,210</b>	<b>11,008</b>

**QUESTION 4 OR 5  
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would allow patients, with their doctor's written recommendation, to possess, grow, and purchase marijuana for medical use?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Second Bristol District</b>				
ATTLEBORO.....	6,560	4,256	1,097	11,913
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>6,560</b>	<b>4,256</b>	<b>1,097</b>	<b>11,913</b>
<b>Fourteenth Bristol District</b>				
ATTLEBORO.....	739	512	104	1,355
Mansfield.....	1,558	995	316	2,869
North Attleborough.....	5,750	3,697	610	10,057
Norton.....	912	594	142	1,648
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>8,959</b>	<b>5,798</b>	<b>1,172</b>	<b>15,929</b>

**QUESTION 4  
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would lower the Massachusetts sales tax to five percent?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Sixth Hampden District</b>				
CHICOPEE.....	1,752	943	392	3,087
SPRINGFIELD.....	322	222	132	676
West Springfield.....	5,192	2,572	1,038	8,802
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>7,266</b>	<b>3,737</b>	<b>1,562</b>	<b>12,565</b>

**QUESTION 4  
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote to amend the state constitution to allow Massachusetts voters, through a law enacted by initiative petition, to vote to delegate their powers concerning international affairs to a democratically elected legislative body of a global federal union of democratic nations?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Eighth Suffolk District</b>				
BOSTON.....	1,739	4,138	2,332	8,209
CAMBRIDGE.....	1,486	1,970	1,309	4,765
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>3,225</b>	<b>6,108</b>	<b>3,641</b>	<b>12,974</b>

**QUESTION 4 OR 7  
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would allow the state to regulate the taxation, cultivation, and sale of marijuana to adults?

First Hampden District	822	668	114	1,604
Brimfield.....	548	347	65	960
Holland.....	2,116	1,817	375	4,308
Palmer.....	2,109	1,568	237	3,914
Sturbridge.....	426	250	39	715
Wales.....	1,099	921	254	2,274
Ware.....	876	645	152	1,673
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>7,996</b>	<b>6,216</b>	<b>1,236</b>	<b>15,448</b>

Ninth Norfolk District	1,606	1,111	239	2,956
Medfield.....	661	373	84	1,118
Mills.....	2,517	1,589	397	4,503
Norfolk.....	1,835	1,167	233	3,235
Plainville.....	520	378	64	962
Walpole.....	2,699	1,740	306	4,745
Wrentham.....	9,838	6,358	1,323	17,519

Thirteenth Worcester District	1,020	851	169	2,040
Paxton.....	6,309	4,316	1,898	12,523
<b>WORCESTER.....</b>	<b>7,329</b>	<b>5,167</b>	<b>2,067</b>	<b>14,563</b>

Eighteenth Worcester District	3,645	2,160	644	6,449
Bellingham.....	1,867	1,067	367	3,301
Blackstone.....	676	377	101	1,154
Milville.....	1,646	1,051	290	2,987
Sutton.....	2,164	1,416	506	4,086
Uxbridge.....	9,998	6,071	1,908	17,977
<b>TOTALS.....</b>				

First Middlesex & Norfolk District	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Brookline.....	11,715	6,256	2,585	20,556
NEWTON.....	17,097	14,787	3,589	35,473
Wellesley.....	3,369	3,159	1,058	7,586
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>32,181</b>	<b>24,202</b>	<b>7,232</b>	<b>63,615</b>

## QUESTION 4 or 5 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would allow the state to regulate the taxation, cultivation, and sale of marijuana to adults?

Seventh Essex District	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
SALEM.....	6,898	5,366	1,395	13,659
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>6,898</b>	<b>5,366</b>	<b>1,395</b>	<b>13,659</b>

Eighth Essex District	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
LYNN.....	724	644	188	1,556
Marblehead.....	5,189	4,004	977	10,170
Swampscott.....	3,477	2,614	781	6,872
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>9,390</b>	<b>7,262</b>	<b>1,946</b>	<b>18,598</b>

Third Middlesex District	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Bolton.....	1,132	1,020	213	2,365
Hudson.....	3,689	3,374	596	7,659
Maynard.....	2,379	1,715	447	4,541
Stow.....	1,692	1,399	294	3,385
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>8,892</b>	<b>7,508</b>	<b>1,550</b>	<b>17,950</b>

Thirteenth Norfolk District	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Dover.....	1,346	1,274	286	2,906
Medfield.....	1,344	1,224	374	2,942
Needham.....	6,796	5,916	1,542	14,254
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>9,486</b>	<b>8,414</b>	<b>2,202</b>	<b>20,102</b>

**QUESTION 4  
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would accelerate the completion of improvements to Route 2 in order to reduce traffic congestion and commute time and improve safety on Route 2 between I-495 and Route 128?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Thirty-Seventh Middlesex District</b>				
Acton .....	4,069	383	296	4,748
Boxborough .....	1,951	197	159	2,307
Harvard .....	2,290	370	218	2,878
Lancaster .....	889	141	86	1,116
Lunenburg .....	3,540	571	412	4,523
Shirley .....	1,910	319	209	2,438
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>14,649</b>	<b>1,981</b>	<b>1,380</b>	<b>18,010</b>

**QUESTION 4, 5 OR 6  
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would allow patients, with the written recommendation of their doctor or other registered caregiver, to possess and grow marijuana for the patient's medical use?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Second Plymouth District</b>				
Bourne .....	1,931	1,554	420	3,905
Carver .....	2,316	1,946	334	4,596
Wareham .....	3,995	3,302	777	8,074
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>8,242</b>	<b>6,802</b>	<b>1,531</b>	<b>16,575</b>
<b>Third Plymouth District</b>				
Cohasset .....	1,988	1,368	467	3,823
Hingham .....	5,657	4,725	938	11,320
Hull .....	2,704	1,598	398	4,700
Scituate .....	772	538	126	1,436
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>11,121</b>	<b>8,229</b>	<b>1,929</b>	<b>21,279</b>
<b>Fifteenth Suffolk District</b>				
BOSTON .....	7,252	2,860	2,326	12,438
Brookline .....	733	302	204	1,239
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>7,985</b>	<b>3,162</b>	<b>2,530</b>	<b>13,677</b>

**QUESTION 5  
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would require proof of legal residence in Massachusetts before an applicant could obtain publicly-funded state benefits?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Thirty-Seventh Middlesex District</b>				
Acton .....	3,633	755	360	4,748
Boxborough .....	1,875	283	149	2,307
Harvard .....	2,247	424	207	2,878
Lancaster .....	932	109	75	1,116
Lunenburg .....	3,883	297	343	4,523
Shirley .....	2,012	223	203	2,438
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>14,582</b>	<b>2,091</b>	<b>1,337</b>	<b>18,010</b>

**QUESTION 4, 5, OR 6  
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would allow the state to regulate and tax marijuana in the same manner as alcohol?

**QUESTION 4**

**THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would prohibit public officials and employees from being reimbursed for the same travel expenses from both public and campaign funds?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Eighth Worcester District</b>				
Douglas.....	2,320	550	435	3,305
Dudley.....	2,627	775	343	3,745
Oxford.....	767	249	146	1,162
Uxbridge.....	922	266	185	1,373
Webster.....	3,173	1,154	603	4,930
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>9,809</b>	<b>2,994</b>	<b>1,712</b>	<b>14,515</b>

**QUESTION 5**

**THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would amend Jessica's Law by establishing strict mandatory sentencing for all sex offenses and preventing the use of alternative non-mandatory sentencing in child rape offenses?

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
<b>Eighth Worcester District</b>				
Douglas.....	2,428	449	428	3,305
Dudley.....	2,843	552	350	3,745
Oxford.....	822	194	146	1,162
Uxbridge.....	979	209	185	1,373
Webster.....	3,546	769	615	4,930
<b>Totals.....</b>	<b>10,618</b>	<b>2,173</b>	<b>1,724</b>	<b>14,515</b>

**Barnstable, Dukes & Nantucket District**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Aquinnah.....	145	59	16	220
Chilmark.....	353	163	64	580
Edgartown.....	1,088	571	307	1,966
Falmouth.....	3,855	2,364	1,098	7,317
Gosnold.....	44	20	4	68
Nantucket.....	3,051	1,382	205	4,838
Oak Bluffs.....	1,224	659	262	2,145
Tisbury.....	1,225	556	222	2,003
West Tisbury.....	1,011	325	209	1,545
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>11,996</b>	<b>6,099</b>	<b>2,587</b>	<b>20,682</b>

**First Franklin District**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Belchertown.....	1,479	797	228	2,504
Chesterfield.....	318	167	50	535
Conway.....	619	273	94	986
Deerfield.....	1,317	736	168	2,221
Goshen.....	282	151	35	468
Huntington.....	507	284	46	837
Leverett.....	712	205	81	998
Montague.....	1,887	909	238	3,034
New Salem.....	267	150	53	470
Pelham.....	502	128	89	719
Shutesbury.....	642	210	64	916
Sunderland.....	814	422	84	1,320
Wendell.....	334	23	23	428
Whately.....	487	239	54	780
Williamsburg.....	811	322	118	1,251
Worthington.....	381	165	37	583
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>11,359</b>	<b>5,229</b>	<b>1,462</b>	<b>18,050</b>

**Third Hampshire District**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Amherst.....	5,300	1,834	825	7,959
Granby.....	1,575	1,239	240	3,054
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>6,875</b>	<b>3,073</b>	<b>1,065</b>	<b>11,013</b>

**Thirteenth Middlesex District**

	Yes	No	Blanks	Total Ballots
Lincoln.....	881	881	265	2,865
Sturbury.....	4,529	3,038	761	8,328
Wayland.....	3,611	2,085	688	6,384
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>9,859</b>	<b>6,004</b>	<b>1,714</b>	<b>17,577</b>

**SENATOR IN CONGRESS (TO FILL VACANCY)**

**Democratic Primary – December 8, 2009**

Aggregate of Votes	Michael E. Capuano of Somerville	Martha Coakley of Medford	Alan A. Khazaei of Brookline	Stephen G. Pagliuca of Weston	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast						
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%						
Barnstable.....	7,891	25.63%	13,847	44.98%	5,194	16.87%	3,750	12.18%	49	0.16%	53	0.17%	30,784
Berkshire.....	2,710	20.65%	8,018	61.10%	1,732	13.20%	644	4.91%	9	0.07%	10	0.08%	13,123
Bristol.....	9,383	25.62%	16,501	45.06%	2,895	7.91%	7,621	20.81%	136	0.37%	82	0.22%	36,618
Dukes.....	595	20.72%	1,489	51.85%	535	18.63%	244	8.50%	4	0.14%	5	0.17%	2,872
Essex.....	17,766	24.56%	35,215	48.69%	9,386	12.98%	9,679	13.38%	175	0.24%	104	0.14%	72,325
Franklin.....	3,105	35.91%	4,244	49.09%	612	7.08%	676	7.82%	1	0.01%	8	0.09%	8,646
Hampden.....	6,590	23.38%	14,356	50.94%	1,727	6.13%	5,354	19.00%	104	0.37%	52	0.18%	28,183
Hampshire.....	6,254	37.88%	7,371	44.64%	1,333	8.07%	1,526	9.24%	16	0.10%	12	0.07%	16,512
Middlesex.....	58,242	29.81%	88,754	45.42%	29,937	15.32%	17,903	9.16%	402	0.21%	154	0.08%	195,392
Nantucket.....	212	22.55%	479	50.96%	144	15.32%	104	11.06%	1	0.11%	-	0.00%	940
Norfolk.....	20,378	23.80%	40,864	47.73%	13,802	16.12%	10,058	11.75%	351	0.41%	162	0.19%	85,615
Plymouth.....	10,171	22.60%	23,072	51.28%	5,255	11.68%	6,324	14.05%	90	0.20%	84	0.19%	44,996
Suffolk.....	27,416	38.93%	26,101	37.06%	9,972	14.16%	6,548	9.30%	301	0.43%	90	0.13%	70,428
Worcester.....	14,444	23.11%	31,237	49.99%	6,770	10.83%	9,786	15.66%	161	0.26%	94	0.15%	62,492
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>185,157</b>	<b>27.68%</b>	<b>311,548</b>	<b>46.57%</b>	<b>89,294</b>	<b>13.35%</b>	<b>80,217</b>	<b>11.99%</b>	<b>1,800</b>	<b>0.27%</b>	<b>910</b>	<b>0.14%</b>	<b>668,926</b>

**Republican Primary – December 8, 2009**

Aggregate of Votes	Scott P. Brown of Wrentham	Jack E. Robinson of Duxbury	All Others	Blanks	Total Votes Cast				
	%	%	%	%	%				
Barnstable.....	11,422	91.40%	967	7.74%	65	0.34%	43	0.34%	12,497
Berkshire.....	933	73.93%	286	22.66%	30	2.38%	13	1.03%	1,262
Bristol.....	10,119	89.36%	1,114	9.84%	64	0.57%	27	0.24%	11,324
Dukes.....	402	81.21%	79	15.96%	6	1.21%	8	1.62%	495
Essex.....	18,238	88.72%	2,132	10.37%	124	0.60%	62	0.30%	20,556



Franklin.....	751	74.28%	243	24.04%	4	0.40%	13	1.29%	1,011
Hampden.....	5,921	76.41%	1,721	22.21%	85	1.10%	22	0.28%	7,749
Hampshire.....	1,556	75.53%	481	23.35%	14	0.68%	9	0.44%	2,060
Middlesex.....	33,400	89.31%	3,619	9.68%	287	0.77%	90	0.24%	37,396
Nantucket.....	218	73.40%	73	24.58%	5	1.68%	1	0.34%	297
Norfolk.....	24,246	92.95%	1,620	6.21%	161	0.62%	58	0.22%	26,085
Plymouth.....	14,075	87.51%	1,859	11.56%	93	0.58%	57	0.35%	16,084
Suffolk.....	5,597	85.94%	806	12.38%	96	1.47%	14	0.21%	6,513
Worcester.....	19,179	88.47%	2,344	10.81%	105	0.48%	50	0.23%	21,678
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>146,057</b>	<b>88.52%</b>	<b>17,344</b>	<b>10.51%</b>	<b>1,139</b>	<b>0.69%</b>	<b>467</b>	<b>0.28%</b>	<b>165,007</b>

**State Election - January 19, 2010**

Aggregate of Votes	Scott P. Brown of Wrentham Republican		Martha Coakley of Medford Democratic		Joseph L. Kennedy of Dedham Liberty		All Others		Blanks		Total Votes Cast
		%		%		%		%		%	
Barnstable.....	60,032	57.34%	43,652	41.69%	903	0.86%	35	0.03%	81	0.08%	104,703
Berkshire.....	13,298	30.48%	29,869	68.47%	443	1.02%	4	0.01%	11	0.03%	43,625
Bristol.....	93,826	55.73%	72,392	43.00%	1,959	1.16%	69	0.04%	110	0.07%	168,356
Dukes.....	2,646	34.48%	4,922	64.15%	92	1.20%	6	0.08%	7	0.09%	7,673
Essex.....	143,969	56.46%	108,430	42.52%	2,333	0.91%	103	0.04%	169	0.07%	255,004
Franklin.....	9,908	35.69%	17,382	62.61%	449	1.62%	8	0.03%	16	0.06%	27,763
Hampden.....	71,697	54.45%	57,890	43.97%	1,964	1.49%	53	0.04%	68	0.05%	131,672
Hampshire.....	21,112	37.25%	34,808	61.41%	713	1.26%	20	0.04%	27	0.05%	56,880
Middlesex.....	259,927	47.37%	283,595	51.68%	4,698	0.86%	386	0.06%	180	0.03%	548,756
Nantucket.....	2,032	47.96%	2,141	50.53%	58	1.37%	4	0.09%	2	0.05%	4,237
Norfolk.....	150,890	55.14%	120,198	43.93%	2,266	0.83%	145	0.05%	130	0.05%	273,629
Plymouth.....	120,971	62.87%	69,615	36.18%	1,603	0.83%	87	0.05%	129	0.07%	192,405
Suffolk.....	57,461	32.73%	116,038	66.09%	1,812	1.03%	176	0.10%	77	0.04%	175,564
Worcester.....	160,409	60.84%	99,929	37.90%	3,095	1.17%	89	0.03%	138	0.05%	263,660
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>1,168,178</b>	<b>51.83%</b>	<b>1,060,861</b>	<b>47.07%</b>	<b>22,388</b>	<b>0.99%</b>	<b>1,155</b>	<b>0.05%</b>	<b>1,145</b>	<b>0.05%</b>	<b>2,253,727</b>